

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

BULBRITE INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No.

JURY DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF’S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Lexington Luminance LLC (“Lexington” or “Plaintiff”) files this complaint for patent infringement against Bulbrite Industries, Inc. (“Bulbrite” or “Defendant”) and states as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Lexington Luminance LLC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Massachusetts with its principal place of business at 468 Lowell Street, Lexington, Massachusetts 02420.
2. On information and belief, Defendant Bulbrite is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of New Jersey, with a principal place of business located at 145 W. Commercial Ave, Moonachie, NJ 07074. Defendant may be served via officer or director at the above address.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. Jurisdiction as to these claims is conferred on this Court by 35 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

4. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. 1400(b) because Defendant is a resident of New Jersey, maintains a place of business in New Jersey, and has committed acts of infringement in New Jersey.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because Defendant is a resident of New Jersey and its principal place of business is in New Jersey.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

6. Lexington incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

7. On August 30, 2005, United States Patent No. 6,936,851 B2 entitled “Semiconductor Light-Emitting Device and Method for Manufacturing the Same” was duly and legally issued after full and fair examination. Lexington is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to the patent by assignment, with full right to bring suit to enforce the patent, including the right to recover for past infringement damages and the right to recover future royalties, damages, and income.

8. On September 30, 2013, an *ex parte* reexamination no. 90/012,964 was initiated for United States Patent No. 6,936,851 B2. An *ex parte* reexamination certificate was issued on December 5, 2014 for United States Patent No. 6,936,851 C1. The patent, together with the *ex parte* reexamination certificate, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. United States Patent No. 6,936,851 B2 and 6,936,851 C1 are collectively known as the ’851 Patent.

9. The ’851 Patent is valid and enforceable.

10. To the extent any marking or notice was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, Plaintiff has complied with the applicable marking and/or notice requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and/or continues to infringe (literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents) one or more claims of the ’851 Patent in this

judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, including at least claim 1, by, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing light-emitting diode (“LED”) lighting products containing LEDs that infringe the ’851 Patent (collectively, the “Accused Products”).

12. Exemplary charts comparing the asserted claims of the ’851 patent to exemplars of Defendant’s products are attached as Exhibits 2 - 16. These Exhibits provide information regarding infringement of the ’851 Patent are illustrative and are provided for purposes of satisfying Plaintiff’s pleading obligations and should not be construed as limiting. Plaintiff will serve infringement contentions in this case in accord with the Local Rules and schedule entered by the Court.

13. Defendant has not been licensed under the ’851 Patent.

14. Defendant’s acts of infringement have caused damage to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the wrongful acts of Defendant in an amount subject to proof at trial.

15. Defendant has had actual notice of its infringement of the ’851 Patent since on or about July 26, 2019, when Defendant received a letter from Lexington making Defendant aware of the ’851 Patent and identifying certain of Defendant’s LED lighting products.

16. Since at least the filing of the original complaint in this action, and/or service of same, Defendant has had knowledge of the ’851 Patent and has had knowledge of its infringement of the ’851 Patent.

17. Since at least Defendant’s receipt of Lexington’s letter on July 26, 2019, Defendant has been aware of the ’851 Patent and has had knowledge of its infringement of the ’851 Patent.

18. Defendant's infringement has been and continues to be willful and deliberate. Upon information and belief, Defendant deliberately infringed the '851 Patent and acted recklessly and in disregard to the '851 Patent by making, having made, using, importing, and offering for sale products that infringe the '851 Patent. Upon information and belief, the risks of infringement were known to Defendant and/or were so obvious under the circumstances that the infringement risks should have been known. Upon information and belief, Defendant has no reasonable non-infringement theories. Upon information and belief, Defendant has not attempted any design/sourcing change to avoid infringement. Defendant has acted despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of the '851 Patent. In addition, this objectively-defined risk was known or should have been known to Defendant. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed and/or continues to willfully infringe the '851 Patent. Defendant's actions of being made aware of its infringement, not developing any non-infringement theories, not attempting any design/sourcing change, and not ceasing its infringement constitute egregious behavior beyond typical infringement.

19. Defendant's affirmative acts of selling the Accused Products, causing the Accused Products to be sold, advertised, offered for sale, and/or distributed, and providing instruction manuals for the Accused Products have induced and continue to induce Defendant's customers, and/or end-users to use the Accused Products in their normal and customary way to infringe the '851 Patent. For example, it can be reasonably inferred that end-users will use the infringing products, which will cause the LEDs that are the subject of the claimed invention to be used. Defendant specifically intended and was aware that these normal and customary activities would infringe the '851 Patent. By way of example, the LEDs that are the subject of the claim invention are energized and illuminated when an infringing lamp is turned on and its LEDs illuminated.

These actions have induced and continue to induce the direct infringement of the '851 Patent by end-users. Defendant performed the acts that constitute induced infringement, and would induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '851 Patent and with the knowledge, or willful blindness to the probability, that the induced acts would constitute infringement. Upon information and belief, Defendant specifically intended (and intends) that its actions will result in infringement of at least claim 1 of the '851 Patent, or subjectively believes that its actions will result in infringement of the '851 Patent but took deliberate actions to avoid learning of those facts, as set forth above. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew of the '851 Patent and knew of its infringement, including by way of this lawsuit and earlier as described above.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all issues so triable.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment that:

1. Defendant has infringed the '851 Patent;
2. Plaintiff recover actual damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
3. Plaintiff be awarded supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict infringement up until final judgment;
4. Plaintiff be awarded a compulsory ongoing royalty;
5. Plaintiff be awarded an accounting of damages;
6. Plaintiff be awarded enhanced damages for willful infringement as permitted under the law;
7. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay to Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages awarded, including an award of pre-judgment interest,

pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, from the date of each act of infringement of the '851 Patent by Defendant to the day a damages judgment is entered, and a further award of post-judgment interest, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, continuing until such judgment is paid, at the maximum rate allowed by law;

8. An award to Plaintiff of the costs of this action and its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285;

9. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

LOCAL CIVIL RULE 11.2 CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 11.2, Plaintiff states that, to its knowledge, the matter in controversy in this action is not the subject of any other action in any court, or any pending arbitration or administrative proceeding. Notwithstanding the foregoing, U.S. Patent No. 6,936,851 is also presently asserted in two pending actions involving other defendants and other accused products: *Lexington Luminance LLC v. Menard, Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-2135 (N.D. Illinois) and *Lexington Luminance LLC v. Jiaying Super Lighting Electric Appliance Co., Ltd.*, No. 6:22-cv-417 (W.D. Texas).

DATED: June 14, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Mark A. Kriegel

MARK KRIEGEL

LAW OFFICE OF MARK A. KRIEGEL, LLC

1479 Pennington Rd.

Ewing, NJ 08618

(609) 883-5133

Fax: (609) 450-7237

mkriegel@kriegellaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC