| 1 2 | Brent H. Blakely (SBN 157292) bblakely@blakelylawgroup.com Jamie Fountain (SBN 316567) | | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 3 | BLAKELY LAW GROUP | | | | |
| 4 | 1334 Parkview Avenue, Suite 280 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 | | | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (310) 546-7400 Facsimile: (310) 546-7401 Attorneys for Plaintiff Deckers Outdoor Corporation | | | | |
| 6 | Deckers Outdoor Corporation | | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 8 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 9 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 10 | DECKERS OUTDOOR CORPORATION, a Delaware | CASE NO.: | | | |
| 11 | Corporation, | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND EQUITABLE RELIEF: | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |)) 1. TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT | | | |
| 13 | v. | UNDER THE LANHAM ACT(CLAIMS 1-5) | | | |
| 14 15 | WALMART, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, |) 2. TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT UNDER CALIFORNIA COMMON | | | |
| 16 | | LAW (CLAIMS 6-10) | | | |
| 17 | Defendant. | 3. UNFAIR COMPETITION IN VIOLATION OF CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200 et seq. (Claim | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 20 | | 4. UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW (CLAIM 12) | | | |
| 21 | | 5. PATENT INFRINGEMENT | | | |
| 22 | |) (CLAIMS 13-17))) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED | | | |
| 23 | |) JUNI IRIAL DEMIANDED | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Plaintiff Deckers Outdoor Corporation ("Deckers" or "Plaintiff") for its Complaint against Defendant Walmart, Inc. ("Walmart") and DOES 1-10 (collectively "Defendant") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's complicit and unlawful acts constituting trade dress infringement and unfair competition in violation of the Lanham Trademark Act of 1946, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.* (the "Lanham Act"), patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.* and violations of statutory and common law of the state of California.
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal claims asserted in this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because they are so related to the federal claims that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conduct continuous and systematic business in this district, placed infringing products in the stream of commerce directed to residents of this district, derived commercial benefits from the sale of infringing products and caused injuries to Plaintiff within the Central District of California.
- 4. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged occurred in this judicial district and Plaintiff is located and has been injured in this judicial district, and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant committed acts of infringement in this judicial district.

THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Deckers Outdoor Corporation ("Deckers") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware with an office and principal place of business located in Goleta, California. Deckers designs and markets footwear products under a number of well-known brands, including UGG®, HOKA®

and Teva® products covered by the intellectual property asserted in this Complaint.

- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Walmart, Inc. ("Walmart") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware and a registered foreign entity doing business in the state of California, with an office and principal place of business located at 702 South West 8th Street, Bentonville, Arkansas, 72716.
- 7. Deckers is informed and believes that, together with Walmart, other individuals and entities currently named as DOES 1-10 may also be responsible in one manner or another for the wrongs alleged herein, in that at all relevant times, each one (including Walmart) was the agent and servant of the others and acting within the course and scope of said agency and employment. These other individuals and entities are sued under fictitious names DOES 1-10 because their true names and capacities are currently unknown to Deckers. Deckers will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities of DOES 1-10 are ascertained.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

A. Deckers' Brands

8. Deckers has been engaged in the design, distribution, marketing, offering for sale, and sale of footwear since 1975. Deckers owns and markets its footwear products under several distinctive trademarked brands, including UGG®, HOKA®, Teva®, Koolaburra®, and Sanuk®.

1. The UGG® Brand

- 9. Deckers' UGG® brand is one of the most well-recognized premium comfort-leisure shoe brands in the United States. Since 1979, when the UGG® brand was founded, the popularity of UGG® footwear has steadily grown in the U.S. and around the world. UGG® footwear has been and remains highly coveted today by consumers as one of the most popular and recognizable symbols of luxury and style.
- 10. For example, in 2000, UGG® boots were featured on Oprah's Favorite Things® where Oprah emphatically declared on national television how much she

- "LOOOOOVES her UGG boots." Since then, the popularity of UGG® footwear has grown exponentially, with celebrities such as Hailey Bieber, Kendall Jenner, Emily Ratajowski, and Megan Fox among a myriad of others regularly seen wearing UGG® footwear, including the UGG® Oh Yeah slide and the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini.
- 11. The world-wide recognition as a "premium" brand and the overwhelming popularity of the UGG® brand is due to Deckers' continuous commitment to quality and excellence. Today, Deckers' footwear products under the UGG® brand are widely available and sold to consumers in every state, including California, through UGG® Stores, authorized retailers on the internet and brick-and-mortar stores, as well as on the internet at www.ugg.com

2. The HOKA® Brand

- 12. Founded in 2009, HOKA® has quickly grown into a leading performance footwear brand well-known and recognized as an authentic premium line of year-round performance footwear in the United States and globally. HOKA® footwear has been and remains highly coveted today by consumers as high-performance athletic footwear and a recognizable symbol of innovation, empowerment, and finding the joy in movement.
- 13. Since inception, HOKA® footwear has become the prototype for maximalist and innovative athletic footwear. The popularity of HOKA® footwear has grown exponentially, with celebrities such as Reese Witherspoon, Cameron Diaz, Gwyneth Paltrow, Jennifer Garner, and Julianne Hough among a myriad of others regularly seen wearing HOKA® footwear, including the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide.
- 14. The world-wide recognition as a "premium" brand and the overwhelming popularity of the HOKA® brand is due to Deckers' continuous commitment to quality, sustainability, and excellence. Today, Deckers' footwear products under the HOKA® brand are widely available and sold to consumers in every state, including California, through authorized retailers on the internet and brick-and-mortar stores, as well as on the internet at www.HOKA.com

