Document 1

#:1

Filed 08/12/22

Page 1 of 46 Page ID

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Clase 2:22-cv-05747-JAK-MRW

Plaintiff Bell Semiconductor, LLC ("Bell Semiconductor" or "Plaintiff") brings this Complaint against Defendant NVIDIA Corporation ("NVIDIA") for infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,049,340; ¹ 8,288,269; ² 7,646,091; ³ 7,345,245; ⁴ and 7,180,011. ⁵ Plaintiff also brings this Complaint against the remaining Defendants, who are customers of NVIDIA and/or retailers of the products of NVIDIA and/or its customers, for infringement of one or more of the Asserted Patents. Plaintiff, on personal knowledge of its own acts, and on information and belief as to all others based on investigation, alleges as follows:

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a patent infringement suit relating to NVIDIA's unauthorized and unlicensed use of the Asserted Patents. The semiconductor packaging technology claimed in the Asserted Patents is used by NVIDIA in the production of one or more of its semiconductor chips and packages, including the ODNX02 (and other Maxwell 2.0 architecture) system-on-a-chip ("SoC") products; the GA104 and Turing architecture GPU products (including but not limited to the TU106, TU116, and TU117); and its ConnectX series (including the ConnectX-4 LX, and on information and belief, the ConnectX-5, ConnectX-6, and ConnectX-7 series) ethernet chip products, (each an "NVIDIA Accused Product") used in, among other things, graphics cards, ethernet cards and computers incorporating such cards manufactured, sold, used, and/or offered for sale by NVIDIA's downstream customers, including the other Defendants.
- 2. Bell Semiconductor brings this action to put a stop to the Defendants' unauthorized and unlicensed use of the inventions claimed in the Asserted Patents.

^{1 &}quot;'340 patent".

² "'269 patent".

³ "'091 patent".

^{4 &}quot;'245 patent".

⁵ "'011 patent". The '340 patent, '269 patent, '091 patent, '245 patent, and '011 patent, collectively, are the "Asserted Patents."

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Bell Semiconductor is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a place of business at One West Broad Street, Suite 901, Bethlehem, PA 18018.
- 4. Bell Semiconductor stems from a long pedigree that began at Bell Labs. Bell Labs sprung out of the Bell System as a research and development laboratory, and eventually became known as one of America's greatest technology incubators. Bell Labs employees invented the transistor in 1947 in Murray Hill, New Jersey. It was widely considered one of the most important technological breakthroughs of the time, earning the inventors the Nobel Prize in Physics. Bell Labs made the first commercial transistors at a plant in Allentown, Pennsylvania. For decades, Bell Labs licensed its transistor patents to companies throughout the world, creating a technological boom that led to the use of transistors in the semiconductor devices prevalent in most electronic devices today.
- 5. Bell Semiconductor, a successor to Bell Labs' pioneering efforts, owns over 1,900 worldwide patents and applications, approximately 1,500 of which are active United States patents. This patent portfolio of semiconductor–related inventions was developed over many years by some of the world's leading semiconductor companies, including Bell Labs, Lucent Technologies, Agere Systems, and LSI Logic and LSI Corporation ("LSI"). This portfolio reflects technology that underlies many important innovations in the development of semiconductors and integrated circuits for high–tech products, including smartphones, computers, wearables, digital signal processors, IoT devices, automobiles, broadband carrier access, switches, network processors, and wireless connectors.
- 6. The principals of Bell Semiconductor all worked at Bell Labs' Allentown facility, and have continued the rich tradition of innovating, licensing, and helping the industry at large since those early days at Bell Labs. For example, Bell Semiconductor's CTO was an LSI Fellow and Broadcom Fellow. He is known

3 | 4

- throughout the world as an innovator with more than 300 patents to his name, and he has a sterling reputation for helping semiconductor fabs improve their efficiency. Bell Semiconductor's CEO took a brief hiatus from the semiconductor world to work with Nortel Networks in the telecom industry during its bankruptcy. His efforts saved the pensions of tens of thousands of Nortel retirees and employees. In addition, several Bell Semiconductor executives previously served as engineers at many of these companies and were personally involved in creating the ideas claimed throughout Bell Semiconductor's extensive patent portfolio.
- 7. On information and belief, NVIDIA Corporation ("NVIDIA") is a public corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business and headquarters at 2701 San Tomas Expressway, Santa Clara, CA 95050. NVIDIA has a registered agent for service of process at 1226 Tiros Way, Sunnyvale, CA 94086.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant Dell Technologies Inc. ("Dell") is a corporation incorporated under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at One Dell Way, Round Rock, TX 78682.
- 9. On information and belief, Defendant GIGA-BYTE Technology Co., Ltd. ("Gigabyte Taiwan") is a public corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan with a principal place of business at No. 6, Baoqiang Road, Xindian District, New Taipei City, Taiwan. Defendant G.B.T. Inc. (collectively with Gigabyte Taiwan, "Gigabyte") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California with a principal place of business and agent for services of process (Eric C. Lu) at 17358 Railroad St, City of Industry, CA 91748. On information and belief, G.B.T. Inc. is wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by Gigabyte Taiwan. Gigabyte Taiwan has failed to register an agent for service of process in the State of California as required by Cal. Corp. Code § 2105 and may be served with process pursuant to the provisions of the Hague Convention or pursuant to Cal. Corp. Code § 2110 et seq.—

including by service upon the Secretary of State of California or its domestic subsidiary G.B.T. Inc.

