12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Bradley P. Hartman (pro hac vice pending)
	Joseph W. Mott (<i>Pro hac vice</i> pending) HARTMAN TITUS PLC
2	HARTMAN TITUS PLC
3	3507 N. Central Ave., Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2121
	Phone: (602) 235-0500
4	Fax: (888) 506-6572
5	Email: <u>bhartman@hartmantitus.com</u>
	Lisbeth B. Merrill (SBN 201822)
6	INTELINK LAW GROUP, PC
7	23 Corporate Plaza Dr., Suité 150
	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Phone: (949) 401-7220 Fax: (949) 800-6006
8	Phone: (949) 401-7220
9	Fax: (949) 800-6006
9	Email: <u>lmerrill@intelinklaw.com</u>
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DRAIN AWAY, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

FLORAFLEX CORP., a Delaware corporation, and 4D HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-36622

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Drain Away LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Drain Away"), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against defendants FloraFlex Corp. ("FloraFlex") and 4D Holdings, LLC ("4D") (jointly "Defendants"), states and alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Drain Away, LLC, is an Idaho limited liability company with its principal place of business in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. Plaintiff owns the assert '981 Patent.

- 2. Defendant FloraFlex Corp., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 4804 Laurel Canyon Blvd, #1047, Studio City, CA 91607. Upon information and belief, Aaron M. Marshall is Chief Executive Officer of FloraFlex.
- 3. Defendant 4D Holdings, LLC, is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business at 6864 Indiana Ave., Ste 103, Riverside, CA 92506. Upon information and belief, Aaron M. Marshall is the sole member of 4D Holdings.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendants FloraFlex and 4D Holdings are parent and subsidiary entities, affiliates, or otherwise share common ownership and control.
- 5. The decisions of FloraFlex and 4D Holdings are *de facto* the decisions of each other. The controlling ownership interests behind FloraFlex and 4D Holdings operate as one or the other, at their convenience and discretion, to avoid or otherwise mitigate consequences of their decisions.
 - 6. FloraFlex and 4D Holdings are alter egos of Aaron M. Marshall.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Law of the United States of America, Title 35, United States Code § 1 *et seq*.
- 8. This Court has exclusive subject-matter jurisdiction over this suit under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).
- 9. Venue is appropriate in the Central District of California under 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b) and 1400(b). All Defendants have a regular and established place of business within this judicial District and have committed acts of patent infringement in this judicial District.
- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they have their principal place of business in the State of California and the Central District of California.

THE PATENT-IN-SUIT

- 11. This action is for infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent Number 10,462,981 entitled "Planter Pot Drain Tray Including An Elevated Central Pedestal, An Oblique Liquid Drain Away, and Support Members" (the "'981 Patent"), which was issued by the United States Patent Office on November 5, 2019. A true and accurate copy of the '981 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 12. Plaintiff is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '981 Patent.
- 13. The '981 Patent is valid and enforceable and Plaintiff has complied with the statutory patent marking requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287.
- 14. The '981 Patent claims, among other things, a planter pot drain tray with an elevated central pedestal and an oblique liquid drain away member surrounding the elevated central pedestal.
- 15. The invention set forth in the '981 Patent relates to a planted pot drain tray first developed in 2015 and embodied by Plaintiff as its "Drain Away Tray" ("Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product"). An image of Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product is shown below.



16. The invention of the '981 Patent satisfies a long-felt, unmet need in the large scale indoor garden industry to collect and allow the discharge of excess or pass-through water from a planted pot on top of the drain tray.

THE ACCUSED PRODUCT

17. According to Defendants' website, Defendants are in the business of offering products that provide "the best and most efficient ways to deliver water and

nutrients to every plant, while empowering everyone to grow anywhere at any time." An image of Defendants' website is attached as <u>Exhibit B</u>.

- 18. When Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product was first released by Plaintiff for sale in November 2016, the intended customer base was indoor plant growers who use automatic irrigation and drainage systems like the ones sold by Defendants.
- 19. On information and belief, many of Defendants' customers started buying Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product and incorporating it into their irrigation and drainage systems to deal with water runoff more efficiently.
- 20. In December 2020, Plaintiff discovered that Defendants were offering to the public in the United States its "PotPro" platform (the "Accused Product"). An image of the Accused Product is below and attached as Exhibit C.



- 21. Before the Accused Product was sold, customers were known to share pictures on social media sites like Instagram, where Defendants' products and Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product were used together, side-by-side, and so Defendants were well aware of Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product before creating the Accused Product.
- 22. Defendants are infringing the '981 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 based on their unauthorized commercial manufacture, use, importation, offer for sale, and/or sale of the Accused Product.
- 23. The Court has not yet construed the meaning of any claims or terms in the '981 Patent. In providing the following detailed allegations, Plaintiff does not intend to convey or imply any particular claim construction or the precise scope of the claims.

Plaintiff's contentions regarding the construction of the claims will be provided in compliance with the case schedule, any applicable federal or local procedural rules, and/or any applicable orders.

- 24. The Accused Product includes an elevated central pedestal, labeled as 105 at Exhibit C.
- 25. The Accused Product includes an oblique liquid drain away member surrounding the elevated central pedestal, the oblique liquid drain away member labeled as 120 at Exhibit C.
- 26. The oblique liquid drain away member includes a high point at a first end of the planter pot drain tray, labeled as 130 at Exhibit C, and a low point at the opposite end of the planter pot drain tray, labeled as 135 at Exhibit C.
- 27. The Accused Product includes a flanged outer rim, labeled as 110 at Exhibit C, coupled to an outer edge of the oblique liquid drain away member.
- 28. The Accused Product includes a drain spout member, labeled as 145 at Exhibit C, coupled to, directly or indirectly with intervening elements or layers, the flanged outer rim at the low point of the oblique liquid drain away member at the second end of the planter pot drain tray.
- 29. The elevated central pedestal member of the Accused Product includes one or more sidewalls, labeled as 125 at Exhibit C, that intersect with the oblique liquid drain away member.
- 30. The one or more sidewalls of the Accused Product includes a first exposed height above the oblique liquid drain away member toward the first end of the oblique liquid drain away member, and a second exposed height above the oblique liquid drain away member toward the second end of the oblique liquid drain away member, and the second exposed height is greater than the first exposed height.
- 31. The Accused Product is specifically made to be connected to a water conduit at the drain spout.

- 32. The Accused Product is specifically made such that two or more can be connected to a single water draining conduit at the drain spout of each of the Accused Products.
- 33. Defendants have been and are inducing infringement of the '981 Patent by actively and knowingly inducing others to make, use, sell, offer for sale, or import the Accused Product that embodies the inventions claimed in the '981 Patent.
- 34. Plaintiff wrote to Defendants and Defendants have known of the existence of the '981 Patent since at least as early as February 9, 2021. Their acts of infringement have been willful and in disregard for the '981 Patent, without any reasonable basis for believing that they had a right to engage in the infringing conduct.
- 35. Defendants have marketed and sold their drainage and fittings products alongside Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product since 2016. Defendants stole Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product idea and are undercutting Plaintiff in the market.
- 36. Defendants sell their infringing Accused Product at a fraction of the retail price of Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product.
- 37. Plaintiff has lost substantial sales to customers who otherwise would have purchased Plaintiff's Drain Tray Product, but instead purchased the infringing Accused Product.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 10,462,981

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. Defendants have directly infringed and continue to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '981 Patent by making, using, offering for sale, or selling within the United States or importing into the United States planter pot drain trays the embody the invention claimed in the '981 Patent, including the Accused Product and similar goods sold under the name PotPro Platform, without authority of Plaintiff and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

