1 2	Nathaniel L. Dilger (Bar No. 196203) ndilger@onellp.com	
3	Peter R. Afrsiabi (Bar No. 193336)	
	pafrasiabi@onellp.com ONE LLP	
4	23 Corporate Plaza Drive	
5	Suite 150-105	
6	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 502-2870	
7	Facsimile: (949) 258-5081	
8		. \
9	Jacob G. Horton (pro hac vice forthcor jhorton@blanchard-patent.com	ning)
10	BLANCHARD HORTON PLLC	
11	P.O. Box 5657	
12	Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 Telephone: (865) 269-2673	
13	Facsimile: (865) 674-5349	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
17	LPI Inc.	
15	LI I IIIC.	
15		S DISTRICT COURT
16	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18	UNITED STATI	
16 17	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR
16 17 18	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:22-at-00846
16 17 18 19	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation,	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR
16 17 18 19 20	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A "PLUNGE", a California limited	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A "PLUNGE", a California limited liability company,	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A "PLUNGE", a California limited	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A "PLUNGE", a California limited liability company,	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A "PLUNGE", a California limited liability company,	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATI EASTERN DISTI LPI INC., a Tennessee corporation, Plaintiff, v. REBOOT LABS LLC, D/B/A "PLUNGE", a California limited liability company,	Case No. 2:22-at-00846 LPI INC.'s COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff LPI Inc. (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), claims relief from Defendant Reboot Labs LLC, d/b/a "PLUNGE" (hereinafter "Defendant") and, by their attorneys, allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,712,161, titled "Combination Spa System With Water Chilling Assembly." ("the '161 Patent") under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including §§ 271(a)-(c).

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is a corporation of Tennessee with a principal place of business located at 506 Twin Oaks Drive, Johnson City, Tennessee 37601-7610.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant is a limited liability company of California having a principal place of business located at 1721 Aviation Boulevard, Lincoln, California 95648. On information and belief, Defendants maintain an address for service of process located at 1916 Lombard Street, San Francisco, California 94123.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the action concerns a federal question arising under the patent laws of the United States, including 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because Defendant resides in this District, has a regular and established place of business in this District, and has committed acts of patent infringement in this District.
- 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has a regular and established place of business in this District and has committed acts of patent infringement in this District.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff is in the business of manufacturing, distributing, and selling recreational products, including, but not limited to, spas, hot tubs, cold water tubs, and combined hot-and-cold water tubs throughout the United States, including this District. Plaintiff markets and sells its spa products through a family of brands, including, but not limited to, the brands "Signature Bath," "Signature Spas," "Pinnacle Spas," "Dr. Wellness," "Hydro Spas," Waterwave Spas," "EnergySaver Spa Equipment," "Wholesale Spa Covers," "Plug and Power Spas," "Catalina Spas," and "Hudson Bay Spas."
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant is in the business of manufacturing, distributing, and selling hot tubs, cold water tubs, and combined hot-and-cold water tubs throughout the United States, including in this District. Defendant markets and sells its products using the brand name, "PLUNGE".
- 9. On May 11, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,712,161, titled "Combination Spa System With Water Chilling Assembly." ("the '161 Patent") was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") to inventor Charles W. Reynolds, II. A true and correct copy of the '161 Patent is attached to this complaint as **Exhibit A**.
- 10. The '161 Patent was assigned to Plaintiff on November 29, 2018 and remains assigned to Plaintiff to the present date.
 - 11. The '161 Patent has a patent term that expires on January 13, 2026.
 - 12. The '161 Patent is valid and enforceable.
- 13. In general, the '161 Patent is directed to a combined hot-and-cold spa system that includes "water heating and cooling devices coupled in series to a circulating system adapted to withdraw and return water relative to [a] tub." (See Ex.
- 26 | B, '161 Patent, at p. 1, Abstract.)

27 ||///

28 || / / /

14. More specifically, claim 1 of the '161 Patent reads:

A spa system, comprising:

a tub;

water heating and cooling devices operatively coupled in series to a water circulating system adapted to withdraw and return water relative to the tub to convey water from the tub in series first to one of the water heating and cooling devices and then to the other of the water heating and cooling devices and then back to the tub, the water heating device operative to heat water passing through the circulating system, and the water cooling device operative to chill water passing through the circulating system; and

a thermostat operatively coupled to both the heating and cooling devices, the thermostat operative to control the operation of both the heating and cooling devices to set a desired temperature of water passing through the circulating system.

- 15. On information and belief, Defendant has manufactured, used, offered for sale, and sold in the United States products that infringe at least claim 1 of the '161 Patent, and continues to do so. These products infringe at least claim 1 of the '161 literally and by the doctrine of equivalents. By way of exemplary illustration, and without limitation, the infringing products include Defendant's "Hot & Cold Plunge" and "Hot & Cold Plunge Pro" products (collectively, the "Infringing Products").
- 16. Each of the Infringing Products directly infringes claim 1 of the '161 Patent.
- 17. Each of the Infringing Products is a spa system comprising a tub, water heating and cooling devices, and a thermostat.
- 18. Each of the Infringing Products has water heating and cooling devices operatively coupled in series to a water circulating system.

- 19. In each of the Infringing Products, the water circulating system is adapted to withdraw and return water relative to the tub to convey water from the tub in series first to one of the water heating and cooling devices and then to the other of the water heating and cooling devices and then back to the tub.
- 20. In each of the Infringing Products, the water heating device is operative to heat water passing through the circulating system.
- 21. In each of the Infringing Products, the water cooling device is operative to chill water passing through the circulating system.
- 22. In each of the Infringing Products, the thermostat is operatively coupled to both the heating and cooling devices.
- 23. In each of the Infringing Products, the thermostat is operative to control the operation of both the heating and cooling devices to set a desired temperature of water passing through the circulating system.
- 24. On or about February 4, 2022, Plaintiff through its counsel wrote to Defendant and informed Defendant of the existence and content of the '161 Patent and of Plaintiff's contention that the Infringing Products infringe at least claim 1 of the '161 Patent. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's February 4, 2022 correspondence is attached to this complaint as **Exhibit B**.
- 25. Accordingly, Defendant had knowledge of the '161 Patent at least as early as February 4, 2022, and Defendant knew that its products infringed the '161 Patent.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,712,161

- 26. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 25 above are restated and incorporated herein by reference.
- 27. By manufacturing, using, offering for sale, and selling in the United States the Infringing Products, Defendant has injured Plaintiff and is liable to Plaintiff for infringement of the '161 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '161 Patent, Plaintiff is

Defendant has willfully infringed the '161 Patent. Defendant had

Despite this notice, Defendant infringed the '161 Patent with full and

Defendant has no good-faith belief that its Infringing Products do not

entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's

infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the

knowledge of the '161 Patent no later than February 4, 2022, when Plaintiff placed

complete knowledge that the Infringing Products infringe the '161 Patent. Defendant

lacked and continues to lack a good faith belief that the '161 Patent is invalid or not

infringed. Defendant's infringement was willful, deliberate, egregious and flagrant.

infringe the '161 Patent, or that the '161 Patent is invalid. Defendant has not taken

any steps to remedy its infringement of the '161 Patent. Defendant's conduct in this

regard further shows that Defendant has willfully and intentionally infringed the '161

invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

28.

29.

30.

31.

Patent.

Defendant on notice thereof.

5

11 12

10

14 15

16

13

18 19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

20

32. Thus, Defendant's infringement of the '161 Patent was willful, 17 deliberate, egregious, and flagrant, entitling Plaintiff to enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '161 Patent;
- b. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for its infringement of the '161 Patent, as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

28

1	c.	A judgment and order requiring Defendant to provide an accounting an	
2	to pay supplemental damages to Plaintiff, including without limitation, prejudgment		
3	and post-judgment interest;		
4	d.	A declaration that Defendant's infringement of the '161 Patent wa	
5	willful;		
6	e.	A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff enhance	
7	damages under 35. U.S.C. § 284;		
8	f.	A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within th	
9	meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fee		
10	against Defendant; and		
11	e.	Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just unde	
12	the circum	stances.	
13			
14	Dated: Au	gust 11, 2022 ONE LLP	
15		By: /s/ Nathaniel L. Dilger	
16		Nathaniel L. Dilger Peter R. Afrasiabi	
17		DI ANCHADO HODTON DI LC	
18		BLANCHARD HORTON PLLC Jacob G. Horton	
19		Attaun and Can Divintiff	
20		Attorneys for Plaintiff, LPI Inc.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		7	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right. Dated: August 11, 2022 **ONE LLP** By: /s/ Nathaniel L. Dilger Nathaniel L. Dilger Peter R. Afrasiabi **BLANCHARD HORTON PLLC** Jacob G. Horton Attorneys for Plaintiff, LPI Inc. **COMPLAINT**