- 10. On information and belief, Micro-Star International Co., Ltd. ("MSI") is a public corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business at No. 69, Lide St., Zhonghe Dist., New Taipei City 235, Taiwan. MSI has failed to register an agent for service of process in the State of California as required by Cal. Corp. Code § 2105 and may be served with process pursuant to the provisions of the Hague Convention or pursuant to Cal. Corp. Code § 2110 *et seq.*—including by service upon the Secretary of State of California.
- 11. On information and belief, Nintendo of America Inc. ("Nintendo") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Washington with its principal place of business and headquarters at 635 E. Old Second Street, San Jacinto, CA 92583. Nintendo has a registered agent for service of process (Christopher Columbus D. Ward) at the same address.
- 12. On information and belief, Best Buy Co. Inc. ("Best Buy") is a public corporation organized and existing under the laws of Minnesota, with a principal place of business at 7601 Penn Ave. S, Richfield, MN 55423. Best Buy has a registered agent for service of process at CT Corporation System, Inc., 818 W Seventh St, Ste 930, Los Angeles, CA 90017.
- 13. On information and belief, Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon") is a public corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 410 Terry Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109. Amazon has a registered agent for service of process at Corporation Service Company, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808.
- 14. On information and belief, Defendant NVIDIA, develops, designs, and/or manufactures products in the United States, including in this District, that use the structures and/or methods of the Asserted Patents; and/or use structures and/or methods of the Asserted Patents in the United States, including in this District, to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

- 15. On information and belief, each of Defendants Dell, Gigabyte, MSI, and Nintendo (collectively, "Downstream Product Defendants") makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States and this District products that incorporate NVIDIA Accused Products ("Downstream Accused Products") and thereby infringes at least one of the Asserted Patents. Each Downstream Product Defendant knows that by doing so, it introduces its Downstream Accused Products and the NVIDIA Accused Products incorporated therein into the stream of commerce and that those products will be used and sold in this District and elsewhere throughout the United States. On information and belief, the primary (but not exclusive) method by which Downstream Product Defendants infringe the Asserted Patents is by incorporating at least one of the NVIDIA Accused Products into one or more of the branded products of each Downstream Product Defendant, which each Downstream Product Defendant then offers for sale and sells both directly and indirectly.
- On information and belief, each of Defendants Amazon, Best Buy, and 16. Dell⁷ (collectively, "Retailer Defendants") makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, and/or imports into the United States and this District NVIDIA Accused Products and/or Downstream Accused Products and other products that incorporate NVIDIA Accused Products (collectively, "Retailed Products"), and thereby infringes one or more of the

²⁶ ⁶ As detailed further below, Dell and Gigabyte both infringe each of the '340 patent, the '269 patent, the '091 patent, and the '011 patent; MSI infringes the '340 patent, the '269 patent, and the '011 patent; Nintendo infringes the '245 patent. 28

⁷ Defendant Dell is both a Downstream Product Defendant and a Retailer Defendant.

Asserted Patents.⁸ Each Retailer Defendant knows that by doing so, it introduces its Retailed Products and the NVIDIA Accused Products incorporated therein into the stream of commerce and that those products will be used and sold in this District and elsewhere throughout the United States. On information and belief, the primary (but not exclusive) method by which each Retailer Defendant infringe the Asserted Patents is by the sale or re-sale of Retailed Products, comprising: (1) NVIDIA-branded products containing NVIDIA Accused Products; (2) Downstream Accused Products; and (3) other products made or branded by third parties that contain or incorporate one or more NVIDIA Accused Products.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 17. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 18. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants under the laws of the State of California, due at least to their substantial business in California and in this District. Defendants have purposefully and voluntarily availed themselves of the privileges of conducting business in the United States, in the State of California, and in this District by continuously and systematically placing goods into the stream of commerce through an established distribution channel with the expectation that they will be purchased by consumers in this District. In the State of California and in this District, Defendants each, directly and/or through intermediaries: (i) perform at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; (ii) develop, design, and/or manufacture products according to claims of each Asserted Patent; (iii) distribute, market, sell, or offer to sell products that embody the Asserted Patent; and/or (iv) import products

⁸ As detailed further below, Amazon infringes every Asserted Patent; Dell (as Retailer Defendant) infringes each of the '340 patent, the '269 patent, the '091 patent, and the '011 patent; and Best Buy infringes each of the '340 patent, the '269 patent, and the '011 patent.

- formed according to the '269 patented processes/methodologies and/or the structures of the other Asserted Patents.
- 19. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to NVIDIA because NVIDIA has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale and, on information and belief, sales of NVIDIA Accused Products and NVIDIA-branded products containing or incorporating NVIDIA Accused Products from its store.nvidia.com/en-us/ website and from its physical location) and has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, NVIDIA has an office in San Dimas, California, which is located within this District. See Contact NVIDIA Our Locations, https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/contact/ (last accessed Aug. 12, 2022) (listing San Dimas, California location).
- 20. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to Dell because Dell has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale and, on information and belief, sales of Retailed Products from itself and other manufacturers via dell.com and from one or more of its physical locations) and has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, Dell has offices in this District at 135 Technology Drive, Irvine, CA 92618; 2201 Dupont Drive, Irvine, CA 92612; 135 Technology Drive, Irvine, CA 92618; and 2101 Rosecrans Avenue, El Segundo, CA, 90245. Dell currently advertises more than 100 job openings in the State of California, including engineering positions in this District of potential relevance to the claims in this suit.
- 21. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to Gigabyte because Gigabyte has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale and, on information and belief, sales of Downstream Accused Products via its store.gigabyte.us website, and, on information and belief,

from its physical location) and has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, Gigabyte has its principal domestic location in this District at 17358 Railroad St, City of Industry, CA 91748.

