

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

<b>HALEY IP LLC,</b>	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Civil Action No. 3:23-cv-00210</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>GPS INSIGHT, LLC,</b>	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

**PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Haley IP LLC (“Haley” or “Plaintiff”) files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,204,261 (“the ’261 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by GPS Insight, LLC., (“Insight GPS” or “Defendant”).

**I. THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Travis County, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Insight is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware and registered in Texas. Insight employs local managers in the Northern District of Texas. Insight stores property in the Northern District of Texas, at least in the homes of Insight’s employees. On information and belief, Insight and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district. Insight be served with process through their registered agent Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701, at its place of business, or wherever they may be found.

## **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District

## **III. INFRINGEMENT - Infringement of the '261 Patent**

6. On February 12, 2019, U.S. Patent No. 10,204,261 ("the '261 patent", included as Exhibit A) entitled "CAMERA IN VEHICLE REPORTS IDENTITY OF DRIVER," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Haley owns the '261 patent by assignment.

7. The '261 patent relates to a novel and improved camera system and associated methods for monitoring a driver of a vehicle.

8. Defendant offers for sale, sells and manufactures one or more camera systems that infringes one or more claims of the '261 patent, including one or more of claims 1-17, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '261 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary exemplary table attached as Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

10. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., camera systems for monitoring a driver) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-17 of the '261 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant, from at least the filing date of the lawsuit, has continued to encourage and instruct others on how to use the products showing specific intent. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '261 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

11. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and

services (e.g., camera systems for monitoring a driver) and related services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-17 of the '261 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant, from at least the filing date of the lawsuit, has continued to encourage and instruct others on how to use the products showing specific intent. Further, there are no substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant's products and services. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '261 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit. For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

12. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '261 patent.

#### **IV. JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

#### **V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '261 patent through selling, offering for sale, manufacturing, and inducing others to infringe by using and instructing to use camera systems for monitoring a driver;
- b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;

- d. declare this case to be “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant’s infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patent-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: January 26, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

**Ramey LLP**

/s/William P. Ramey  
William P. Ramey, III  
Texas Bar No. 24027643  
[wramey@rameyfirm.com](mailto:wramey@rameyfirm.com)

Jeffrey E. Kubiak  
Texas Bar No. 24028470  
[jkubiak@rameyfirm.com](mailto:jkubiak@rameyfirm.com)

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800  
Houston, Texas 77006  
(713) 426-3923 (telephone)  
(832) 900-4941 (fax)

*Attorneys for Haley IP LLC*