- 40. More specifically, Defendants' sale and/or offer for sale in the United States and/or importation into the United States of the Accused Product infringes at least claims 1-7 of the '981 Patent.
- 41. Defendants do not have a license or permission from Plaintiff to make, use, offer for sale, or sell in the United States or import into the United States the claimed subject matter of the '981 Patent.
- 42. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until enjoined.
- 43. On information and belief, Defendants have been on notice of Plaintiff's rights and the '981 Patent since at least February 9, 2021.
- 44. Despite this knowledge of the '981 Patent and an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement of Plaintiff's valid patent rights, Defendants continued to infringe. Defendants' infringement has been, and continues to be knowing, intentional, and willful.
- 45. As this objectively-defined risk was either known or so obvious that it should have been known to Defendants, Plaintiff seeks enhanced damages from Defendants pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 46. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '981 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages. Defendants are thereby liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendants' infringement, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. §284.
- 47. This case and the direct patent infringement is exceptional and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

INDUCEMENT OF INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 10,462,981

48. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 49. Defendants have been and are inducing infringement of the '981 Patent by actively and knowingly inducing others to make, use, offer for sale, or sell within the United States or import into the United States planter pot drain trays that embody the invention claimed in the '981 Patent, including the Accused Product and similar goods sold under the name PotPro Platform, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 50. More specifically, Defendants' have induced infringement of at least claim 7 of the '981 Patent.
- 51. Defendants do not have a license or permission from Plaintiff to practice the claimed subject matter of the '981 Patent.
- 52. Defendants' aforementioned acts have caused damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until enjoined.
- 53. On information and belief, Defendants have been on notice of Plaintiff's rights and the '981 Patent since at least February 9, 2021.
- 54. Despite this knowledge of the '981 Patent and an objectively high likelihood that its actions constitute infringement of Plaintiff's valid patent rights, Defendants continued to infringe. Defendants' infringement has been, and continues to be knowing, intentional, and willful.
- 55. As this objectively-defined risk was either known or so obvious that it should have been known to Defendants, Plaintiff seeks enhanced damages from Defendants pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 56. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '981 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages. Defendants are thereby liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendants' infringement, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. §284.
- 57. This case and the induced patent infringement is exceptional and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

58. Plaintiffs request a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court enter judgment in its favor and grant the following relief against Defendants, jointly and severally:

- A. Adjudging that Defendants have directly infringed and actively induced infringement of the '981 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) and (b);
- B. Adjudging that Defendants' infringement of the '981 Patent has been willful;
- C. Entering a permanent injunction against Defendants and their respective members, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, all parent, subsidiary and affiliate companies, their successors in interest and assigns, and all other entities and individuals acting in concert with or on their behalf, from infringing, contributing to the infringement of, or inducing infringement of, any claim of the '981 Patent;
- D. Ordering an accounting of Defendant's sales and profits from all infringing sales;
- E. Awarding Plaintiff damages in an amount adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement of the '981 Patent, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty under 35 U.S.C. § 284, together with interest and costs;
- F. Ordering that the damages award be increased up to three times the actual amount assessed, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- G. Declaring this case exceptional and awarding Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- H. Awarding such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

1	 DATED May 27, 2022	INTELINK LAW GROUP, PC
2		
3		By: /s/ Lisbeth B. Merrill Lisbeth B. Merrill
4		23 Corporate Plaza Dr., Suite 150
5		Newport Beach, CA 92660
6		Phone: (949) 401-7220 Fax: (949) 800-6006
7		Email: <u>lmerrill@intelinklaw.com</u>
8		
9		HARTMAN TITUS PLC
10		/s/ Bradley P. Hartman
11		Bradley P. Hartman 3507 N. Central Ave., Suite 101
12		Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2121
13		Tel: 602-235-0500
14		Fax: 888-506-6572 Email: bhartman@hartmantitus.com
15		Eman. onarman@narmanmus.com
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		10