- 22. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to MSI because MSI has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale and, on information and belief, sales of Downstream Accused Products via its us-store.msi.com website and from its physical location) and has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, MSI has its principal domestic location in this District at 901 Canada Court, City of Industry, CA 91748, which is the only "company location" in the United States listed on MSI's website. See Company Location, http://msi.com/about/contact-us-select (last accessed Aug. 12, 2022).
- 23. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to Nintendo because Nintendo has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale of Downstream Accused Products via Nintendo.com) and has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, Nintendo has, according to information that it submitted to the California Secretary of State, its principal place of business and headquarters in this District at 635 E. Old Second Street, San Jacinto, CA 92583.
- 24. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to Best Buy because Best Buy has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale of Retailed Products via Bestbuy.com and at its physical

⁹ MSI's website also lists a "Service Location" at 90<u>2</u> Canada Court, City of Industry, CA 91748, which is likewise located within this District. *See* Service Location, https://www.msi.com/page/service-location-new (last accessed Aug. 12, 2022).

locations and, on information and belief, actual sales of Retailed Products at its physical locations) and has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, Best Buy has five stores in Los Angeles and many other stores in the surrounding area among its 143 California locations. See Exhibits K & L.

- 25. On information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 with respect to Amazon because Amazon has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District (including, but not limited to, offers for sale and, on information and belief, sales of Downstream Accused Products via Amazon.com) and has at least one regular and established place of business in this District. In fact, Amazon maintains numerous regular and established places of business in this judicial district, including but not limited to: (1) Amazon Fresh stores in Irvine, Long Beach, Huntington Beach, Whittier, and Los Angeles, among others; (2) an Amazon Style store at 889 Americana Way in Glendale; and (3) Amazon fulfillment centers in Beaumont, Eastvale, Fontana, Jurupa Valley, Moreno Valley, Perris, Redlands, Riverside, and San Bernadino, among others.
- 26. Venue is also convenient for all parties in this District. This is at least true because of this District's close ties to this case—including the technology, relevant witnesses, and sources of proof noted above—and its ability to quickly and efficiently move this case to resolution.

U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340

- 27. Bell Semiconductor owns by assignment the entire right, title, and interest in the '340 patent, entitled "Device for Avoiding Parasitic Capacitance in an Integrated Circuit Package," which issued on November 1, 2011.
- 28. The '340 patent issued to inventors Jeffrey Hall, Shawn Nikoukary, Amar Amin, and Michael Jenkins from United States Patent Application No. 11/277,188, filed March 22, 2006. A true and correct copy of the '340 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

- 29. The '340 patent is directed to solving the problem of signal deterioration in integrated circuits such as a serializer/deserializer. At high frequencies, "the parasitic capacitance between transmit (Tx) and receive (Rx) contact pads in the contact pad layer and nearby metal layers of the integrated circuit package may result in a deterioration of the signal waveform and a correspondingly reduced circuit performance." Ex. A at 2:52-60.
- 30. Parasitic capacitance results when parts in an electronic circuit are proximate one another, potentially leading to interference with the input or output to a device. Reducing parasitic capacitance has become increasingly necessary as integrated circuit devices, particularly high-speed devices, have included more external connections.
- 31. The '340 patent identifies the shortcomings of the prior art. More specifically, the specifications describe that "metal layers that have a relatively large metal area may produce significant parasitic capacitance." Parasitic capacitance between, e.g., the ball pad and the routing metal layer or between the underlying ball pad and the ground return metal layer can produce "distortion of the switching waveform of high-frequency signals used, for example, in serializing/deserializing devices (SERDES). As a result, the maximum operating frequency that may be used in the integrated circuit is disadvantageously limited" *Id.* at 3:21-25.
- 32. To reduce parasitic capacitance in the multi-layer packages, the '340 patent teaches the use of cutouts over the electrical contacts in electrically conductive layers to substantially avoid overlap between the electrical contacts and metal in the electrically conductive layers.
- 33. The '340 patent contains 3 independent claims and 19 total claims, covering an integrated circuit substrate. Claim 1 reads:
 - 1. An integrated circuit package substrate comprising:

27

28

a first and a second electrically conductive layer separated from each other by an electrically insulating layer with no intermediate conductive layer therebetween;

a plurality of rows of contact pads formed in the first electrically conductive layer for making a direct connection between the integrated circuit package substrate and a printed circuit board; and

a plurality of cutouts formed in the second electrically conductive layer for reducing parasitic capacitance between the second electrically conductive layer and the first electrically conductive layer,

wherein each cutout encloses an electrically insulating area within the second electrically conductive layer, and

wherein each electrically insulating area completely overlaps a corresponding one of the contact pads formed in the first electrically conductive layer such that there is substantially no overlap of the rows of contact pads with metal in the second electrically conductive layer.

34. This claim, as a whole, provides significant benefits and improvements to the function of the semiconductor device, e.g., increasing the maximum operating frequency that may be used in integrated circuits relative to prior art designs.

U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269

- 35. Bell Semiconductor owns by assignment the entire right, title, and interest in the '269 patent, entitled "Methods for Avoiding Parasitic Capacitance in an Integrated Circuit Package," which issued on October 16, 2012.
- 36. The '269 patent issued to inventors Jeffrey Hall, Shawn Nikoukary, Amar Amin, and Michael Jenkins from United States Patent Application No. 13/252,632, filed October 4, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '269 patent is attached as Exhibit B.
- 37. The '269 patent is related to and shares an identical specification with the '340 patent. Where the '340 patent claims apparatuses for minimizing parasitic capacitance, the '269 patent claims methods for directed to the same general problem. More particularly, in order to reduce parasitic capacitance in the multi-layer packages,

the '269 patent teaches the use of cutouts over the electrical contacts in electrically conductive layers to eliminate substantial overlap between the electrical contacts and metal in the electrically conductive layers.

