

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Steven W. Ritcheson, Esq. (SBN 174062)
INSIGHT, PLC
578 Washington Blvd. #503
Marina del Rey, California 90292
Telephone: (818) 744-8714
Facsimile: (818) 337-0383
swritcheson@insightplc.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Creekview IP, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CREEKVIEW IP, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HYPERCEL CORP.,

Defendant.

Case No. _____

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Creekview IP LLC (“Creekview” or “Plaintiff”) files this original complaint against Hypercel Corp. (“Hypercel” or “Defendant”), for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,608,472 (“the ‘472 Patent”) and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Creekview is a limited liability company with its principal place of business at 7548 Preston Rd, STE 141 PMB 1115, Frisco, TX 75034.
2. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of California having a principal place of business at 28385 Constellation Rd, Valencia, CA 91355. On information and belief, Defendant may be served with process c/o Susan Bautista, 28385 Constellation Rd., Valencia, CA 91355.

1 **JURISDICTION**

2 3. This is an action for infringement of a United States patent arising under 35 U.S.C. §§
3 271, 281, and 284–85, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§
4 1331 and 1338(a).

5 4. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has
6 engaged in substantial business activities within this District and has committed and/or induced
7 specific acts of patent infringement here, thereby giving rise to this action. Specifically, Defendant
8 makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale infringing products within this District. Upon information and
9 belief, Defendant uses and sells infringing products at various retail stores located within this District.

10 **VENUE**

11 5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has
12 committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Defendant is incorporated in in this District.
13 In addition, Plaintiff has suffered harm in this District.

14 **U.S. PATENT NO. 9,608,472**

15 6. U.S. Patent No. 9,608,472, titled “METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
16 WIRELESSLY TRANSFERRING POWER AND COMMUNICATING WITH ONE OR MORE
17 SLAVE DEVICES,” teaches a system for charging devices that includes a master device and a slave
18 device. The slave device includes (1) an antenna to receive a radio frequency (RF) beam and (2) a
19 power generation module connected to the antenna that converts RF energy received by the slave
20 antenna to power. The master device includes (1) a directional antenna to direct RF power to the
21 antenna of the slave device and (2) a module that provides power to the directional antenna of the
22 master device. The ‘472 Patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and incorporated herein as if fully
23 rewritten.

24 7. On March 28, 2017, the ‘472 Patent was duly issued by the United States Patent and
25 Trademark Office.

26 8. Creekview is the current assignee of the ‘472 Patent with all substantive rights in and
27 to the ‘472 Patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the ‘472

1 Patent against infringers, and to collect damages for all relevant times.

2 9. Creekview has satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages
3 for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '472 Patent.

4 10. Creekview has complied with any applicable marking and/or notice provisions of 35
5 U.S.C. § 287 with respect to the '472 Patent.

6 **THE ACCUSED PRODUCT**

7 11. Defendant makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale the Naztech Ultimate Charging
8 Station Pro (the "Accused Product"), as depicted below:



17 See <https://naztech.com/products/ultimate-charging-station-pro>.

18 12. The Accused Product is marketed as the "Ultimate Charging Station Pro Qi Wireless +
19 Multi-USB Ports + Portable Battery." *Id.*

20 **COUNT 1 – DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,608,472**

21 13. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

22 14. Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the '472 Patent in at least this
23 District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the
24 Accused Product, identified in the chart incorporated into this Count below, infringes at least the
25 exemplary claim of the '472 Patent also identified in the chart incorporated into this Count below (the
26 "Exemplary '472 Patent Claim"), literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief,
27 numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '472 Patent have been made, used, sold,
28

1 imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

2 15. Defendant and its end-user customers, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), have directly
3 infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and continue to infringe the '472 Patent by
4 using the Accused Product in its customary intended manner with compatible Qi devices.

5 16. Specifically, Defendant and its end-user customers have directly infringed method
6 claim 19 of the '472 Patent by using the Accused Product, at least in the manner of testing with
7 compatible Qi devices within the United States. For example, Defendant practiced every element of
8 method claim 19 at least when the Accused Product was tested by Defendant and further when placed
9 into its intended operation to charge Qi compatible devices by Defendant's customers. *See Exemplary*
10 *Infringement Chart*, attached as **Exhibit 2**.

