

doing so willfully. 2BCom seeks to recover damages from Defendant, including treble damages for willful infringement, as well as injunctive relief.

THE PARTIES

2. 2BCom, LLC is a company, organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, having a place of business at 1603 Orrington Ave, Suite 600, Evanston, Illinois 60201.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Curtis International Ltd. is a Canadian limited company with a principal place of business at 7045 Beckett Drive, Unit 15, Mississauga, ON L5S 2A3. Upon information and belief, Defendant is authorized to do business in New York.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant manufactures and distributes products under the brand name RCA.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant manufactures, imports, and/or sells a variety of products products listed in Exhibit 7 (“Accused Products”).

JURISDICTION

6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States of America, more specifically under 35 U.S.C. § 100, *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §271. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant, among other things, conducts business in, and avails itself of the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, Defendant is registered to do business in the State of New York and otherwise operates, conducts, engages in, and/or carries on a business or business venture in the State of New York. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendant through its own acts and/or through the acts of its affiliated companies (acting as its agents or alter egos) makes, uses, offers to sell, sells (directly or through intermediaries), imports, licenses and/or supplies, in this

District and elsewhere in the United States, products, through regular distribution channels, knowing such products would be used, offered for sale and/or sold in this District. Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of New York and in this District.

VENUE

8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant and venue properly lies within this judicial district and division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d), and 1400(b).

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,885,643

9. 2BCom incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

10. On April 26, 2005, the '643 patent, entitled "Method And Device For Facilitating Efficient Data Transfer Via A Wireless Communication Network," was duly and lawfully issued based upon an application filed by the inventors, Keichi Teramoto, Yoshiaki Takabatake, Junko Ami and Kensaku Fujimoto. A true and correct copy of the '643 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

11. 2BCom is the assignee and the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the '643 patent and has the right to sue and recover damages for infringement thereof.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been and continues to be engaged in making, using, importing, selling and/or offering for sale infringing products, including, but not limited to, the Accused Products in the United States generally, and in the Southern District of New York specifically.

13. Upon information and belief, by acts including, but not limited to use, making, importation, offers to sell, sales and marketing of products that fall within the scope of at least

claim 23 of the '643 patent, Defendant has directly infringed literally and/or upon information and belief, equivalently, and is continuing to infringe the '643 patent and is thus liable to 2BCom pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

14. As a non-limiting example of Defendant's infringement of the '643 patent, set forth in Exhibit 2, is a preliminary claim chart showing Defendant's infringement of exemplary claim 23 of the '643 patent by RCA Smart TV.

15. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 23 of the '643 patent by inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has induced and continues to induce users and retailers of the Accused Products to directly infringe at least claim 23 of the '643 patent.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendant knowingly induced customers to use its Accused Products, including, for example, by promoting such products online (e.g., <https://www.curtisint.com>) and/or providing customers with support, instructions and/or manuals for using the Accused Products through websites such as <https://www.curtisint.com>.

17. Defendant has been on notice of the '643 patent and Defendant's respective infringement of the '643 patent, since, at least, January 3, 2023, via letter to Aaron Herzog, Chief Executive Officer, notifying the Defendant of infringement of the patent. Additional allegations of Defendant's pre-suit knowledge of the '643 patent and willful infringement will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

18. Upon information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Defendant was on notice of its infringement, Defendant has actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), consumers and end users that purchase its infringing products to directly infringe one or more claims of the '643 patent by testing and/or operating the Accused Products in accordance

with Defendant's instructions contained in, for example, its user manuals. Since at least the notice provided on the above-mentioned date, Defendant does so with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the '643 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant intends to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by the distributors, importers, and/or consumers by, inter alia, creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products, creating established distribution channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States, selling the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations, distributing or making available instructions or manuals for the Accused Products, to purchasers and prospective buyers, and/or providing technical support, software and firmware updates, or services for the Accused Products to these purchasers in the United States.