- 38. The '269 patent contains 2 independent claims and 20 total claims, covering an integrated circuit substrate. Claim 1 reads:
 - 1. A method, comprising steps of:

forming a first electrically conductive layer including a plurality of rows of contact pads;

forming an electrically insulating layer on the first electrically conductive layer; and

forming a second electrically conductive layer over the electrically insulating layer such that there is no intermediate conductive layer between the first and second electrically conductive layers, the second electrically conductive layer comprising metal and a plurality of cutouts wherein each cutout encloses an electrically insulating area within the second electrically conductive layer and wherein each electrically insulating area completely overlaps a corresponding one of the contact pads such that there is substantially no overlap of the rows of contact pads with metal in the second electrically conductive layer.

39. Similar to the '340 patent, this claim, as a whole, provides significant benefits and improvements to the function of the semiconductor device, e.g., increasing the maximum operating frequency that may be used in integrated circuits relative to prior art designs

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,646,091

40. Bell Semiconductor owns by assignment the entire right, title, and interest in the '091 patent, entitled "Semiconductor Package and Method Using Isolated Vss Plane to Accommodate High Speed Circuitry Ground Isolation," which issued on January 12, 2010.

11

8

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

2425

26

2728

- 41. The '091 patent issued to inventors Maurice Othieno, Chok Chia, and Amar Amin from United States Patent Application No. 11/399,723, filed April 6, 2006. A true and correct copy of the '091 patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- 42. Modern integrated circuits require both low-speed and high-speed circuitry. Excessive noise generated by the high-speed circuitry interferes with the operation of the low-speed circuitry sharing the same ground plane. At high data rates this is a serious problem. Additionally, at high system performance the problem of ground bounce is magnified.
- 43. In order to eliminate those problems, the '091 patent teaches the use of a dedicated high-speed ground plane that is electrically isolated from the ground plane used to ground the low-speed circuitry. As described in the '091 patent, a semiconductor integrated circuit package includes a substrate which can have an integrated circuit die attached to it. The package may include a dedicated high-speed ground plane that is electrically isolated from the ground plane used to ground the low-speed circuitry of the package.
- 44. The '091 patent contains 1 independent claim and 14 total claims, covering an integrated circuit substrate. Claim 1 of the '091 patent reads:
 - 1. A semiconductor integrated circuit (IC) package which comprises:
 - a substrate having a first surface and a second surface wherein;
 - a first layer of the substrate includes,
 - a first ground plane enabling electrical connection with low speed electronic circuitry, and
 - a second ground plane that is spatially separated and electrically isolated from the first ground plane, the second ground plane enabling electrical connection with high speed electronic circuitry;
 - a second layer of the substrate includes,
 - a third ground plane configured for electrical connection with low speed electronic circuitry, and

2

5

4

67

8

10

11

12

14

13

1516

17 18

1920

22

23

21

242526

27

28

a fourth ground plane that is spatially separated and electrically isolated from the third ground plane, the third ground plane configured for electrical connection with high speed electronic circuitry;

a plurality of electrical connections that electrically connect the first ground plane with solder balls mounted on the second surface of the substrate;

a plurality of additional electrical connections that electrically connect the second ground plane with solder balls mounted on the second surface of the substrate; and

peripheral electrical contacts arranged on the substrate and configured for connection with electronic circuitry external to the package; and

at least one reference plane associated with each layer of the substrate and the ground planes included thereon.

45. This claim, as a whole, provides significant benefits and improvements to the function of the semiconductor device, e.g., improving system performance by reducing cross-talk and ground-bounce.

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,345,245

- 46. Bell Semiconductor owns by assignment the entire right, title, and interest in the '245 patent, entitled "Robust High Density Substrate Design for Thermal Cycling Reliability," which issued on March 18, 2008.
- 47. The '245 patent issued to inventors Anand Govind, Zafer Kutlu, and Farsad Ghanghahi from United States Patent Application No. 10/681,554, filed October 8, 2003. A true and correct copy of the '245 patent is attached as Exhibit D.
- 48. Recent silicon technology advances have placed increased demand for high density signal routing on organic BGA substrates. Increased signal routing density in the substrate is obtained by using fine pitch vias through the core so that routing layers below the core can be efficiently utilized. The via pitch reduction requires the use of thin core substrates which are susceptible to warpage during thermal excursions. Typically, the regions are under the die corner are regions of

stress concentration. Under cycled thermal excursions, cracks can initiate from the ball pad edges and spread into the layers above the ball pad layer.

- 49. The '245 patent is generally related to a semiconductor package for a die with improved thermal cycling reliability. To eliminate package failures and occurrences cracks in signal traces, the '245 patent teaches routing of signals away from the high stress area associated with the ball pads and the corner of the die.
- 50. The '245 patent contains 2 independent claims and 12 total claims, covering an integrated circuit substrate. Claim 1 of the '245 patent reads:
 - 1. A semi-conductor package comprising:

a top layer having a die mounted thereon, said die having a corner; and

a plurality of layers under the top layer, said plurality of layers comprising a bottom routing layer having signal traces thereon, and a ball pad layer under the bottom routing layer, said ball pad layer having a plurality of ball pads, wherein none of the signal traces of the bottom routing layer are located over ball pads of the ball pad layer which are disposed in an area within two ball pad pitches of the corner of the die.