11 17. Defendant also has directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the
12 Exemplary '472 Patent Claim, by having its employees internally test and use the Accused Product.

13 18. As shown in the Exemplary Infringement Chart of Exhibit 2, at least when tested by
14 Defendant and when used by Defendant's customers, the Accused Product operates in conjunction
15 with Qi compatible devices which practice a method for use by a slave device (*e.g.*, the device to be
16 charged) for generating power from energy wirelessly received from a master device (*e.g.*, the Accused
17 Product), the method comprising: transmitting a slave device identification (*e.g.*, identification of the
18 device to be charged) to the master device (*e.g.*, the Accused Product) for determining authorization
19 to wirelessly receive energy (*e.g.*, wireless power transfer) from the master device (*e.g.*, the Accused
20 Product); wirelessly receiving, in response to transmitting the slave device identification (*e.g.*,
21 identification of the device to be charged) to the master device (*e.g.*, the Accused Product), energy
22 from the master device (*e.g.*, the Accused Product); and generating power from the wireless energy
23 (*e.g.*, wireless power transfer) received from the master device (*e.g.*, the Accused Product) for use by
24 a set of electronic circuitry of the slave device (*e.g.*, the device to be charged). As illustrated, the
25 Accused Product follows the Qi- Standard. The device to be charged acts as a power receiver and the
26 Accused Product acts as a power transmitter. The power receiver sends an identification to power
27 transmitter for identification and verification. The power receiver receives an ACK response for
28

1 successful verification and NAK response for unsuccessful verification. The power transmitter
2 transfers power after successful verification. *See* Ex. 2.

3 19. Creekview has been damaged by the infringing conduct by Defendant in an amount to
4 be determined at trial. Thus, Defendant is liable to Creekview in an amount that adequately
5 compensates Creekview for such infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable
6 royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

7 **Count II - INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,608,472**

8 20. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

9 21. Defendant, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), has indirectly infringed, literally or under
10 the doctrine of equivalents, method claim 19 of the '472 Patent as outlined by actively inducing their
11 customers to practice the method of claim 19 via use of the Accused Product in an infringing manner
12 to charge Qi compatible devices.

13 22. Defendant has had knowledge and notice of the '472 Patent and the infringement by
14 the Accused Product since at least the filing of this complaint.

15 23. Defendant has continued to provide the Accused Product to its customers and, on
16 information and belief, distributed instruction manuals on how to use the Accused Product in an
17 infringing manner. Therefore, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally encouraged and aided at
18 least its end-user customers to directly infringe the '472 Patent.

19 24. Defendant's end-user customers directly infringe claim 19 of the '472 Patent by using
20 the Accused Product in conjunction with Qi compatible devices in their intended manner to infringe.
21 Defendant induces such infringement by providing the Accused Product and downloadable
22 instructional guides to enable and facilitate infringement, while knowing of, or being willfully blind
23 to the existence of the '472 Patent.

24 25. On information and belief, Defendant specifically intends that its actions will result in
25 infringement of the '472 Patent, or subjectively believe that their actions will result in infringement of
26 the '472 Patent. Therefore, Defendant's induced infringement of the '472 Patent is exceptional and
27 entitles Creekview to recover attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35

1 U.S.C. § 285.

2 26. Creekview is entitled to recover from Defendant all damages that Creekview has
3 sustained as a result of Defendant’s infringement of the Asserted Patent, including, without limitation,
4 a reasonable royalty to be determined at trial.

5 **Jury Demand**

6 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Creekview respectfully
7 demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.

8
9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 WHEREFORE, Creekview respectfully requests the following relief:

11 A. That judgment be entered that Defendant has infringed at least claim 19 of the
12 ’472 Patent, directly and/or indirectly, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents;

13 B. An award of damages sufficient to compensate Creekview for Defendant’s
14 infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including an enhancement of damages on account of
15 Defendant’s willful infringement;

16 C. That the case be found exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Creekview
17 be awarded its reasonable attorneys’ fees;

18 D. Costs and expenses in this action;

19 E. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and

20 F. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

21 Dated: October 31, 2022

22 **INSIGHT, PLC**

23
24 By: /s/ Steven W. Ritcheson
25 Steven W. Ritcheson

26 *Attorney for Plaintiff Creekview IP LLC*