1	Tommy SF Wang (SBN: 272409) WANG IP LAW GROUP, P.C. 18645 E. Gale Ave., Suite 205 City of Industry, CA 91748 Telephone: (888) 827-8880	
2		
3		
4	Facsimile: (888) 827-8880 Email: twang@thewangiplaw.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
6	AC Infinity Inc.	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	AC INFINITY INC., a California	Case No.:
10	corporation,	
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
12	V.	
13	SINOWELL (SHANGHAI) CO., LTD., a China limited liability company,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Plaintiff Ac Infinity Inc. ("Plaintiff") by and through its attorneys, for its	
17	Complaint against Defendant Sinowell (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. ("Defendant"), alleges	
18	as follows:	
19		
20	///	
	COMPLAINT	

1

Case 2:22-cv-07301-MCS-KS Document 1 Filed 10/06/22 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:1

**NATURE OF THE ACTION** 

1. This is an action arising under the Declaratory Judgment Act and patent laws of the United States seeking a declaratory judgment of invalidity of United States Patent No. 11,428,245 (the "'245 Patent").

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202.
- 3. Personal jurisdiction over Defendant is proper in this District because it has availed itself of the rights and benefits of the laws of California and it has conducted business relating to the licensing and enforcement of patents in California. Defendant has filed reports and complaints, alleging infringement of the '245 Patent, against Plaintiff's business operating from this District.
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events that give rise to the claim occur within this District. In addition, on information and belief, Defendant is a foreign entity or individual and "a defendant not resident in the United States may be sued in any judicial district..." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3).

# THE PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business located at 21880 Baker Pkwy, City of

\_ -

Industry, CA 91789.

6. On information and belief, Defendant is limited liability company organized under the laws of the People's Republic of China, with its business address located at 96 Siping Road, Suite 3603, 200086 Shanghai, China.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### The Patent

- 7. United States Patent No. 11,428,245 (the "'245 Patent"), entitled Clip Fan for Plant Tent names Jia Luo and Yan Yang as the inventors and states an issue date of August 30, 2022. Attached as <a href="Exhibit 1">Exhibit 1</a> is a true and correct copy of the '245 Patent.
- 8. The '245 Patent is directed to a clip fan for a plant tent, comprising a fan assembly and a clip clasp assembly arranged at the bottom of the fan assembly, wherein the plant tent has a frame composed of a tubular structure; the clip fan for the plant tent, wherein the diameter of the square opening is adapted to a cylindrical rod or a square rod or a plane; and the clip fan for the plant tent, wherein a rubber pad is arranged at a clamping port of the clip clasp opening of the left clip plate and the right clip plate.
- On information and belief, Defendant is the assignee of all right, title, and interest in the '245 Patent.

20 | | ///

\_ \_

## Existence of an Actual Controversy

- 9. There is an actual controversy within the jurisdiction of this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 10. Defendant sent Plaintiff a cease-and-desist letter on September 7, 2022, alleging that Plaintiff's CLOUDRAY Grow Tent Clip Fan (the "Accused Product") infringes upon the '245 Patent. Defendant has also submitted several patent infringement reports through Amazon alleging that Plaintiff's listings for the Accused Product infringes upon the '245 Patent and has further initiated the Amazon Patent Evaluation Express procedure against Plaintiff, which necessitates the filing of this suit for declaratory judgment.
- 11. Based on the foregoing, a justiciable controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendant as to whether the Accused Product infringe the '245 Patent and whether the claims of '245 Patent are valid.
- Absent a declaration of invalidity, Defendant will continue to wrongfully allege that the Accused Product infringes the '245 Patent, and thereby cause Plaintiff irreparable injury and damage.

## **COUNT I**

### (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity)

12. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

\_ -

- 13. As a result of the acts described in the preceding paragraphs, there exists a controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment of invalidity.
- 14. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that Plaintiff may ascertain its rights regarding the validity of the '245 Patent.
- 15. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that the claims of the '245 Patent are invalid for failure to meet the Conditions for Patentability set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., including at least §§ 102, 103, and 112.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. Adjudging that Plaintiff has not infringed and is not infringing, either directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '245 Patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271;
- 2. Adjudging that each of the claims of the '245 Patent is invalid;
- 3. A judgment that Defendant and each of its officers, directors, agents, counsel, servants, employees, and all of persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be restrained and enjoined from alleging, representing, or otherwise stating that Plaintiff infringes any claims of the '245 Patent or from instituting or initiating any action or proceeding alleging infringement of any claims of the '245 Patent against Plaintiff or any