51. This claim, as a whole, provides significant benefits and improvements to the function of the semiconductor device, e.g., improving system reliability by avoiding functional failures from cracks in the signal traces caused by thermal cycling stresses under the die corner.

U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011

- 52. Bell Semiconductor owns by assignment the entire right, title, and interest in the '011 patent, entitled "Device for Minimizing Differential Pair Length Mismatch and Impedance Discontinuities in an Integrated Circuit Package," which issued on February 20, 2007.
- 53. The '011 patent issued to inventors Jeffrey Hall and Shawn Nikoukary, from United States patent Application No. 11/276,938, filed March 17, 2006. A true and correct copy of the '011 patent is attached as Exhibit I.

2

6

9

1314

15

12

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

2627

28

- 54. The '011 patent is directed to solving the problem of signal distortion in integrated circuits. In integrated circuit package design, signals may be transmitted in the integrated circuit package over differential pairs. A differential pair includes two electrically conductive traces routed generally parallel to each other. Any length mismatch between each pair of the differential pair conductors may result in significant phase shift of the signal transmitted. Also, the shorter length conductive trace introduces impedance discontinuity, resulting in signal distortion.
- 55. The '011 patent identifies the shortcomings of the prior art. More specifically, the specifications describe that while "an extra trace length is added in the routing of the shorter differential pair connectors . . . before reaching the ball pads" to resolve length mismatch, "the problem of impedance discontinuity in the routing path" is not addressed which causes the signals to be "degraded at the base pads" when utilizing the prior art. *See* '011 patent, 4:6-15.
- 56. To simultaneously correct length mismatch and impedance discontinuity, the '011 patent teaches the routing of the added trace length entirely inside an area surrounded by a contact pad that electrically terminates the shorter one of the two electrical conductors.
- 57. The '011 patent contains 1 independent claim and 3 total claims, covering an integrated circuit substrate. Claim 1 reads:
 - 1. An integrated circuit package comprising:

a differential pair of two electrical conductors; and an added trace length that extends a shorter one of the two electrical conductors by routing the added trace length entirely inside an area Surrounded by a contact pad that electrically terminates the shorter one of the two electrical conductors to compensate for an impedance dis continuity of the shorter one of the two electrical conductors.

58. This claim, as a whole, provides significant benefits and improvements to the function of the semiconductor device, e.g., improving signal integrity compared to previous methods for correcting length mismatch in differential pairs.

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340 (NVIDIA)

- 59. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. The '340 patent is valid and enforceable under the United States patent laws.
- 61. Bell Semiconductor owns, by assignment, all right, title, and interest in and to the '340 patent, including the right to collect for past damages.
- 62. On information and belief, NVIDIA has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '340 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices, including as one example the TU117 GPU, in the United States.
- 63. A claim chart demonstrating NVIDIA's infringement of the '340 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 64. NVIDIA's Accused Products infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '340 patent during the pendency of the '340 patent.
- 65. NVIDIA's infringement of the '340 patent was, and continues to be, done with knowledge of the '340 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that NVIDIA is infringing the '340 patent. On June 3, 2022, a representative of Bell Semiconductor provided actual notice to NVIDIA of the '340 patent. NVIDIA's infringement of the '340 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees.

- 66. NVIDIA's infringement of the '340 patent is exceptional and entitles Bell Semiconductor to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 67. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by NVIDIA's infringement of the '340 patent and will continue to be damaged unless NVIDIA is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 68. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from NVIDIA all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of NVIDIA's infringement of the '340 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT II – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011 (NVIDIA)

- 69. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. The '011 patent is valid and enforceable under the United States patent laws.
- 71. Bell Semiconductor owns, by assignment, all right, title, and interest in and to the '011 patent, including the right to collect for past damages.
- 72. On information and belief, NVIDIA has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '011 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices, including as one example the GA104 GPU, in the United States.
- 73. A claim chart demonstrating NVIDIA's infringement of the '011 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit J.

- 74. NVIDIA's Accused Products infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '011 patent during the pendency of the '011 patent.
- 75. NVIDIA's infringement of the '011 patent was, and continues to be, done with knowledge of the '011 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that NVIDIA is infringing the '011 patent. On August 16, 2021, a representative of Bell Semiconductor provided actual notice to NVIDIA of the '011 patent. NVIDIA's infringement of the '011 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees.
- 76. NVIDIA's infringement of the '011 patent is exceptional and entitles Bell Semiconductor to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 77. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by NVIDIA's infringement of the '011 patent and will continue to be damaged unless NVIDIA is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 78. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from NVIDIA all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of NVIDIA's infringement of the '011 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

<u>COUNT III – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,646,091</u> (NVIDIA)

- 79. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 80. The '091 patent is valid and enforceable under the United States patent laws.
- 81. Bell Semiconductor owns, by assignment, all right, title, and interest in and to the '091 patent, including the right to collect for past damages.

