IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

)))

)

NEO WIRELESS, LLC,
Plaintiff,
V.
FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
Defendant.

Case No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

<u>PLAINTIFF NEO WIRELESS, LLC'S</u> <u>COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT</u>

)

Plaintiff Neo Wireless, LLC ("Neo Wireless," "Neo," or "Plaintiff"), brings this action for patent infringement under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271</u> against Defendant Ford Motor Company ("Ford" or "Defendant"). Plaintiff alleges, based upon its own personal knowledge with respect to its own actions and based upon information and belief with respect to all others' actions, as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Neo Wireless, LLC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Wayne, Pennsylvania.

2. On information and belief, Ford is organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1 American Road, Dearborn, Michigan 48126. Ford may be served through its registered agent, The Corporation Company, at 120 South Central Avenue, Clayton, Missouri 63105.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This action arises under the Patent Act, <u>35 U.S.C. § 1</u> et seq.

4. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court under <u>28 U.S.C. §§ 1331</u> and <u>1338(a)</u>.

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.2 Filed 03/29/22 Page 2 of 16

5. Venue is proper under <u>28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)</u> because, on information and belief, Ford (1) has committed acts of infringement in this District and (2) has a regular and established place of business in this District.

6. On information and belief, Ford owns and operates an assembly plant located at 8121 US-69, Claycomo, Missouri 64119, which is in this District. Upon information and belief, this facility manufactures infringing products, including the Ford F-150.¹ Upon information and belief, the Ford F-Series are the best selling vehicles in the United States.² Upon information and belief, Ford's Claycomo plant is over 4 million square feet and employs over 7,000 people.³ Upon information and belief Ford's Claycomo plant manufactures more vehicles than any other facility in the United States and is the largest tax generator in Clay County, MO.⁴

7. Ford is subject to this Court's specific personal jurisdiction due at least to Ford's substantial business activities in this District, including (1) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; (2) maintaining a regular and established place of business; and/or (3) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Missouri and in this District.

¹ See https://fordauthority.com/fmc/ford-motor-company-plants-facilities/ford-motor-company-usaplants-facilities/ford-motor-company-kansas-city-assembly-plant-clay-county-missouri-usa/; https://corporate.ford.com/operations/locations/global-plants/kansas-city-assembly-plant.html.

² https://www.caranddriver.com/news/g36005989/best-selling-cars-2021/

³ https://fordauthority.com/fmc/ford-motor-company-plants-facilities/ford-motor-company-usa-plants-facilities/ford-motor-company-kansas-city-assembly-plant-clay-county-missouri-usa/

⁴ *Id.*

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.3 Filed 03/29/22 Page 3 of 16

8. Ford does and intends to do business in Missouri and in this District, directly or through intermediaries, and offer their products and/or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and potential customers located in Missouri and in this District.

9. Ford, both directly and through its subsidiaries or intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), have purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more infringing products and/or services, as described below, into the stream of commerce with the expectation that those products will be purchased and used by customers and/or consumers in the Western District of Missouri.

10. These infringing products and/or services have been and continue to be made, used, sold, offered for sale, purchased, and/or imported by customers and/or consumers in the Western District of Missouri.

11. Defendant has placed the Accused Products into the stream of commerce by making, selling, and/or offering to sell Accused Products in the Western District of Missouri, shipping Accused Products into the Western District of Missouri, and/or shipping Accused Products knowing that those products would be shipped into the Western District of Missouri.

THE ASSERTED PATENTS

I. <u>The '366 Patent</u>

12. On June 18, 2013, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 8,467,366 ("the '366 patent"), entitled "Methods and Apparatus for Random Access in Multi-Carrier Communication Systems." A copy of the '366 patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

13. The '366 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application 13/205,579, which was filed by Neocific Inc. on August 8, 2011 and was assigned from the inventors to Waltical Solutions, Inc. on April 8, 2005. The application was later assigned from Waltical Solutions, Inc. to Neocific,

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.4 Filed 03/29/22 Page 4 of 16

Inc. on December 14, 2005. The now-issued '366 patent was assigned from Neocific, Inc. to CFIP NCF LLC on November 22, 2019 before it was assigned to Neo Wireless LLC on January 23, 2020.

14. The '366 patent is valid and enforceable.

II. The '908 Patent

15. On April 17, 2018, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 10,833,908 ("the '908 patent"), entitled "Channel Probing Signal for a Broadband Communication System." A copy of the '908 patent is attached as <u>Exhibit 2</u>.

16. The '908 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application 16/902,740, which was filed on June 16, 2020 by Neo Wireless LLC on behalf of the inventors.

17. The '908 patent is valid and enforceable.

III. The '941 Patent

18. On September 11, 2018, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 10,075,941 ("the '941 patent"), entitled "Methods and Apparatus for Multi-Carrier Communications with Adaptive Transmission and Feedback." A copy of the '941 patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

19. The '941 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application 15/082,878, which was filed by Neocific, Inc. on March 28, 2016. The now-issued '941 patent was assigned from Neocific, Inc. to CFIP NCF LLC on November 22, 2019 before it was assigned to Neo Wireless LLC on January 23, 2020.

20. The '941 patent is valid and enforceable.

IV. The '450 Patent

21. On October 15, 2019, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 10,447,450 ("the '450 patent"), entitled "Method and System for Multi-Carrier Packet Communication with Reduced Overhead." A copy of the '450 patent is attached as Exhibit 4.

22. The '450 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application 15/676,421, which was filed by Neocific, Inc. on August 14, 2017. The now-issued '450 patent was later assigned from Neocific, Inc. to CFIP NCF LLC on November 22, 2019 before it was assigned to Neo Wireless LLC on January 23, 2020.

23. The '450 patent is valid and enforceable.

V. <u>The '512 Patent</u>

24. On March 30, 2021, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 10,965,512 ("the '512 patent"), entitled "Method and Apparatus Using Cell-Specific and Common Pilot Subcarriers in multi-Carrier, Multi Cell Wireless Communication Networks." A copy of the '512 patent is attached as <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

25. The '512 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application 17/012,813, which was filed by Neo Wireless on September 4, 2020.

26. The '512 patent is valid and enforceable.

VI. <u>The '302 Patent</u>

27. On September 8, 2020, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 10,771,302 ("the '302 patent"), entitled "Method and System for Multi-Carrier Packet Communication with Reduced Overhead." A copy of the '302 patent is attached as Exhibit 6.

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.6 Filed 03/29/22 Page 6 of 16

28. The '302 patent issued from U.S. Patent Application 15/953,950, which was filed on April 16, 2019 and was assigned from Neocific, Inc. to CFIP NCF LLC on November 22, 2019 before it was assigned to Neo Wireless LLC on January 23, 2020.

29. The '302 patent is valid and enforceable.

30. Neo Wireless owns all rights, title, and interest in and to each of the '366, '908, '941, '450, '512, and '302 patents (the "Patents-in-Suit" or "Asserted Patents") and possesses all rights of recovery.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

31. Inventor Xiaodong (Alex) Li, Ph.D. founded Neocific Inc. in the early 2000s to design, develop, and implement a new wireless communication system. He and his co-inventors had extensive experience with wireless communications systems, including the development of the Wi-Max standards, and a deep understanding of the flaws in existing systems at the time. The inventors saw an opportunity to create a new wireless communication system meant to address those flaws while incorporating cutting-edge Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) based technologies, and, starting in the 2004-2005 timeframe, they filed patents on the work.

32. Dr. Li served as the President and Founder of Neocific. Dr. Li obtained his Ph.D. in electrical engineering from the University of Washington, his M.S. from Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and his B.S. from Tsinghua University. Dr. Li has authored more than 30 journal and conference papers in wireless communications, video coding, and networking. He has been granted more than 100 U.S. and foreign patents.

33. Dr. Titus Lo, Ph.D. is a founding employee of Neocific. Dr. Lo obtained his Ph.D. in electrical engineering from McMaster University and his B.S. from the University of British Columbia. Dr. Lo has authored more than 30 technical papers in international peer-reviewed

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.7 Filed 03/29/22 Page 7 of 16

journals and presented more than 50 times at industry events. He has been granted more than 100 U.S. and foreign patents.

34. The inventions in the Patents-in-Suit relate to various improvements in OFDMA networks and corresponding user equipment, and those improvements have since been incorporated into the 3GPP standards for 4G/LTE and 5G/NR networks.

