

Geoffrey E. Dobbin
Geoff@DobbinIPLaw.com
UT Bar #9371
DOBBIN IP LAW, P.C.
Attorney for Defendant
2250 S Redwood Road, Suite 5
West Valley City, UT 84119-1355
801-969-6609

JAY JOHNSON, *pro hac vice to be filed*
jay@kjpllc.com
TX State Bar No. 24067322
D. BRADLEY KIZZIA
bkizzia@kjpllc.com
TX State Bar No. 11547550
KIZZIA JOHNSON, PLLC
1910 Pacific Ave., Suite 13000
Dallas, Texas 75201
214-451-0164
Fax: 214-451-0165

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Social Positioning Input Systems, LLC

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

SOCIAL POSITIONING INPUT	§	
SYSTEMS, LLC,	§	Case No: 2:22-cv-00796
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
vs.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
AMBER ALERT GPS, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	
_____	§	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Social Positioning Input Systems, LLC (“Plaintiff” and/or “SPIS”) files this Complaint against Amber Alert GPS, Inc. (“Defendant” and/or “Amber Alert GPS”) for infringement of United States Patent No. 9,261,365 (hereinafter “the ‘365 Patent”).

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a Wyoming limited liability company having an address at 1 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 700, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301.

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a Utah corporation with a place of business at 893 W Baxter, South Jordan, UT 84095. On information and belief, Defendant may be served through its registered agent, Julia Howard, 1682 W. Reunion Ave., 100, Jordan, UT 84095.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 et seq. Plaintiff is seeking damages, as well as attorney fees and costs.

4. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents).

5. On information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has committed, and continues to commit, acts of infringement in this District, has conducted business in this District, and/or has engaged in continuous and systematic activities in this District.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant's instrumentalities that are alleged herein to infringe were and continue to be used, imported, offered for sale, and/or sold in this District.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §1400(b) because Defendant is deemed to be a resident in this District. Alternatively, acts of infringement are occurring in this District and Defendant has a regular and established place of business in this District.

BACKGROUND

8. On February 16, 2016, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) duly and legally issued the ‘365 Patent, entitled “Device, System and Method for Remotely Entering, Storing and Sharing Addresses for a Positional Information Device.” The ‘365 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

9. Plaintiff is currently the owner of the ‘365 Patent.

10. Plaintiff possesses all rights of recovery under the ‘365 Patent, including the exclusive right to recover for past, present and future infringement.

COUNT I
(INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 9,261,365)

11. Plaintiff refers to and incorporates the allegations in Paragraphs 1 - 10, the same as if set forth herein.

12. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States and, in particular under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

13. Defendant has knowledge of its infringement of the ‘365 Patent, at least as of the service of the present complaint.

14. The ‘365 Patent is valid, enforceable, and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims, including at least Claim 1, of the ‘365 Patent by manufacturing, using, importing, selling, offering for sale, and/or providing (as identified in the Claim Chart attached hereto as Exhibit B) its product (i.e., The Active Halo® GPS Smart Locator Device) of tracking and management method for monitoring real-time GPS locations to receive real-time locations of assets or objects (i.e., location information) through one or more positional information

devices (e.g., desktop or mobile devices) (“Product”), which infringes at least Claim 11 of the ‘365 Patent. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘365 patent either directly or through acts of contributory infringement or inducement in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.

16. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary ‘365 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

17. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim chart and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

18. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the ‘365 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the ‘365 Patent. See Exhibit B (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

19. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim chart, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the ‘365 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the ‘365 Patent.

20. Exhibit B includes at least one chart comparing the Exemplary ‘365 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in this chart, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the ‘365 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant

Products incorporated in this chart satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '365 Patent Claims.

21. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim chart of Exhibit B.

22. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

21. Defendant's actions complained of herein will continue unless Defendant is enjoined by this court.

22. Defendant's actions complained of herein are causing irreparable harm and monetary damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until Defendant is enjoined and restrained by this Court.

23. Plaintiff is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

24. Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks the Court to:

(a) Enter judgment for Plaintiff on this Complaint on all causes of action asserted herein;

(b) Enter an Order enjoining Defendant, its agents, officers, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant who receive notice of the order from further infringement of United States Patent No. 9,261,365 (or, in the alternative, awarding Plaintiff running royalties from the time of judgment going forward);

(c) Award Plaintiff damages resulting from Defendant's infringement in accordance

with 35 U.S.C. § 284;

(d) Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs; and

(e) Award Plaintiff such further relief to which the Court finds Plaintiff entitled under law or equity.

Dated: December 22, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Geoffrey E. Dobbin

Geoffrey E. Dobbin

Geoff@DobbinIPLaw.com

UT Bar #9371

DOBBIN IP LAW, P.C.

Attorney for Defendant

2250 S Redwood Road, Suite 5

West Valley City, UT 84119-1355

801-969-6609

JAY JOHNSON, *pro hac vice to be filed*

jay@kjpllc.com

TX State Bar No. 24067322

D. BRADLEY KIZZIA

bkizzia@kjpllc.com

TX State Bar No. 11547550

KIZZIA JOHNSON, PLLC

1910 Pacific Ave., Suite 13000

Dallas, Texas 75201

214-451-0164

Fax: 214-451-0165

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF