# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

DATREC, LLC,	)
Plaintiff,	)
	Civil Action No.
<b>v.</b>	)
	)
BITRIX, INC.	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	)

### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

DatRec, LLC ("DatRec") files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,381,309 ("the '309 patent") (referred to as the "Patent-in-Suit") by Bitrix, Inc. ("Bitrix").

## I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff DatRec is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Harris County, Texas.
- 2. On information and belief, BITRIX is a corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a regular and established place of business located at Bitrix, Inc. US Headquarters 901 N. Pitt St, Suite 325 Alexandria VA 22314. On information and belief, BITRIX sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Virginia, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Virginia and this judicial district. BITRIX, Inc. may be served throught its registered agent or wherever they may be found.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE


- 3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Virginia and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Virginia and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Virginia and in this judicial district.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in Virginia, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Virginia and this District.

### III. INFRINGEMENT

## A. Infringement of the '309 Patent

6. On February 9, 2013, U.S. Patent No. 8,381,309 ("the '309 patent", attached as Exhibit A) entitled "Method and System for Ecure Communication Over a Public Network" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. DatRec, LLC owns the '309 patent by assignment.

- 7. The '309 patent relates to a novel and improved system for secure communication over a public network.
- 8. BITRIX maintains, operates, and administers electronic health records ("EHR") through its website at www.BITRIX.com, and other sources, that infringe one or more claims of the '309 patent, including one or more of claims 1-17, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '309 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.
- 9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the following preliminary table:



#### US8381309 B2

#### Bitrix24

associated with a database comprising verified data relating an individual, said server system being configured and operable to verify at least some of the data so as to authenticate an identity of the individual;

Bitrix24 is free customer database software available both in cloud and on premise (meaning you can install it on your server). Unlike other customer database solutions that call themselves free, but limit the number of customers you can have in the free version, Bitrix24 really is free. The only limitations in the free edition are 12 sales managers and 5GB of online storage. All customer database records (leads, contacts, companies, deals, quotes, invoices, etc) are unlimited. Other benefits that Bitrix24 gives you include free marketing tools, like email and telemarketing. Register now or check out videos and manual to learn more about Bitrix24.

<a href="https://www.bitrix24.com/uses/free-customer-database-software.php">https://www.bitrix24.com/uses/free-customer-database-software.php</a>

The reference describes a server system associated with a database comprising verified data relating an individual, said server system being configured and operable to verify at least some of the data so as to authenticate an identity of the individual.

#### US8381309 B2

#### Bitrix24

determining a level of reliability in authenticity based on correspondence between data on said individual entered by a plurality of related individuals; and There are several access levels available:


- Access denied
- Personal
- · Personal & department
- · Personal, department & subdepartment
- · All open
- All



Please note that you can grant\deny access to the whole CRM record, but cannot grant\deny access to its parts. For example, if you give access to the lead - all associated data will be accessible, including lead activities, messages, etc. You won't be able to grant\deny access to lead's activities or particular fields.

<https://helpdesk.bitrix24.com/open/1332384/>

The reference describes determining a level of reliability in authenticity based on correspondence between data on said individual entered by a plurality of related individuals.



These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

10. BITRIX has and continues to induce infringement. BITRIX has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., EHR) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–17 of the '309 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, BITRIX

has known of the '309 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.

11. BITRIX has and continues to contributorily infringe. BITRIX has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., EHR) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1–17 of the '309 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, BITRIX has known of the '309 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.

12. BITRIX has caused and will continue to cause DatRec damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '309 patent.

### IV. JURY DEMAND

DatRec hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

## V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, DatRec prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '309 patent;
- b. award DatRec damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the '309 patent in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award DatRec an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;

- d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award DatRec its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award DatRec such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, KENEALY VAIDYA LLP by Counsel

/s/

By: Kendal M. Sheets
Virginia bar number 44537
Kenealy Vaidya LLP
3050 K Street, NW, Suite 302
Washington, DC 20007
202.748.5165 (direct)
202.748.5902 (main)
703.489.8937 (mobile)
202.748.5915 (facsimile)
ksheets@kviplaw.com

William P. Ramey, III (pending pro-hac) Texas State Bar No. 24027643 Ramey & Schwaller, LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 (713) 426-3923 (telephone) (832) 900-4941 (fax) wramey@rameyfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff DatRec, LLC