- 82. On information and belief, NVIDIA has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '091 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices, including as one example the ConnectX-4 Lx (MT27711A0-FDCF-GE), in the United States.
- 83. A claim chart demonstrating NVIDIA's infringement of the '091 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 84. NVIDIA's Accused Products infringe and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '091 patent during the pendency of the '091 patent.
- 85. NVIDIA's infringement of the '091 patent was, and continues to be, done with knowledge of the '091 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that NVIDIA is infringing the '091 patent. On July 30, 2021, a representative of Bell Semiconductor provided actual notice to NVIDIA of the '091 patent. NVIDIA's infringement of the '091 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees.
- 86. NVIDIA's infringement of the '091 patent is exceptional and entitles Bell Semiconductor to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 87. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by NVIDIA's infringement of the '091 patent and will continue to be damaged unless NVIDIA is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 88. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from NVIDIA all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of NVIDIA's infringement of the '091 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

<u>COUNT IV – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,345,245</u> (NVIDIA)

- 89. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 90. The '245 patent is valid and enforceable under the United States patent Laws.
- 91. Bell Semiconductor owns, by assignment, all right, title, and interest in and to the '245 patent, including the right to collect for past damages.
- 92. On information and belief, NVIDIA has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '245 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices, including as one example of those fabricated with the Maxwell 2.0 Architecture, the ODNX02-A2, in the United States.
- 93. A claim chart demonstrating NVIDIA's infringement of the '245 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
- 94. NVIDIA's Accused Products infringe and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '245 patent during the pendency of the '245 patent.
- 95. NVIDIA's infringement of the '245 patent was, and continues to be, done with knowledge of the '245 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that NVIDIA is infringing the '245 patent. On July 30, 2021, a representative of Bell Semiconductor provided actual notice to NVIDIA of the '245 patent. NVIDIA's infringement of the '245 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees.
- 96. NVIDIA's infringement of the '245 patent is exceptional and entitles Bell Semiconductor to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14 15 16

- 97. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by NVIDIA's infringement of the '245 patent and will continue to be damaged unless NVIDIA is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from NVIDIA all damages that 98. Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of NVIDIA's infringement of the '245 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT V – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269 (NVIDIA)

- Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the 99. allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- The '269 patent is valid and enforceable under the United States patent laws.
- Bell Semiconductor owns, by assignment, all right, title, and interest in and to the '269 patent, including the right to collect for past damages.
- 102. On information and belief, NVIDIA has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '269 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices, including as one example the TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs, in the United States.
- 103. A claim chart demonstrating NVIDIA's infringement of the '269 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 104. NVIDIA's Accused Products infringe and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '269 patent during the pendency of the '269 patent.
- 105. NVIDIA's infringement of the '269 patent was, and continues to be, done with knowledge of the '269 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's

contention that NVIDIA is infringing the '269 patent. On March 26, 2020, a representative of Bell Semiconductor provided actual notice to NVIDIA of the '269 patent. NVIDIA's infringement of the '269 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees.

- 106. NVIDIA's infringement of the '269 patent is exceptional and entitles Bell Semiconductor to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 107. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by NVIDIA's infringement of the '269 patent and will continue to be damaged unless NVIDIA is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 108. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from NVIDIA all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of NVIDIA's infringement of the '269 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT VI – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340 (DELL)

- 109. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 110. On information and belief, Dell has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '340 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices in the United States. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the Dell Precision 5540 incorporating the Quadro T1000 graphics card.

- 111. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Dell's infringement of the '340 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '340 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Dell is infringing the '340 patent. Dell's infringement of the '340 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 112. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Dell's infringement of the '340 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Dell is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 113. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Dell all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Dell's infringement of the '340 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT VII – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269 (DELL)

- 114. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 115. On information and belief, Dell has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '269 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices in the United States. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the Dell Precision 5540 incorporating the Quadro T1000 graphics card.

- 116. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Dell's infringement of the '269 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '269 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Dell is infringing the '269 patent. Dell's infringement of the '269 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 117. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Dell's infringement of the '269 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Dell is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 118. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Dell all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Dell's infringement of the '269 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT VIII – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011 (DELL)

- 119. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 120. On information and belief, Dell has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '011 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA GA104 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the Dell RTX 3070 OEM graphics card and the Alienware Aurora R10 incorporating the GeForce RTX 3060 graphics card.

- 121. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Dell's infringement of the '011 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '011 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Dell is infringing the '011 patent. Dell's infringement of the '011 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 122. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Dell's infringement of the '011 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Dell is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 123. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Dell all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Dell's infringement of the '011 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT IX – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,646,091 (DELL)

- 124. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 125. On information and belief, Dell has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '091 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include the Dell PowerEdge R750XA Server incorporating the NVIDIA/Mellanox ConnectX-4 Lx Ethernet chip.
- 126. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Dell's infringement of the '091 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '091 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Dell is infringing the '091 patent.

Dell's infringement of the '091 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 127. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Dell's infringement of the '091 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Dell is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 128. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Dell all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Dell's infringement of the '091 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT X – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340 (GIGABYTE)

- 129. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 130. On information and belief, Gigabyte has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '340 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the Gigabyte GTX 1650 OC graphics card and the AERO 15 OLED SA-7US5130SH PC incorporating the GeForce GTX 1660 Ti graphics card.
- 131. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Gigabyte's infringement of the '340 patent continues to be done with knowledge of the '340 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Gigabyte is infringing the '340 patent. Gigabyte's infringement of the '340 patent is thus willful and deliberate,

entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 132. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Gigabyte's infringement of the '340 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Gigabyte is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 133. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Gigabyte all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Gigabyte's infringement of the '340 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

<u>COUNT XI – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269</u> (<u>GIGABYTE</u>)

- 134. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 135. On information and belief, Gigabyte has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '269 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the Gigabyte GTX 1650 OC graphics card and the AERO 15 OLED SA-7US5130SH PC incorporating the GeForce GTX 1660 Ti graphics card.
- 136. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Gigabyte's infringement of the '269 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '269 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Gigabyte is infringing the '269 patent. Gigabyte's infringement of the '269 patent is thus willful and deliberate,

entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 137. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Gigabyte's infringement of the '269 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Gigabyte is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 138. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Gigabyte all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Gigabyte's infringement of the '269 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

<u>COUNT XII – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011</u> (<u>GIGABYTE</u>)