3. The Teva® Brand

- 15. Deckers' Teva® brand is well-known and recognized as the original outdoor sport sandal in the United States. Since 1984, when the Teva® brand was founded, the popularity of Teva® footwear has steadily grown in the U.S. and around the world. Teva® footwear has been and remains highly coveted today by consumers as one of the most popular and recognizable symbols of the freedom to roam and explore the outdoors in comfort.
- 16. Since 1984, Teva® sandals have become the ultimate archetype for comfortable outdoor sandals. Since then, the popularity of Teva® footwear has grown exponentially, with celebrities such as Solange, Elle Fanning, and Kendall Jenner among a myriad of others regularly seen wearing Teva® footwear, including the Teva® Hurricane Drift sandal (the embodiment of the "Hurricane Drift Trade Dress"), and the Teva® Original Universal Sandal for Women and Kids in the iconic 90's Multi Colorway (the embodiment of the "Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress").
- 17. The world-wide recognition as a "premium" brand and the overwhelming popularity of the Teva® brand is due to Deckers' continuous commitment to quality, sustainability, and excellence. Today, Deckers' footwear products under the Teva® brand are widely available and sold to consumers in every state, including California, through authorized retailers on the internet and in brick-and-mortar stores, as well as directly from Deckers on the internet at www.teva.com.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

18. This lawsuit arises from Defendant's design, manufacture, importation, distribution, advertisement, marketing, offering for sale, and sale in the U.S. of certain footwear products that infringe upon Deckers' "Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress", "Oh Yeah Trade Dress", "Hurricane Drift Trade Dress", "Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress", "Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress", and U.S. Pat. Nos. D594,638 ("'638 Patent"), D867,731 ("'731 Patent"), D927,161 ("'161 Patent"),

D814,162 ("162 Patent"), and D901,870 ("870 Patent") (the "Accused Products").

- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant Walmart is engaged in the retail sale of a wide range of apparel including under its Time and Tru® brand through its "brick and mortar" retail stores located nationwide, including stores located within this judicial district, as well as through its website (www.walmart.com) that is accessible to customers nationwide, including to those within this judicial district.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Walmart is a competitor of Deckers, and Defendant introduced the Accused Products into the stream of commerce in an effort to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation of the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini, UGG® Oh Yeah slide, HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide, Teva® Hurricane Drift Sandal, and Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway.
- 21. Deckers has not granted Defendant a license to practice nor given Defendant any form of permission to use Deckers' trademarks, trade dresses, or patents, including Deckers' Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, Oh Yeah Trade Dress, Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, and/or U.S. Pat. Nos. D594,638, D867,731, D927,161, D814,162, and D901,870.

1. Defendant's Infringement of UGG® Ultra Mini Trade Dress, U.S. Patent No. D927,161, and U.S. Patent No. D594,638

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant imported into the U.S., advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold at least the Accused Products identified by name as Portland Boot Company brand "Lucy" through Walmart's website (www.walmart.com) to consumers nationwide, including consumers located within this judicial district. Exemplar of the Accused Product below:





Exemplar of Defendant's

Portland Boot Company brand "Lucy"

2. Defendant's Infringement of the UGG® Oh Yeah Trade Dress and U.S. Patent No. D901,870

23. Upon information and belief, Defendant imported into the U.S., advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold at least the Accused Products identified by name as "Kendall + Kylie" brand "Women's Shane Faux Fur Two Band Slipper" through Walmart's retail stores and its website (www.walmart.com) to consumers nationwide, including consumers located within this judicial district. Exemplar of the Accused Product below:



Exemplar of Defendant's

Kendall + Kylie® "Women's Shane Faux Fur Two Band Slipper"

3. Defendant's Infringement of U.S. Patent No. D814,162

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant imported into the U.S., advertised,

marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold at least the Accused Products identified by name as Fifth & Luxe brand "Women's Comfortable Microsuede Winter Boot" through Walmart's website (www.walmart.com) to consumers nationwide, including consumers located within this judicial district. Exemplar of the Accused Product below:



Exemplar of Defendant's

Fifth & Luxe brand "Women's Comfortable Microsuede Winter Boots"

4. Defendant's Infringement of the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant imported into the U.S., advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold at least the Accused Products identified by name as "Luxur" brand "Flat Sandals Slip On Flip Flop Sport Slide Shower Slippers Shoes Gym House" through Walmart's retail stores and its website (www.walmart.com) to consumers nationwide, including consumers located within this judicial district.

Exemplar of the Accused Product below:



Exemplar of Defendant's

Luxur "Flat Sandals Slip On Flip Flop Sport Slide Shower Slippers Shoes Gym House"

- 5. Defendant's Infringement of the Teva® Hurricane Drift Trade Dress and U.S. Pat. No. D867,731
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant imported into the U.S., advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold at least the Accused Products identified by name as 1) "Wonder Nation" brand "EVA Beach Sport Sandal" and 2) "GEORGE" brand "Float Active Strap Sandal" through Walmart's retail stores and its website (www.walmart.com) to consumers nationwide, including consumers located within this judicial district. Exemplars of the Accused Products below:



Exemplar of Defendant's



Exemplar of Defendant's

"Wonder Nation" brand "EVA Beach Sport

"GEORGE" brand "Float Active Strap Sandal"

Sandal"

6. Defendant's Infringement of the Teva® Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

18

16

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant imported into the U.S., advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold at least the Accused Products identified by name as Walmart's brand Time and Tru® "Women's Nature Sandal" in the "Rainbow" colorway through Walmart's retail stores and its website (www.walmart.com) to consumers nationwide, including consumers located within this judicial district. Exemplar of the Accused Product below:



Exemplar of Defendant's

Time and Tru® "Women's Nature Sandal" in the "Rainbow" colorway

- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant may have sold additional products that infringe upon Deckers' design patents and trade dresses. Deckers will seek leave to amend as additional information becomes available through discovery.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant have acted in bad faith and 29. Defendant's unlawful acts have misled and confused, and were intended to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive consumers as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Accused Products with Deckers, and/or the origin, sponsorship, or approval of the Accused Products by Deckers.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress" - 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding 30. paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. In 2018, Deckers introduced the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini, marketed and featuring the design elements protected under the "Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress."

The Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress is unique and inherently distinctive, and comprised of the following non-functional elements:

a. An ankle-high boot;

- b. Classic suede boot styling;
- c. An exaggerated, raised and exposed circular stitch pattern;
- d. Exposed tufting;
- e. A raised and rounded vamp;
- f. A suede heel overlay on the boots exterior;
- g. Fabric binding along the top of the boot and along the sole;
- h. A thick, flat sole; and
- i. A top line that is higher in the front and lower in the back.
- 32. The Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, which is a composite of the above-referenced features, is non-functional in its entirety, visually distinctive, and unique in the footwear industry; examples of its distinctive appearance as a whole are shown in the photographs below:





33. The design of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress is neither essential to its use or purpose, nor does it affect the cost or quality of the shoe. There are numerous other designs available that are equally feasible and efficient, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress. The combination of features

- comprising the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress provides no cost advantages to the manufacturer or utilitarian advantages to the consumer. These features, in combination, serve only to render the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini, the embodiment of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, as a distinct product originating solely from Deckers.
- 34. The UGG® Classic Ultra Mini, the embodiment of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, is one of the most well-recognized and commercially successful styles of UGG® brand of footwear products, having been featured in many of Deckers' advertising and promotional materials as well as in various trade publications. The UGG® Classic Ultra Mini has received a large volume of unsolicited media attention, for example, through various celebrities seen wearing the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini and has graced the pages of many popular magazines nationwide and internationally.
- 35. Deckers has spent substantial time, effort, and money in designing, developing, advertising, promoting, and marketing the UGG® brand and its line of footwear embodying the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress. Deckers spends millions of dollars annually on advertising of UGG® products, including footwear embodying the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress.
- 36. Due to its long use, extensive sales, and significant advertising and promotional activities, Deckers' Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress has achieved widespread acceptance and recognition among the consuming public and trade throughout the United States. Indeed, Deckers has sold millions of dollars' worth of UGG® Classic Ultra Mini boots, the embodiment of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress. Accordingly, the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress has achieved a high degree of consumer recognition and secondary meaning, which serves to identify Deckers as the exclusive source of footwear featuring said trade dress.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant are competitors of Deckers and Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in an effort to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation of the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini.
 - 38. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised,

- 39. Defendant's use of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress is without Deckers' permission or authorization, and in total disregard of Deckers' rights to control its intellectual property. There are numerous other shoe designs in the footwear industry, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress.
- 40. Defendant's use of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress is likely to lead to and result in confusion, mistake, or deception, and is likely to cause the public to believe that Accused Products are produced, sponsored, authorized, or licensed by or are otherwise connected or affiliated with Deckers.
- 41. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its infringing acts.
- 42. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Oh Yeah Trade Dress" - 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 43. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. In 2020, Deckers introduced the UGG® Oh Yeah slide, marketed and featuring the design elements protected under the "Oh Yeah Trade Dress." The Oh Yeah Trade Dress is unique and inherently distinctive, and comprised of the following

non-functional elements:

- a. A slipper and sandal combined into a statement shoe;
- b. A platform, sling back slide;
- c. A platform sole with a furry footbed and furry perimeter sides;
- d. Two parallel instep straps having a furry exterior extending from the lateral side of the sole across the instep to the medial side of the sole;
 - e. An open toe; and
- f. A heel strap extending from one side of the rear instep strap to the other side of the instep strap.
- 45. The Oh Yeah Trade Dress, which is a composite of the above-referenced features, is non-functional in its entirety, visually distinctive, and unique in the footwear industry; examples of its distinctive appearance as a whole are shown in the photographs below:







- 46. The design of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress is neither essential to its use or purpose, nor does it affect the cost or quality of the shoe. There are numerous other designs available that are equally feasible and efficient, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Oh Yeah Trade Dress. The combination of features comprising the Oh Yeah Trade Dress provides no cost advantages to the manufacturer or utilitarian advantages to the consumer. These features, in combination, serve only to render the UGG® Oh Yeah slide, the embodiment of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, as a distinct product originating solely from Deckers.
- 47. The UGG® Oh Yeah slide, the embodiment of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, is one of the most well-recognized and commercially successful styles of UGG® brand

- 48. Deckers has spent substantial time, effort, and money in designing, developing, advertising, promoting, and marketing the UGG® brand and its line of footwear embodying the Oh Yeah Trade Dress. Deckers spends millions of dollars annually on advertising of UGG® products, including footwear embodying the Oh Yeah Trade Dress.
- 49. Due to its long use, extensive sales, and significant advertising and promotional activities, Deckers' Oh Yeah Trade Dress has achieved widespread acceptance and recognition among the consuming public and trade throughout the United States. Indeed, Deckers has sold millions of dollars' worth of the UGG® Oh Yeah slide, the embodiment of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress. Accordingly, the Oh Yeah Trade Dress has achieved a high degree of consumer recognition and secondary meaning, which serves to identify Deckers as the exclusive source of footwear featuring said trade dress.
- 50. Upon information and belief, Defendant are competitors of Deckers and Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in an effort to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation of the UGG® Oh Yeah slide.
- 51. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products.
- 52. Defendant's use of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress is without Deckers' permission or authorization, and in total disregard of Deckers' rights to control its intellectual property. There are numerous other shoe designs in the footwear industry,

none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Oh Yeah Trade Dress.

