1	Sterling A. Brennan (SBN 126019)			
2	sbrennan@mabr.com			
2	Christina L. Trinh (SBN 307879)			
3	MASCHOFF BRENNAN			
4	GILMORE & ISRAELSEN			
5	100 Spectrum Center Dr, Ste 1200			
6	Irvine, CA 92618-4980 Tel: (949) 202 1900 Eax: (949) 453 11(74		
7	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \text{ cl. } () + y \\ y \\ z \\$			
, o	Brian N. Platt (pro hac vice forthcoming)			
8	bplatt@wnlaw.com			
9	Collin D. Hansen (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcomic chansen awalaw com	ng)		
10	WORKMAN NYDEGGER			
11	60 East South Temple Suite 1000			
12	Salt Lake City, UT 84111			
13	Tel: (801) 533-9800 – Fax: (801) 328-170)7		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Flying Heliball LLC			
14				
15				
16				
17	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT		
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19		1		
20				
20	California limited liability company.	Case No: 2:23-cv-1778		
21				
22	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
23	VS			
24	v 5.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
25	SPIN COPTER, INC., a California			
26	corporation			
27	Defendant			
27				
28				
		UKIGINAL CUMPLAIN I		

Plaintiff Flying Heliball, LLC ("Flying Heliball" or "Plaintiff"), for its Complaint against Defendant Spin Copter, Inc. ("Spin Copter" or "Defendant"), hereby states and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the laws of the 1. United States of America. Plaintiff Flying Heliball is the owner of United States Patent Number 7,100,866 (the "'866 Patent") titled "Control System for a Flying Vehicle."



Without license or authorization, Defendant Spin Copter sells flying 2. vehicles that practice the patented inventions of the '866 Patent including the "Hover Force" Spincopter flying vehicle (the "Accused Products") depicted below (see Exhibit A):



6. Defendant Spin Copter is a California corporation with a principal place
 of business at 834 Saint Andrews Circle, Paso Robles, California 93446. Spin
 Copter may be served with process through its registered agent, Kevin Pisor, 834
 Saint Andrews Circle, Paso Robles, California 93446.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws
of the United States, Title 35 United States Code, §§ 1 et seq.

8 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to
9 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action concerns the infringement of a
10 United States patent.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Spin Copter because it is 11 located within this judicial district. Spin Copter has purposefully and voluntarily 12 availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the United States, in the 13 State of California, and in this judicial district by virtue of its location here. In the 14 State of California and in this judicial district, Spin Copter directly or through 15 intermediaries performs at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein, and 16 distributes, markets, sells, or offers to sell products that practice the invention of the 17 '866 Patent. 18

10. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 1400(b) because the
Defendant resides in this judicial district and because the Defendant has a regular
and established places of business in this judicial district and has committed acts of
patent infringement in this judicial district.

23

5

BACKGROUND

11. Flying Heliball and its parent corporation are international sellers and
distributors of toys and are largely focused on radio-controlled helicopters and other
flying vehicles.

27 28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

In 2022, the '866 Patent was assigned to Flying Heliball, which is the
 owner of the '866 Patent. The inventions claimed in the '866 Patent relate to a
 control system for a flying toy.

4

5

13. Jeffrey Rehkemper, Nicholas Grisolia, Peter Greenley, and Bret Gould are the named inventors on the '866 patent.

6

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,100,866

7 14. Flying Heliball incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding
8 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

9 15. On September 5, 2006, the '866 Patent titled "Control system for a
10 flying vehicle" was duly issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
11 A copy of the '866 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

12 16. The '866 Patent is valid, enforceable, and was issued in full compliance
13 with Title 35 of the United States Code.