- 139. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 140. On information and belief, Gigabyte has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '011 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA GA104 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the Gigabyte RTX 3070 Eagle graphics card and the Aorus 15 PC incorporating the GeForce RTX 3070 Ti graphics card.
- 141. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Gigabyte's infringement of the '011 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '011 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Gigabyte is infringing the '011 patent. Gigabyte's infringement of the '011 patent is thus willful and deliberate,

entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 142. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Gigabyte's infringement of the '011 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Gigabyte is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 143. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Gigabyte all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Gigabyte's infringement of the '011 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

<u>COUNT XIII – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,646,091</u> <u>(GIGABYTE)</u>

- 144. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 145. On information and belief, Gigabyte has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '091 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include the Gigabyte G292 GPU Server incorporating the NVIDIA/Mellanox ConnectX-4 Lx Ethernet chip.
- 146. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Gigabyte's infringement of the '091 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '091 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Gigabyte is infringing the '091 patent. Gigabyte's infringement of the '091 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 147. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Gigabyte's infringement of the '091 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Gigabyte is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 148. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Gigabyte all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Gigabyte's infringement of the '091 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XIV – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340 (MSI)

- 149. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 150. On information and belief, MSI has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '340 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the MSI GTX 1650 GAMING graphics card and the Trident X JOJO202106241207 PC incorporating the GeForce GTX 1660 SUPER graphics card.
- 151. At least as of the date of this Complaint, MSI's infringement of the '340 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '340 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that MSI is infringing the '340 patent. MSI's infringement of the '340 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 152. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by MSI's infringement of the '340 patent and will continue to be damaged unless MSI is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 153. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from MSI all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of MSI's infringement of the '340 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XV – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269 (MSI)

- 154. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 155. On information and belief, MSI has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '269 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the MSI GTX 1650 GAMING graphics card and the Trident X JOJO202106241207 PC incorporating the GeForce GTX 1660 SUPER graphics card.
- 156. At least as of the date of this Complaint, MSI's infringement of the '269 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '269 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that MSI is infringing the '269 patent. MSI's infringement of the '269 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 157. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by MSI's infringement of the '269 patent and will continue to be damaged unless MSI is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 158. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from MSI all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of MSI's infringement of the '269 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XVI – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011 (MSI)

- 159. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 160. On information and belief, MSI has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '011 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA GA104 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to the MSI RTX 3070 SUPRIM graphics card and the Titan GT77 PC incorporating the GeForce RTX 3080 Ti graphics card.
- 161. At least as of the date of this Complaint, MSI's infringement of the '011 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '011 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that MSI is infringing the '011 patent. MSI's infringement of the '011 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

162. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by MSI's infringement of the '011 patent and will continue to be damaged unless MSI is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from MSI all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of MSI's infringement of the '011 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XVI – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,345,245 (NINTENDO)

- 163. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 164. On information and belief, Nintendo has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '245 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include products incorporating the ODNX01 and ODNX02 series SoCs, including but not limited to the Nintendo Switch.
- 165. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Nintendo's infringement of the '245 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '245 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Nintendo is infringing the '245 patent. Nintendo's infringement of the '245 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 166. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Nintendo's infringement of the '245 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Nintendo is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for

which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

167. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Nintendo all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Nintendo's infringement of the '245 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XIV – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340 (BEST BUY)

- 168. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 169. On information and belief, Best Buy has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '340 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to GTX 1650 graphics cards and the Lenovo 16" ThinkPad P1 Gen 4 Laptop (SKU 6505401) incorporating an NVIDIA Quadro T1000 graphics card.
- 170. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Best Buy's infringement of the '340 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '340 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Best Buy is infringing the '340 patent. Best Buy's infringement of the '340 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 171. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Best Buy's infringement of the '340 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Best Buy is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for

5

11

8

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22 23

26

25

24

27 28 which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Best Buy all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Best Buy's infringement of the '340 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XV - INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269 (BEST BUY)

- Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- On information and belief, Best Buy has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '269 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to GTX 1650 graphics cards and the Lenovo 16" ThinkPad P1 Gen 4 Laptop (SKU 6505401) incorporating an NVIDIA Quadro T1000 graphics card.
- 175. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Best Buy's infringement of the '269 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '269 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Best Buy is infringing the '269 patent. Best Buy's infringement of the '269 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Best Buy's infringement of the '269 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Best Buy is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for

which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

177. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Best Buy all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Best Buy's infringement of the '269 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XVI – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011 (BEST BUY)

- 178. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 179. On information and belief, Best Buy has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '011 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA GA104 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to GeForce RTX 3070 graphics cards (e.g., SKU 6480307) and the Precision 7000 17.3" Laptop (SKU 6477524) incorporating an NVIDIA RTX A3000 graphics card.
- 180. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Best Buy's infringement of the '011 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '011 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Best Buy is infringing the '011 patent. Best Buy's infringement of the '011 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 181. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Best Buy's infringement of the '011 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Best Buy is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for

which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

182. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Best Buy all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Best Buy's infringement of the '011 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XVII – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,345,245 (BEST BUY)

- 183. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 184. On information and belief, Best Buy has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '245 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include products incorporating the ODNX01 and ODNX02 series SoCs, including but not limited to the Nintendo Switch (SKU 6364255).
- 185. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Best Buy's infringement of the '245 patent continues to be done with knowledge of the '245 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Best Buy is infringing the '245 patent. Best Buy's infringement of the '245 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 186. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Best Buy's infringement of the '245 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Best Buy is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

187. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Best Buy all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Best Buy's infringement of the '245 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XVIII – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,049,340 (AMAZON)