- 53. Defendant's use of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress is likely to lead to and result in confusion, mistake, or deception, and is likely to cause the public to believe that Accused Products are produced, sponsored, authorized, or licensed by or are otherwise connected or affiliated with Deckers.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its infringing acts.
- 55. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress" - 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 56. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. In 2016, Deckers introduced the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide, marketed and featuring the design elements protected under the "Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress." The Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress is unique and inherently distinctive, and comprised of the following non-functional elements:
 - a. A slide with an open toe;
 - b. A platform sole having two layers, where a top layer is stacked on a bottom layer, and the top layer is thicker at the heel than at the toe;

- c. multiple elongate grooves in the medial-to-lateral direction on the slide; and
 - d. multiple openings within the grooves.
- 58. The Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, which is a composite of the above-referenced features, is non-functional in its entirety, visually distinctive, and unique in the footwear industry; examples of its distinctive appearance as a whole are shown in the photographs below:





- 59. The design of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress is neither essential to its use or purpose, nor does it affect the cost or quality of the shoe. There are numerous other designs available that are equally feasible and efficient, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress. The combination of features comprising the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress provides no cost advantages to the manufacturer or utilitarian advantages to the consumer. These features, in combination, serve only to render HOKA® Ora Recovery Slides, the embodiment of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, as a distinct product originating solely from Deckers.
- 60. HOKA® Ora Recovery Slides, the embodiment of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, is one of the most well-recognized and commercially successful styles of HOKA® brand of footwear products, having been featured in many of Deckers' advertising and promotional materials as well as in various trade publications. HOKA® Ora Recovery Slides have received a large volume of unsolicited media attention, for

example, through various celebrities seen wearing HOKA® Ora Recovery Slides, and have graced the pages of many popular magazines nationwide and internationally.

- 61. Deckers has spent substantial time, effort, and money in designing, developing, advertising, promoting, and marketing the HOKA® brand and its line of footwear embodying the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress. Deckers spends millions of dollars annually on advertising of HOKA® products, including footwear embodying the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress.
- 62. Due to its long use, extensive sales, and significant advertising and promotional activities, Deckers' Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress has achieved widespread acceptance and recognition among the consuming public and trade throughout the United States. Indeed, Deckers has sold millions of dollars' worth of HOKA® Ora Recovery Slides, the embodiment of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress. Accordingly, the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress has achieved a high degree of consumer recognition and secondary meaning, which serves to identify Deckers as the exclusive source of footwear featuring said trade dress.
- 63. Upon information and belief, Defendant are competitors of Deckers and Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in an effort to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation of the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slides.
- 64. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products.
- 65. Defendant's use of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress is without Deckers' permission or authorization, and in total disregard of Deckers' rights to control its intellectual property. There are numerous other shoe designs in the footwear industry, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress.
 - 66. Defendant's use of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress is likely to lead to

4

7 8

10 11

9

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

and result in confusion, mistake, or deception, and is likely to cause the public to believe that Accused Products are produced, sponsored, authorized, or licensed by or are otherwise connected or affiliated with Deckers.

- As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its infringing acts.
- Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, 68. there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Hurricane Drift Trade Dress" - 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding 69. paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 70. In 2019, Deckers introduced the Teva® Hurricane Drift, marketed and featuring the design elements protected under the "Hurricane Drift Trade Dress." The Hurricane Drift Trade Dress is unique and inherently distinctive, and comprised of the following non-functional elements:
 - An open-toe sandal; a.
 - A sole having a tread pattern; b.
 - Multiple straps including a toe strap, an instep strap, a heel strap c. and a tether strap between the toe strap and instep strap, the tether strap being asymmetric and extending only on the lateral side of the sandal;

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5 6 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12

- 14 15 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 21

22

- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- d. Multiple solid triangles connecting the instep strap to the heel strap, and a single solid triangle connecting the toe strap to the tether strap; and
 - The straps, triangles and sole being singularly molded together.
- 71. The Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, which is a composite of the abovereferenced features, is non-functional in its entirety, visually distinctive, and unique in the footwear industry; examples of its distinctive appearance as a whole are shown in the photographs below:







- 72. The design of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress is neither essential to its use or purpose, nor does it affect the cost or quality of the shoe. There are numerous other shoe designs available that are equally feasible and efficient, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress. The combination of features comprising the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress provides no cost advantages to the manufacturer or utilitarian advantages to the consumer. These features, in combination, serve only to render Teva® Hurricane Drift sandals, the embodiment of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, as a distinct product originating solely from Deckers.
- 73. Teva® Hurricane Drift sandals, the embodiment of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, is one of the most well-recognized and commercially successful styles of Teva® brand of footwear products, having been featured in many of Deckers' advertising and promotional materials as well as in various trade publications. Teva® Hurricane Drift sandals have received a large volume of unsolicited media attention, for example, through various celebrities seen wearing Teva® Hurricane Drift sandals, and have graced the pages of many popular magazines nationwide and internationally.
 - Deckers has spent substantial time, effort, and money in designing, 74.