14

17. Flying Heliball is the owner by assignment of the '866 Patent.

18. During the term of the '866 Patent, the Defendant has made, used,
offered for sale, distributed, and/or sold in the United States the Accused Products
without authorization or license.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. Claim 1 of the '866 Patent is reproduced in full below:
 - 1. A vehicle having a means for propelling in a vertical direction, further comprising:

a transmitter/receiver pair positioned on the vehicle, the transmitter transmitting a signal from the vehicle in a predetermined direction;

a receiver positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for receiving said signal as it is bounced off of a surface, defined as a bounced signal; and

a control system that automatically sets a speed of the propelling means in response to the receiver, said control system having a first means to set the speed of the propelling means to a first speed when the receiver

Case	2:23-cv-01778-GW-KES Document 1 Filed 03/09/23 Page 6 of 16 Page ID #:6	
1	receives the bounced signal and the control system having a second means to set the speed of the propelling means	
2	to a second speed when the receiver does not receive the bounced signal, the first speed being predefined as a	
3	speed that causes the vehicle to gain altitude and the	
4	vehicle to lose altitude.	
5	20. To the extent the preamble of Claim 1 of the '866 Patent is limiting, the	
6	Accused Products meet this limitation as set forth below:	
7	1. A vehicle having a means for propelling in a vertical	
8	direction, further comprising:	
9 10	a transmitter positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for transmitting a signal from the vehicle downwardly away	
10	from said vehicle;	
12	a receiver positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for receiving said signal as it is bounced off of a surface	
13	defined as a bounced signal; and	
14	a control system that automatically sets a speed of the	
15	system having a first means to set the speed of the	
16	propelling means to a first speed when the receiver receives the bounced signal and the control system having	
17	a second means to set the speed of the propelling means to a second speed when the receiver does not receive the	
18	bounced signal, the first speed being predefined as a	
19	second speed being predefined as a speed that causes the	
20	vehicle to lose altitude.	
21		
22		
23 24	//	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28		
	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT	



1 2 21. The Accused Products further meet the limitations of Claim 1 of the 3 '866 Patent as set forth below: 4 A vehicle having a means for propelling in a vertical 1. 5 direction, further comprising: 6 a transmitter positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for transmitting a signal from the vehicle downwardly 7 away from said vehicle; 8 a receiver positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for 9 receiving said signal as it is bounced off of a surface, defined as a bounced signal; and 10 11 a control system that automatically sets a speed of the propelling means in response to the receiver, said control 12 system having a first means to set the speed of the propelling means to a first speed when the receiver 13 receives the bounced signal and the control system having 14 a second means to set the speed of the propelling means to a second speed when the receiver does not receive the 15 bounced signal, the first speed being predefined as a speed that causes the vehicle to gain altitude and the 16 second speed being predefined as a speed that causes the 17 vehicle to lose altitude. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT** - 7 -





1			
2	22. The Accused Products further meet the limitations of Claim 1 of the		
3	866 Patent as set forth below:		
4		1 A vehicle having a means for propelling in a vertical	
5		direction, further comprising:	
6		a transmitter positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for	
7		from said vehicle;	
8		a receiver positioned on the bottom of said vehicle for	
9		receiving said signal as it is bounced off of a surface, defined as a bounced signal; and	
10		a control system that automatically sets a speed of the	
12		propelling means in response to the receiver, said control system having a first means to set the speed of	
13		the propelling means to a first speed when the receiver receives the bounced signal and the control system	
14		having a second means to set the speed of the propelling means to a second speed when the receiver	
15		does not receive the bounced signal, the first speed being predefined as a speed that causes the vehicle to	
16		gain altitude and the second speed being predefined as	
17	//	a speed that causes the venicle to lose altitude.	
18	//		
20	//		
21	//		
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25	//		
26	//		
21	//		
20		ORIGINAL COMPLAINT	
		- 10 -	



a control system that automatically sets a speed of the propelling means in response to the receiver, said control system having a first means to set the speed of the propelling means to a first speed when the receiver receives the bounced signal and the control system having a second means to set the speed of the propelling means to a second speed when the receiver does not receive the bounced signal, the first speed being predefined as a speed that causes the vehicle to gain altitude and the second speed being predefined as a speed that causes the vehicle to lose altitude

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT



Defendant has directly infringed and continues to infringe one or more
 claims of the '866 Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, including
 at least Claim 1, by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing the
 Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a). As such, Defendant is liable
 for infringement of the '866 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