- 188. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 189. On information and belief, Amazon has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '340 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1650 graphics cards and the HP Pavilion Gaming Desktop (TG01-1120) incorporating such a card.
- 190. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Amazon's infringement of the '340 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '340 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Amazon is infringing the '340 patent. Amazon's infringement of the '340 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 191. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Amazon's infringement of the '340 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Amazon is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

192. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Amazon all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Amazon's infringement of the '340 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XIX – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,288,269 (AMAZON)

- 193. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 194. On information and belief, Amazon has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '269 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA TU106, TU116, and TU117 GPUs and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1650 graphics cards and the HP Pavilion Gaming Desktop (TG01-1120) incorporating such a card.
- 195. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Amazon's infringement of the '269 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '269 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Amazon is infringing the '269 patent. Amazon's infringement of the '269 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 196. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Amazon's infringement of the '269 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Amazon is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

197. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Amazon all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Amazon's infringement of the '269 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XX – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,180,011 (AMAZON)

- 198. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 199. On information and belief, Amazon has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '011 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include graphics cards with the NVIDIA GA104 GPUs, and computers incorporating such cards, including but not limited to NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3070 graphics cards and the Acer Predator Orion 3000 computer (Model Number DG.E2GAA.00A) incorporating such a card.
- 200. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Amazon's infringement of the '011 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '011 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Amazon is infringing the '011 patent. Amazon's infringement of the '011 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 201. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Amazon's infringement of the '011 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Amazon is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.

202. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Amazon all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Amazon's infringement of the '011 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

COUNT XXI – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,345,245 (AMAZON)

- 203. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 204. On information and belief, Amazon has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '245 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States, one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example and not limitation, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include products incorporating the ODNX01 and ODNX02 system-on-chip devices, including but not limited to the Nintendo Switch.
- 205. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Amazon's infringement of the '245 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '245 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Amazon is infringing the '245 patent. Amazon's infringement of the '245 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 206. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Amazon's infringement of the '245 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Amazon is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 207. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Amazon all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Amazon's infringement of the '245 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

208. Bell Semiconductor re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 209. On information and belief, Amazon has and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) one or more claims of the '091 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling within the United States, or importing into the United States one or more semiconductor devices. By way of example, the products giving rise to claims of infringement include ConnectX-4 Lx, ConnectX-5, ConnectX-6, and ConnectX-7 ethernet chips and products such as ethernet adapters incorporating such chips. On information and belief, Amazon additionally uses one or more servers incorporating infringing ethernet adapters, including but not limited to its installation at an AWS Local Zone in Los Angeles.
- 210. At least as of the date of this Complaint, Amazon's infringement of the '091 patent is and continues to be done with knowledge of the '091 patent and with knowledge of Bell Semiconductor's contention that Amazon is infringing the '091 patent. Amazon's infringement of the '091 patent is thus willful and deliberate, entitling Bell Semiconductor to enhanced damages and attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.
- 211. Bell Semiconductor has been damaged by Amazon's infringement of the '091 patent and will continue to be damaged unless Amazon is enjoined by this Court. Bell Semiconductor has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law. The balance of hardships favors Bell Semiconductor, and public interest is not disserved by an injunction.
- 212. Bell Semiconductor is entitled to recover from Amazon all damages that Bell Semiconductor has sustained as a result of Amazon's infringement of the '091 patent, including without limitation and/or not less than a reasonable royalty.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Bell Semiconductor respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor as follows and award Bell Semiconductor the following relief:

- (a) a judgment declaring that each Defendant has, respectively, infringed one or more claims of the Asserted Patents in this litigation pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq.;
- (b) an award of damages adequate to compensate Bell Semiconductor for infringement of the Asserted Patents by each Defendant, respectively, in an amount to be proven at trial, including supplemental post-verdict damages until such time as each Defendant ceases its infringing conduct;
- (c) a permanent injunction, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, prohibiting each Defendant and its officers, directors, employees, agents, consultants, contractors, suppliers, distributors, all affiliated entities, and all others acting in privity with each Defendant, from committing further acts of infringement;
- (d) a judgment requiring each Defendant to make an accounting of damages resulting from its infringement of the respective Asserted Patents;
- (e) enhanced damages for willful infringement;
- (f) the costs of this action, as well as attorneys' fees as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- (g) pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum amount permitted by law;
- (h) all other relief, in law or equity, to which Bell Semiconductor is entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial for all issues so triable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 By: /s/Alex Chan Dated: August 12, 2022 Alex Chan (SBN 278805) 2 DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC 16219 Flamstead Drive 3 Hacienda Heights, CA 91745 4 Telephone: (646) 331-0604 Facsimile: (302) 353–4251 5 achan@devlinlawfirm.com 6 7 Timothy Devlin* DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC 8 1526 Gilpin Avenue 9 Wilmington, Delaware 19806 10 Telephone: (302) 449–9010 Facsimile: (302) 353-4251 11 12 *Pro Hac Application forthcoming Attorneys for Plaintiff Bell Semiconductor, 13 LLC14 15 16 17 18 Exhibits: Ex. A – '340 patent 19 Ex. B - '269 patent 20 Ex. C – '091 patent Ex. D – '245 patent 21 Ex. E – '340 Claim Chart 22 Ex. F – '269 Claim Chart 23 Ex. G – '091 Claim Chart Ex. H – '245 Claim Chart 24 Ex. I – '011 patent 25 Ex. J – '011 Claim Chart Ex. K – Best Buy Store Directory (California locations) 26 Ex. L – Best Buy Store Directory (Los Angeles, California locations) 27

28