- 75. Due to its extensive sales, and significant advertising and promotional activities, Deckers' Hurricane Drift Trade Dress has achieved widespread acceptance and recognition among the consuming public and trade throughout the United States. Indeed, Deckers has sold millions of dollars' worth of Teva® Hurricane Drift sandals, the embodiment of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress. Accordingly, the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress has achieved a high degree of consumer recognition and secondary meaning, which serves to identify Deckers as the exclusive source of footwear featuring said trade dress.
- 76. Upon information and belief, Defendant are competitors of Deckers and Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in an effort to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation of the Teva® Hurricane Drift.
- 77. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products.
- 78. Defendant's use of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress is without Deckers' permission or authorization, and in total disregard of Deckers' rights to control its intellectual property. There are numerous other shoe designs in the footwear industry, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress.
- 79. Defendant's use of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress is likely to lead to and result in confusion, mistake, or deception, and is likely to cause the public to believe that Accused Products are produced, sponsored, authorized, or licensed by or are otherwise connected or affiliated with Deckers.
 - 80. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered

- and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its infringing acts.
- 81. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress" - 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 82. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. In February 2020, Deckers introduced the Teva® Original Universal Sandal for Women and Kids in the 90's Multi Colorway, marketed and featuring the design elements protected under the "Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress." The Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress is unique and inherently distinctive, and comprised of the following non-functional elements:
 - a. An open-toe sandal;
 - b. A sole having a tread pattern;
 - c. Multiple straps including a toe strap, an instep strap, a heel strap and a tether strap between the toe strap and instep strap, the tether strap being asymmetric and extending only on the lateral side of the sandal;
 - d. At least one triangle connecting the instep strap to the heel strap, and a triangle connecting the toe strap to the tether strap;
 - e. Each of the multiple straps having a solid color;

- 1 2

- f. The multiple straps forming a color block, the color block being at least three colors; and
- g. The colors being selected from the options of: blue, pink, orange, vellow and green.
- 84. The Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, which is a composite of the above-referenced features, is non-functional in its entirety, visually distinctive, and unique in the footwear industry; examples of its distinctive appearance as a whole are shown in the photographs below:





- 85. The design of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress is neither essential to its use or purpose, nor does it affect the cost or quality of the shoe. There are numerous other shoe designs available that are equally feasible and efficient, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress. The combination of features comprising the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress provides no cost advantages to the manufacturer or utilitarian advantages to the consumer. These features, in combination, serve only to render the Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway, the embodiment of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, as a distinct product originating solely from Deckers.
- 86. The Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway, the embodiment of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, is one of the

- most well-recognized and commercially successful styles of the Teva® brand of footwear products, having been featured in many of Deckers' advertising and promotional materials as well as in various trade publications. Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway, the embodiment of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress has received a large volume of unsolicited media attention, for example, through the pages of many popular magazines nationwide and internationally.
- 87. Deckers has spent substantial time, effort, and money in designing, developing, advertising, promoting, and marketing the Teva® brand and its line of footwear embodying the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress. Deckers spends millions of dollars annually on advertising of Teva® products, including footwear embodying the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress.
- 88. Due to its extensive sales, and significant advertising and promotional activities, Deckers' Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress has achieved widespread acceptance and recognition among the consuming public and trade throughout the United States. Indeed, Deckers has sold millions of dollars' worth of Teva® Original Universal Sandals in the 90's Multi Colorway, the embodiment of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress. Accordingly, the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress has achieved a high degree of consumer recognition and secondary meaning, which serves to identify Deckers as the exclusive source of footwear featuring said trade dress.
- 89. Upon information and belief, Defendant are competitors of Deckers and Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in an effort to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation of the Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway, the embodiment of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress.
- 90. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the

- 91. Defendant's use of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress is without Deckers' permission or authorization, and in total disregard of Deckers' rights to control its intellectual property. There are numerous other shoe designs in the footwear industry, none of which necessitate copying or imitating the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress.
- 92. Defendant's use of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress is likely to lead to and result in confusion, mistake, or deception, and is likely to cause the public to believe that Accused Products are produced, sponsored, authorized, or licensed by or are otherwise connected or affiliated with Deckers.
- 93. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained and will sustain, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its infringing acts.
- 94. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress" – California Common Law)

- 95. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 96. Defendant's infringement of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress also

- 97. The Accused Product manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products.
- 98. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress has caused and is likely to cause confusion as to the source of Accused Products among consumers.
- 99. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its unlawful acts.
- 100. Defendant's unlawful acts were willful, deliberate, and intended to cause confusion among the public, taken in reckless disregard of Deckers' rights. As such, an award of exemplary and punitive damages is necessary in an amount sufficient to deter similar misconduct in the future.
- 101. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the damages caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Oh Yeah Trade Dress" – California Common Law)

- 102. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 103. Defendant's infringement of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress also constitutes

trade dress infringement under common law of the state of California.

- 104. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress has caused and is likely to cause confusion as to the source of Accused Products among consumers.
- 105. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its unlawful acts.
- 106. Defendant's unlawful acts were willful, deliberate, and intended to cause confusion among the public, taken in reckless disregard of Deckers' rights. As such, an award of exemplary and punitive damages is necessary in an amount sufficient to deter similar misconduct in the future.
- 107. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the damages caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress" – California Common Law)

- 108. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 109. Defendant's infringement of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress also constitutes trade dress infringement under common law of the state of California.