35. Neo Wireless owns all substantial right, title, and interest in the Patents-in-Suit, and holds the right to sue and recover damages for infringement thereof.

36. David Loo is the CEO of Plaintiff Neo Wireless. Mr. Loo works and resides in Wayne, Pennsylvania. Mr. Loo has over a decade of experience as a licensing executive and patent attorney with a well-established track record of assisting companies, inventors, and patent holders to ensure they are fairly compensated for their inventions.

37. The wireless communication industry has been developing rapidly since Bell Labs developed the First Generation of modern commercial cellular technology in 1984. Multiple wireless communication technologies designated by generations emerged and brought new capacities to people all over the world. In 2008, 3GPP created and finalized the LTE standards as an upgrade to 3G. The cellular industry recognized its major benefits, and virtually all cellular device manufacturers have embraced LTE as the next generation of commercial cellular technology and developed phones, hotspots, and other cellular-connectivity devices to utilize the 4G LTE technology.

38. In recent years, automakers have implemented this cellular communications technology into their vehicles. Telematics systems first debuted in 1996 through OnStar using analog cell networks, which allowed consumers to receive remote diagnostics, remotely unlock vehicles, and receive emergency services after a collision. In 2007, 3G technology emerged,

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.8 Filed 03/29/22 Page 8 of 16

bringing greater speed and capacity to these features and allowing automakers to design more advanced functions.

39. When the technology emerged, Ford began implementing the newest 4G LTE cellular technology into many of its products. 4G LTE technology provided for 10 times faster data speeds, increased responsiveness, and the ability to support voice and data connections simultaneously. 4G LTE connection further provides consumers with a variety of in-vehicle wi-fi hot spots and vast entertainment options. As a result, Ford could better support a variety of wireless features, including remote lock and unlock, remote start and remote start scheduling, parked vehicle location, Vehicle Health Alerts, remote fuel level checks, and Wi-Fi hotspot.

40. Ford provides 4G LTE connectivity in its various products via FordPass Connect, SYNC Connect, and/or Lincoln Connect (collectively referred to as "FordPass"), which integrated into its vehicles.

41. FordPass is the embedded modem in Ford vehicles that allows a user to connect to and control vehicle functions.

42. Building on these 4G LTE capabilities, Ford developed and utilizes the FordPass App and the Lincoln Way App (collectively, the "FordPass App") that enable customers to connect, control, and interact with their vehicles from their cellular devices, using the cellular connectivity of the vehicles. Features on the FordPass App include remotely starting the vehicle, remotely locking and unlocking the vehicle, providing vehicle status information such as fuel or charge level and maintenance information, and receiving Vehicle Health Alerts when the vehicle needs attention.

43. Ford models that implement 4G/LTE communications—including but not limited to the Ford F-150, Explorer, Escape, EcoSport, Edge, Expedition, Super Duty, Fusion, Mustang,

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.9 Filed 03/29/22 Page 9 of 16

Transit, Ranger, Bronco, Maverick, and Lincoln Navigator, Aviator, Corsair, Nautilus, MKZ, MKC, MKS, MKX, and Continental models (and their different variants and trims)—as well as those that may in the future implement 4G/LTE or 5G/NR capabilities, are collectively referred to herein as the "Accused Products."

44. As described further below, the Asserted Patents read onto portions of the LTE or 4G/5G standards, which Ford implements in its Accused Products.

45. Ford does not have any rights to the Patents-in-Suit.

46. Neo Wireless has complied with <u>35 U.S.C. § 287</u>. Neo Wireless does not make, offer for sale, or sell within the United States any patented article under the Asserted Patents. Additionally, to the extent it was necessary, Neo Wireless provided Ford with actual notice of its infringement prior to the filing of this lawsuit, or at a minimum by the filing of this Complaint.

47. In the interest of providing detailed averments of infringement, Neo Wireless has identified below at least one claim per patent to demonstrate infringement. However, the selection of claims should not be considered limiting, and additional claims of the Patents-in-Suit (including method, system, and apparatus claims) that are infringed by Ford will be disclosed in compliance with the Court's rules related to infringement contentions.