24. Defendant has indirectly infringed one or more claims of the '866
Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, including at least Claim 1,
by inducing others to make, use, offer for sale, or sell the Accused Products in
violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has actual knowledge of its infringement
of the '866 Patent, at least since receiving notice of its infringement from the Plaintiff
on August 11, 2022. (*See* Exhibit C.) As such, the Defendant is liable for indirect
infringement of the '866 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

25. Defendant induces infringement by providing its customers with 13 instructions as to how to infringe the '866 Patent including, for example, the online 14 instructions instructional videos URL and located the 15 at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aez6b5yQ608, titled Hover Force Web 16 Video12 19, and posted by user Spin Copter Kevin. 17

Defendant has indirectly infringed one or more claims of the '866 26. 18 Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States by contributory 19 infringement by selling the Accused Products, which have no substantial 20 noninfringing use, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). Defendant has actual 21 knowledge of its infringement of the '866 Patent and Defendant has sold, offered for 22 sale, and/or imported the Accused Products which constitute a material component 23 of the device claimed in the '866 Patent, with the knowledge and intent that such 24 products are especially made and/or especially adapted for use in the direct 25 infringement of the '866 Patent, and which products do not constitute a staple article 26 27 or commodity and which lack any substantial non-infringing use. As such, the

28

Defendant is liable for indirect infringement of the '866 Patent under 35 U.S.C. §
 271(c).

27. Defendant has been on notice of the '866 Patent at least since August
11, 2022, when it received correspondence advising of its infringement of the '866
Patent and has willfully infringed the '866 Patent by continuing to sell the Accused
Products after that date. A copy of such correspondence is attached hereto as
Exhibit C.

8 28. Flying Heliball and its affiliates have marked their products in
9 accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 287(a).

29. As a result of the Defendant's acts of infringement, Flying Heliball and
its affiliates have been damaged and will continue to suffer damages in an amount
to be proven at trial in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284.

30. Defendant's infringement has been willful and this case is exceptional.
Upon receiving notice of its infringement of the '866 Patent, the Defendant willfully
continued to sell the Accused Products. Flying Heliball is entitled to recover treble
damages and reasonable attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

31. Defendant's infringement of the '866 Patent causes Flying Heliball
irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy available at law. In
accordance with principles of equity and 35 U.S.C. § 283, Defendant's infringement
of the '866 Patent should be permanently enjoined.

21

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

22 Wherefore, Flying Heliball respectfully prays that the Court enter judgment 23 as follows:

A. Declaring that the Defendant has unlawfully and willfully infringed one
or more claims of the '866 Patent;

B. Awarding Flying Heliball damages adequate to compensate for the
Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, for the use
by the Defendant of the inventions of the '866 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1	C. Awarding Flying Heliball costs, pre-judgment, and post-judgment		
2	interest, including in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;		
3	D. Awarding Flying Heliball enhanced damages, in the form of treble		
4	damages, under 35 U.S.C. § 284;		
5	E. Awarding Flying Heliball its reasonable attorneys' fees under 35		
6	U.S.C. § 285 and declaring that this is an exceptional case;		
7	F. Granting Flying Heliball a permanent injunction against Defendant in		
8	accordance with principles of equity to prevent the violation of any right secured by		
9	the '866 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 283; and		
10	G. Granting Flying Heliball other and further relief as the Court may deem		
11	just and proper under the circumstances.		
12			
13	JURY DEMAND		
14	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff		
15	demands a trial by jury on all claims and defenses so triable.		
16			
17	Dated: March 9, 2023 Respectfully submitted,		
18	MASCHOFF BRENNAN GILMORE &		
19	ISRAELSEN		
20	and		
21	WORKMAN NVDEGGER PC		
22	WORKWING WIDLOOLKIC		
23	By: <u>/s/ Sterling A. Brennan</u>		
24	Sterling A. Brennan		
25	Attorneys for Flying Heliball, LLC		
26			
27			
28			
	ORIGINAL COMPLAINT		
	10		