- 110. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised, offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress has caused and is likely to cause confusion as to the source of Accused Products among consumers.
- and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its unlawful acts.
- 112. Defendant's unlawful acts were willful, deliberate, and intended to cause confusion among the public, taken in reckless disregard of Deckers' rights. As such, an award of exemplary and punitive damages is necessary in an amount sufficient to deter similar misconduct in the future.
- 113. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the damages caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Ora Recovery Slide Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Hurricane Drift Trade Dress" – California Common Law)

- 114. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 115. Defendant's infringement of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress also constitutes trade dress infringement under common law of the state of California.
 - 116. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised,

- 117. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its unlawful acts.
- 118. Defendant's unlawful acts were willful, deliberate, and intended to cause confusion among the public, taken in reckless disregard of Deckers' rights. As such, an award of exemplary and punitive damages is necessary in an amount sufficient to deter similar misconduct in the future.
- 119. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the damages caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Dress Infringement of "Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress" – California Common Law)

- 120. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 121. Defendant's infringement of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress also constitutes trade dress infringement under common law of the state of California.
 - 122. The Accused Products manufactured, imported, distributed, advertised,

- offered for sale, and/or sold by Defendant bear confusingly similar reproductions of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, such as to cause a likelihood of confusion as to the source, sponsorship or approval by Deckers of the Accused Products. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress has caused and is likely to cause confusion as to the source of Accused Products among consumers.
- 123. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that it has sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its unlawful acts.
- 124. Defendant's unlawful acts were willful, deliberate, and intended to cause confusion among the public, taken in reckless disregard of Deckers' rights. As such, an award of exemplary and punitive damages is necessary in an amount sufficient to deter similar misconduct in the future.

Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the damages caused by Defendant's infringement, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, or any designs confusingly similar thereto

ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition in Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et. seq.)

- 125. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 126. Defendant's misappropriation and unauthorized use of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, Oh Yeah Trade Dress, Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, and Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress to promote the Accused Products is likely to confuse or mislead consumers into believing that such

- 127. Upon information and belief, Defendant's deceptive, unfair, and fraudulent business practices were willfully undertaken with full knowledge of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress and with the intent to misappropriate Deckers' goodwill and reputation established in the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini.
- 128. Upon information and belief, Defendant's deceptive, unfair, and fraudulent business practices were willfully undertaken with full knowledge of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress and with the intent to misappropriate Deckers' goodwill and reputation established in the UGG® Oh Yeah slide.
- 129. Upon information and belief, Defendant's deceptive, unfair, and fraudulent business practices were willfully undertaken with full knowledge of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress and with the intent to misappropriate Deckers' goodwill and reputation established in the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide.
- 130. Upon information and belief, Defendant's deceptive, unfair, and fraudulent business practices were willfully undertaken with full knowledge of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress and with the intent to misappropriate Deckers' goodwill and reputation established in the Teva® Hurricane Drift Sandal.
- 131. Upon information and belief, Defendant's deceptive, unfair, and fraudulent business practices were willfully undertaken with full knowledge of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress and with the intent to misappropriate Deckers' goodwill and reputation established in the Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway.
- 132. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to all available relief provided for under the California Unfair

Business Practices Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 et. seq., including an accounting and disgorgement of all illicit profits that Defendant made on account of its deceptive, unfair, and fraudulent business practices. Furthermore, because Deckers has no adequate remedy at law for Defendant's ongoing unlawful conduct, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from unfair competition.

TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition – California Common Law)

- 133. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 134. Defendant's misappropriation and unauthorized use of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, Oh Yeah Trade Dress, Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, and Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress to promote the Accused Products also constitutes unfair competition in violation of common law of the state of California.
- 135. Deckers has expended substantial time, resources and effort in creating and developing UGG® footwear, including the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini, the embodiment of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, which consumers recognize as originating from Deckers.
- 136. Deckers has expended substantial time, resources and effort in creating and developing UGG® footwear, including the UGG® Oh Yeah slide, the embodiment of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress, which consumers recognize as originating from Deckers.
- 137. Deckers has expended substantial time, resources and effort in creating and developing HOKA® footwear, including the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide, the embodiment of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, which consumers recognize as originating from Deckers.
- 138. Deckers has expended substantial time, resources and effort in creating and developing Teva® footwear, including the Teva® Hurricane Drift Sandal, the embodiment of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, which consumers recognize as

originating from Deckers.

- 139. Deckers has expended substantial time, resources and effort in creating and developing Teva® footwear, including the Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway, the embodiment of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, which consumers recognize as originating from Deckers.
- 140. Upon information and belief, Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in order to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the Teva® Hurricane Drift Sandal for Defendant's own pecuniary gain. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Hurricane Drift Trade Dress resulted in Defendant unfairly benefiting from Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the Teva® Hurricane Drift Sandal.
- 141. Upon information and belief, Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in order to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway for Defendant's own pecuniary gain. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress resulted in Defendant unfairly benefiting from Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the Teva® Original Universal Sandal in the 90's Multi Colorway.
- 142. Upon information and belief, Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in order to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide for Defendant's own pecuniary gain. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress resulted in Defendant unfairly benefiting from Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the HOKA® Ora Recovery Slide.
- 143. Upon information and belief, Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in order to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the UGG® Oh Yeah slide for Defendant's own pecuniary gain. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Oh Yeah Trade Dress resulted in Defendant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

unfairly benefiting from Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the UGG® Oh Yeah slide.

- 144. Upon information and belief, Defendant introduced Accused Products into the stream of commerce in order to exploit Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini for Defendant's own pecuniary gain. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress resulted in Defendant unfairly benefitting from Deckers' goodwill and the reputation established in the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini.
- 145. Upon information and belief, Defendant's unlawful acts are willful, deliberate, and intended to cause confusion among the public and taken in reckless disregard of Deckers' rights. As such, an award of exemplary and punitive damages is necessary in an amount sufficient to deter similar misconduct in the future.
- 146. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages, including attorneys' fees, that Deckers has sustained on account of Defendant's unfair competition, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant as a result of its unlawful acts. Furthermore, because Deckers has no adequate remedy at law for Defendant's ongoing unlawful conduct, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from unfair competition.

THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement – U.S. Pat. No. D901,870)

- 147. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 148. In order to protect its valuable brands, Deckers owns a number of patents covering various styles of footwear it markets, including the UGG® Oh Yeah slide described herein. These patents include U.S. Pat. No. D901,870 ("the '870 Patent") issued on November 17, 2020, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein.

- 149. Deckers is the owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in and to the '870 Patent issued in November 2020. Deckers marked substantially all footwear products embodying the design of the '870 Patent with "Patent # D901,870", and/or via virtual patent marking on a product label in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287, putting Defendant on notice of the '870 Patent.
- 150. Defendant have produced, imported into the U.S., distributed, advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States the Accused Products which bear a design substantially similar to the ornamental design of the '870 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 151. Deckers has not granted a license or given Defendant any form of permission to the '870 Patent and Defendant's infringement of the '870 Patent is without Deckers' permission or authority and in total disregard of Deckers' intellectual property rights.
- 152. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 289.
- 153. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringing acts were willful, deliberate, and taken in reckless disregard of the '870 Patent despite having been put on notice through Deckers' patent marking. Defendant took these actions knowing the objectively high likelihood that such actions constituted infringement of the '870 Patent. As Defendant's willful acts render this an exceptional case, Deckers is entitled to enhanced damages and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 154. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement of the '870 Patent, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283 prohibiting Defendant

from continuing to infringe the '870 Patent.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement – U.S. Pat. No. D867,731)

- 155. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 156. In order to protect its valuable brands, Deckers owns a number of patents covering various styles of footwear it markets, including the Teva® Hurricane Drift Sandal described herein. These patents include U.S. Pat. No. D867,731 ("the '731 Patent") issued on November 26, 2019, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated herein.
- 157. Deckers is the owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in and to the '731 Patent and Deckers has marked substantially all footwear products embodying the design of the '731 Patent with "Patent # D867,731", and/or via virtual patent marking on a product label in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287, putting Defendant on notice of the '731 Patent.
- 158. Defendant have produced, imported into the U.S., distributed, advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States the Accused Products which bear a design substantially similar to the ornamental design of the '731 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 159. Deckers has not granted a license or given Defendant any form of permission to the '731 Patent and Defendant's infringement of the '731 Patent is without Deckers' permission or authority and in total disregard of Deckers' intellectual property rights.
- 160. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 289.

10 11

9

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23 24

25

27

28

26

161. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringing acts were willful, deliberate, and taken in reckless disregard of the '731 Patent despite having been put on notice through Deckers' patent marking. Defendant took these actions knowing the objectively high likelihood that such actions constituted infringement of the '731 Patent. As Defendant's willful acts render this an exceptional case, Deckers is entitled to enhanced damages and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

162. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement of the '731 Patent, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283 prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the '731 Patent.

FIFTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement – U.S. Pat. No. D927,161)

- Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 164. In order to protect its valuable brands, Deckers owns a number of patents covering various styles of footwear it markets, including the UGG® Classic Ultra Mini described herein. These patents include U.S. Pat. No. D927,161 ("the '161 Patent") issued on August 10, 2021, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit** C and incorporated herein.
- 165. Deckers is the owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in and to the '161 Patent issued in August 2021. Deckers marked substantially all footwear products embodying the design of the '161 Patent with "Patent # D927,161", and/or via virtual patent marking on a product label in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287, putting Defendant on notice of the '161 Patent.
- 166. Defendant have produced, imported into the U.S., distributed, advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States the Accused Products which bear a design substantially similar to the ornamental design of the '161 Patent,

in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

- 167. Deckers has not granted a license or given Defendant any form of permission to the '161 Patent and Defendant's infringement of the '161 Patent is without Deckers' permission or authority and in total disregard of Deckers' intellectual property rights.
- 168. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 289.
- 169. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringing acts were willful, deliberate, and taken in reckless disregard of the '161 Patent despite having been put on notice through Deckers' patent marking. Defendant took these actions knowing the objectively high likelihood that such actions constituted infringement of the '161 Patent. As Defendant's willful acts render this an exceptional case, Deckers is entitled to enhanced damages and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 170. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement of the '161 Patent, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283 prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the '161 Patent.

SIXTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement – U.S. Pat. No. D814,162)

- 171. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 172. In order to protect its valuable brands, Deckers owns a number of patents covering various styles of footwear it markets. These patents include U.S. Pat. No. D814,162 ("the '162 Patent") issued on April 3, 2018, a true and correct copy of which

is attached hereto as **Exhibit D** and incorporated herein.