FORD'S ACTS OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT

48. Neo Wireless incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

49. As set forth below, Ford's Accused Products incorporate, without any license from Neo Wireless, 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR technology protected by patents owned by Neo Wireless. Neo Wireless respectfully seeks relief from this Court for Ford's infringement.

50. Ford has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, the Asserted Patents under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271(a)</u> by making, using, selling and/or offering to sell, in this District and

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.10 Filed 03/29/22 Page 10 of 16

elsewhere in the United States, and/or importing into this District and elsewhere in the United States, one or more of Ford's Accused Products, that is, certain infringing vehicles outfitted with instrumentalities that infringe the Asserted Patents, as further described in detail in Counts I-VI *infra*.

51. Ford has indirectly infringed the Asserted Patents under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271(b)</u> by inducing infringement by others, such as its subsidiaries, dealerships, distributors, retailers, and end-user customers, by, for example, implementing the infringing features in its cellular-capable products, encouraging its users to take advantage of 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR features within the United States, and/or instructing, dictating, or training its dealerships and customers to use the infringing features.

52. Similarly, Ford's advertising, sales, design, development, and/or technical materials related to the 3GPP LTE/4G and/or 5G/NR standards associated with the Accused Products contained and continue to contain instructions, directions, suggestions, and/or invitations that invite, entice, lead on, influence, encourage, prevail on, move by persuasion, and/or cause its subsidiaries, distributors, retailers, dealerships, customers, and the public to directly infringe at least one claim of each of the Patents-in-Suit, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

53. Ford took the above actions intending to cause infringing acts by others.

54. Ford received actual notice of its infringement of the Asserted Patents as early as December 1, 2021, and at least as early as the date of service of this Complaint. Therefore, Ford was or is now aware of the Asserted Patents or has willfully blinded itself as to the existence of the Asserted Patents and the Accused Products' infringement thereof.

55. Further, Ford has made, used, sold, offered to sell, imported and/or encouraged the making, using, selling, offering to sell, or importing of Ford's Accused Products despite knowing

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.11 Filed 03/29/22 Page 11 of 16

of an objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of the Asserted Patents at all times relevant to this suit. Alternatively, Ford subjectively believed there was a high probability that others would infringe the Asserted Patents but took deliberate steps to avoid confirming that it was actively inducing infringement by others.

56. For the reasons described above, Ford's infringement of the Asserted Patents has been willful.

57. Ford's acts of infringement have caused damage to Neo Wireless. Neo Wireless is entitled to recover from Ford the damages incurred by Neo Wireless as a result of Ford's wrongful acts.

COUNT ONE: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '366 PATENT

58. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations of all of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

59. As described above, Ford has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by implementing and using 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR cellular functionality in the Accused Products, and performing the acts of infringement described above.

60. Ford's Accused Products implement the portions of the 3GPP LTE standard specification that read on at least claim 1 of the '366 patent. *See* Exhibit 7.

61. Ford's Accused Products therefore meet at least one claim of the '366 patent.

62. To the extent that Ford releases any new version of its Accused Products, such instrumentalities will meet the claims of the '366 patent and infringe under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271(a)</u>.
(b) in ways analogous to Ford's current infringement described above.

63. Neo Wireless has been damaged and continues to be damaged by Ford's infringement of the '366 patent.

COUNT TWO: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '908 PATENT

64. Neo Wireless incorporates the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

65. As described above, Ford has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by implementing and using 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR cellular functionality in the Accused Products, and performing the acts of infringement described above.

66. Ford's Accused Products implement the portions of the 3GPP LTE standard specification that read on at least claim 11 of the '908 patent. *See* Exhibit 8.

67. Ford's Accused Products therefore meet at least one claim of the '908 patent.

68. To the extent that Ford releases any new version of its Accused Products, such instrumentalities will meet the claims of the '908 patent and infringe under 35 U.S.C. \$ 271(a)-(b) in ways analogous to Ford's current infringement described above.

69. Neo Wireless has been damaged and continues to be damaged by Ford's infringement of the '908 patent.

COUNT THREE: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '941 PATENT

70. Neo Wireless incorporates the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

71. As described above, Ford has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by implementing and using 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR cellular functionality in the Accused Products, and performing the acts of infringement described above.