- 173. Deckers is the owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in and to the '162 Patent issued in April 2018. Deckers marked substantially all footwear products embodying the design of the '162 Patent with "Patent # D814,162", and/or via virtual patent marking on a product label in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287, putting Defendant on notice of the '162 Patent.
- 174. Defendant have produced, imported into the U.S., distributed, advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States the Accused Products which bear a design substantially similar to the ornamental design of the '162 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 175. Deckers has not granted a license or given Defendant any form of permission to the '162 Patent and Defendant's infringement of the '162 Patent is without Deckers' permission or authority and in total disregard of Deckers' intellectual property rights.
- 176. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 289.
- 177. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringing acts were willful, deliberate, and taken in reckless disregard of the '162 Patent despite having been put on notice through Deckers' patent marking. Defendant took these actions knowing the objectively high likelihood that such actions constituted infringement of the '162 Patent. As Defendant's willful acts render this an exceptional case, Deckers is entitled to enhanced damages and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 178. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement of the '162 Patent, which is ongoing. Accordingly,

Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283 prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the '162 Patent.

SEVENTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement – U.S. Pat. No. D594,638)

- 179. Deckers incorporates by reference each and every one of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 180. In order to protect its valuable brands, Deckers owns a number of patents covering various styles of footwear uppers and outsoles. These patents include U.S. Pat. No. D594,638 ("the '638 Patent") issued on June 23, 2009, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit E** and incorporated herein.
- 181. Deckers is the owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in and to the '638 Patent issued in June 2009. Deckers marked substantially all footwear products embodying the design of the '638 Patent with "Patent # D594,638", and/or via virtual patent marking on a product label in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287, putting Defendant on notice of the '638 Patent.
- 182. Defendant have produced, imported into the U.S., distributed, advertised, marketed, offered for sale, and/or sold within the United States the Accused Products which bear a design substantially similar to the ornamental design of the '638 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 183. Deckers has not granted a license or given Defendant any form of permission to the '638 Patent and Defendant's infringement of the '638 Patent is without Deckers' permission or authority and in total disregard of Deckers' intellectual property rights.
- 184. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts, Deckers has suffered and will continue to suffer significant injuries in an amount to be determined at trial. Deckers is entitled to recover all damages sustained on account of Defendant's infringement, and all gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendant under 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 289.

185. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringing acts were willful, deliberate, and taken in reckless disregard of the '638 Patent despite having been put on notice through Deckers' patent marking. Defendant took these actions knowing the objectively high likelihood that such actions constituted infringement of the '638 Patent. As Defendant's willful acts render this an exceptional case, Deckers is entitled to enhanced damages and reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

186. Furthermore, unless Defendant's unlawful acts are enjoined by this Court, there is no adequate remedy at law that can fully compensate Deckers for the harm caused by Defendant's infringement of the '638 Patent, which is ongoing. Accordingly, Deckers is entitled to injunctive relief under 35 U.S.C. § 283 prohibiting Defendant from continuing to infringe the '638 Patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Deckers Outdoor Corporation respectfully prays for judgment against Defendant Walmart, Inc. and DOES 1-10 as follows:

187. A judgment that Defendant infringed Deckers' Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, Oh Yeah Trade Dress, Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress, and U.S. Pat. Nos. D594,638, D814,162, D927,161, D867,731, and D901,870;

188. An order permanently enjoining and restraining Defendant, their agents, servants, employees, officers, associates, and all persons acting in concert with any of them from infringing Deckers' intellectual property at issue, including but not limited to infringing acts such as:

a. manufacturing, importing, advertising, marketing, promoting, supplying, distributing, offering for sale, or selling Accused Products or any other products that bear an identical or confusingly similar design as Deckers' Classic Ultra Mini Trade Dress, Oh Yeah Trade Dress, Ora Recovery Slide Trade Dress, Hurricane Drift Trade Dress, and Original Universal 90's Multi Colorway Trade Dress;

- b. manufacturing, importing, advertising, marketing, promoting, supplying, distributing, offering for sale, or selling Accused Products or any other products that infringe the '638 Patent, '162 Patent, '161 Patent, '731 Patent, and '870 Patent;
- c. engaging in any other activity constituting unfair competition with Deckers, or acts and practices that deceive consumers, the public, and/or trade, including without limitation, the use of designations and design elements used or owned by or associated with Deckers; and
- d. committing any other act which falsely represents or which has the effect of falsely representing goods and services of Defendant are licensed, authorized, offered, produced, sponsored, or in any other way associated with Deckers;
- 189. An order requiring Defendant to recall from any distributors and retailers and to deliver to Deckers for destruction any Accused Products, including the means of making such products;
- 190. An order requiring Defendant to file with this Court and serve on Deckers within thirty (30) days after entry of the injunction, a report in writing and under oath setting forth the manner in which Defendant complied with the injunction;
- 191. An order for an accounting of all gains, profits and advantages derived by Defendant on account of the unlawful acts complained of herein pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200 *et. seq.*, and any other applicable federal statute or California state and common law;
- 192. An award of damages equal to Defendant's profits and all damages sustained by Deckers as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts;
- 193. An award of damages equal to treble Defendant's profits or Deckers' damages, whichever is greater, on account of Defendant's willful infringement;
- 194. An award of punitive damages and Deckers' costs, attorneys' fees, and interest as allowed under all applicable federal statutes and California state laws; and

| 1 | 195. | 195. All other relief that the Court may deem just and proper. | | | |
|----|--------|--|-------|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | Dated: | January 25, 2023 | BLAKE | BLAKELY LAW GROUP | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | By: | /s/ Jamie Fountain Brent H. Blakely Jamie Fountain Attorneys for Plaintiff Deckers Outdoor Corporation | |
| 6 | | | • | Jamie Fountain Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 7 | | | 1 | Deckers Outdoor Corporation | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Deckers Outdoor Corporation hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this Civil Action. **BLAKELY LAW GROUP** January 25, 2023 Dated: _/s/ Jamie Fountain Brent H. Blakely By: Jamie Fountain
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Deckers Outdoor Corporation