72. Ford's Accused Products implement the portions of the 3GPP LTE standard specification that read on at least claim 13 of the '941 patent. *See* Exhibit 9.

73. Ford's Accused Products therefore meet at least one claim of the '941 patent.

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.13 Filed 03/29/22 Page 13 of 16

74. To the extent that Ford releases any new version of its Accused Products, such instrumentalities will meet the claims of the '941 patent and infringe under 35 U.S.C. 271(a)-(b) in ways analogous to Ford's current infringement described above.

75. Neo Wireless has been damaged and continues to be damaged by Ford's infringement of the '941 patent.

COUNT FOUR: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '450 PATENT

76. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations of all of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

77. As described above, Ford has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by implementing and using 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR cellular functionality in the Accused Products, and performing the acts of infringement described above.

78. Ford's Accused Products implements the portions of the 3GPP LTE standard specification that read on at least claim 7 of the '450 patent. *See* Exhibit 10.

79. Ford's Accused Products therefore meet at least one claim of the '450 patent.

80. To the extent that Ford releases any new version of its Accused Products, such instrumentalities will meet the claims of the '450 patent and infringe under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271(a)</u>.
(b) in ways analogous to Ford's current infringement described above.

81. Neo Wireless has been damaged and continues to be damaged by Ford's infringement of the '450 patent.

COUNT FIVE: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '512 PATENT

82. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations of all of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.14 Filed 03/29/22 Page 14 of 16

83. As described above, Ford has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by implementing and using 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR cellular functionality in the Accused Products, and performing the acts of infringement described above.

84. Ford's Accused Products implements the portions of the 3GPP LTE standard specification that read on at least claim 15 of the '512 patent. *See* Exhibit 11.

85. Ford's Accused Products therefore meet at least one claim of the '512 patent.

86. To the extent that Ford releases any new version of its Accused Products, such instrumentalities will meet the claims of the '512 patent and infringe under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271(a)</u>.
(b) in ways analogous to Ford's current infringement described above.

87. Neo Wireless has been damaged and continues to be damaged by Ford's infringement of the '512 patent.

COUNT SIX: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '302 PATENT

88. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations of all of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.

89. As described above, Ford has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents by implementing and using 4G/LTE and/or 5G/NR cellular functionality in the Accused Products, and performing the acts of infringement described above.

90. Ford's Accused Products implements the portions of the 3GPP LTE standard specification that read on at least claim 23 of the '302 patent. *See* Exhibit 12.

91. Ford's Accused Products therefore meet at least one claim of the '302 patent.

92. To the extent that Ford releases any new version of its Accused Products, such instrumentalities will meet the claims of the '302 patent and infringe under <u>35 U.S.C. § 271(a)</u>.
(b) in ways analogous to Ford's current infringement described above.

Case 2:22-cv-11402-TGB ECF No. 1, PageID.15 Filed 03/29/22 Page 15 of 16

93. Neo Wireless has been damaged and continues to be damaged by Ford's infringement of the '302 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- a judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Asserted Patents;
- b. a judgment that Defendant's infringement has been and is willful;
- c. a judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and any enhanced damages to which Plaintiff is entitled for Defendant's infringement;
- a judgment and order requiring Defendant to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Plaintiff, including without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. a judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay ongoing royalties;
- f. a judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of
 <u>35 U.S.C. § 285</u> and awarding Plaintiff its reasonable attorney fees against
 Defendant; and
- g. any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38, Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury on all claims and issues so triable.

DATED: March 29, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

ROUSE FRETS WHITE GOSS GENTILE RHODES, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Brett C. Randol</u> Brett C. Randol MO #57699 Rachel N. Boden MO #66109 5250 W. 116th Place, Suite 400 Leawood, KS 66211 913-387-1600 – PH 913-928-6739 – FAX brandol@rousepc.com rboden@rousepc.com

and

CALDWELL CASSADY CURRY P.C.

/s/ Jason D. Cassady Jason D. Cassady [Pro Hac Vice Admission Pending] 2121 N. Pearl St., Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 888-4848 - PH (214) 888-4849 - FAX jcassady@caldwellcc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEO WIRELESS, LLC