19. Upon information and belief, Defendant also contributes to infringement of the '643 patent by selling for importation into the United States, importing into the United States, and/or selling within the United States after importation the Accused Products and the non-staple constituent parts of those Accused Products, which are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use and which embody a material part of the invention described in the '643 patent. These products are known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '643 patent. Defendant also contributes to the infringement of the '643 patent by selling for importation into the United States, importing into the United States, and/or selling within the United States after importation components of the Accused Products, which are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use and which embody a material part of the invention described in the '643 patent. The products are known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '643 patent. Specifically, upon information and belief, Defendant

sells products with knowledge that the devices are used for infringement, to resellers, retailers, and end users. End users of those products directly infringe the '643 patent.

20. Defendant's infringement of the '643 patent is without consent of, authority of, or license from 2BCom.

21. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the '643 patent is willful. This action, therefore, is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling 2BCom to its attorneys' fees and expenses.

22. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, 2BCom has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

23. Defendant's infringement of the '643 patent has caused irreparable harm (including the loss of market share) to 2BCom, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,928,166

24. 2BCom incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

25. On August 9, 2005 the '166 patent, entitled "Radio Communication Device And User Authentication Method For Use Therewith," was duly and lawfully issued based upon an application filed by the inventor Junichi Yoshizawa. A true and correct copy of the '166 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

26. 2BCom is the assignee and the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the '166 patent and has the right to sue and recover damages for infringement thereof.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been and continues to be engaged in making, using, importing, selling and/or offering for sale infringing products, including, but not

limited to, the Accused Products in the United States generally, and in the Southern District of New York specifically.

28. Upon information and belief, by acts including, but not limited to use, making, importation, offers to sell, sales and marketing of products that fall within the scope of at least claim 13 of the '166 patent, Defendant has directly infringed literally and/or upon information and belief, equivalently, and is continuing to infringe the '166 patent and is thus liable to 2BCom pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

29. As a non-limiting example of Defendant's infringement of the '166 patent, set forth in Exhibit 4, is a preliminary claim chart showing Defendant's infringement of exemplary claim 13 of the '166 patent by RCA Smart TV.

30. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 13 of the '166 patent by inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has induced and continues to induce users and retailers of the Accused Products to directly infringe at least claim 13 of the '166 patent.

31. Upon information and belief, Defendant knowingly induced customers to use its Accused Products, including, for example, by promoting such products online (e.g., <https://www.curtisint.com>) and/or providing customers with support, instructions and/or manuals for using the Accused Products through websites such as <https://www.curtisint.com>.

32. Defendant has been on notice of the '166 patent and Defendant's respective infringement of the '166 patent, since, at least, January 3, 2023, via letter to Aaron Herzog, Chief Executive Officer, notifying the Defendant of infringement of the patent. Additional allegations of Defendant's pre-suit knowledge of the '166 patent and willful infringement will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

33. Upon information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Defendant was on notice of its infringement, Defendant has actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), consumers and end users that purchase its infringing products to directly infringe one or more claims of the '166 patent by testing and/or operating the Accused Products in accordance with Defendant's instructions contained in, for example, its user manuals. Since at least the notice provided on the above-mentioned date, Defendant does so with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the '166 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant intends to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by the distributors, importers, and/or consumers by, inter alia, creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products, creating established distribution channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States, selling the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations, distributing or making available instructions or manuals for the Accused Products, to purchasers and prospective buyers, and/or providing technical support, software and firmware updates, or services for the Accused Products to these purchasers in the United States.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant also contributes to infringement of the '166 patent by selling for importation into the United States, importing into the United States, and/or selling within the United States after importation the Accused Products and the non-staple constituent parts of those Accused Products, which are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use and which embody a material part of the invention described in the '166 patent. These products are known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '166 patent. Defendant also contributes to the infringement of the '166 patent by selling for importation into the United States, importing into the United States, and/or selling within the

United States after importation components of the Accused Products, which are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use and which embody a material part of the invention described in the '166 patent. The products are known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '166 patent. Specifically, upon information and belief, Defendant sells products with knowledge that the devices are used for infringement, to resellers, retailers, and end users. End users of those products directly infringe the '166 patent

35. Defendant's infringement of the '166 patent is without consent of, authority of, or license from 2BCom.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the '166 patent is willful. This action, therefore, is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling 2BCom to its attorneys' fees and expenses.

37. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, 2BCom has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

38. Defendant's infringement of the '166 patent has caused irreparable harm (including the loss of market share) to 2BCom, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,831,444

39. 2BCom incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

40. On December 14, 2004, the '444 patent, entitled "External Storage Device, And Remaining Battery Amount Notifying Method In The Same," was duly and lawfully issued based upon an application filed by the inventors, Koichi Kobayashi and Kazunari Tansawa. A true and correct copy of the '444 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

41. 2BCom is the assignee and the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the ‘444 patent and has the right to sue and recover damages for infringement thereof.

42. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been and continues to be engaged in making, using, importing, selling and/or offering for sale infringing products, including, but not limited to, the Accused Products in the United States generally, and in the Southern District of New York specifically.

43. Upon information and belief, by acts including, but not limited to use, making, importation, offers to sell, sales and marketing of products that fall within the scope of at least claim 1 of the ‘444 patent, Defendant has directly infringed literally and/or upon information and belief, equivalently, and is continuing to infringe the ‘444 patent and is thus liable to 2BCom pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

44. As a non-limiting example of Defendant’s infringement of the ‘444 patent, set forth in Exhibit 6, is a preliminary claim chart showing Defendant’s infringement of exemplary claim 1 of the ‘444 patent by Proscan Tablet.

45. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to infringe at least claim 1 of the ‘444 patent by inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has induced and continues to induce users and retailers of the Accused Products to directly infringe at least claim 1 of the ‘444 patent.

46. Upon information and belief, Defendant knowingly induced customers to use its Accused Products, including, for example, by promoting such products online (e.g., <https://www.curtisint.com>) and/or providing customers with support, instructions and/or manuals for using the Accused Products through websites such as <https://www.curtisint.com>.

47. Defendant has been on notice of the ‘444 patent and Defendant’s respective infringement of the ‘444 patent, since, at least, January 3, 2023, via letter to Aaron Herzog, Chief Executive Officer, notifying the Defendant of infringement of the patent. Additional allegations of Defendant’s pre-suit knowledge of the ‘444 patent and willful infringement will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

48. Upon information and belief, since at least the above-mentioned date when Defendant was on notice of its infringement, Defendant has actively induced, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), consumers and end users that purchase its infringing products to directly infringe one or more claims of the ‘444 patent by testing and/or operating the Accused Products in accordance with Defendant’s instructions contained in, for example, its user manuals. Since at least the notice provided on the above-mentioned date, Defendant does so with knowledge, or with willful blindness of the fact, that the induced acts constitute infringement of the ‘444 patent. Upon information and belief, Defendant intends to cause, and have taken affirmative steps to induce, infringement by the distributors, importers, and/or consumers by, inter alia, creating advertisements that promote the infringing use of the Accused Products, creating established distribution channels for the Accused Products into and within the United States, selling the Accused Products in conformity with U.S. laws and regulations, distributing or making available instructions or manuals for the Accused Products, to purchasers and prospective buyers, and/or providing technical support, software and firmware updates, or services for the Accused Products to these purchasers in the United States.

49. Upon information and belief, Defendant also contributes to infringement of the ‘444 patent by selling for importation into the United States, importing into the United States, and/or selling within the United States after importation the Accused Products and the non-staple

constituent parts of those Accused Products, which are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use and which embody a material part of the invention described in the '444 patent. These products are known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '444 patent. Defendant also contributes to the infringement of the '444 patent by selling for importation into the United States, importing into the United States, and/or selling within the United States after importation components of the Accused Products, which are not suitable for substantial non-infringing use and which embody a material part of the invention described in the '444 patent. The products are known by Defendant to be especially made or especially adapted for use in the infringement of the '444 patent. Specifically, upon information and belief, Defendant sells products with knowledge that the devices are used for infringement, to resellers, retailers, and end users. End users of those products directly infringe the '444 patent.

50. Defendant's infringement of the '444 patent is without consent of, authority of, or license from 2BCom.

51. Upon information and belief, Defendant's infringement of the '444 patent is willful. This action, therefore, is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 entitling 2BCom to its attorneys' fees and expenses.

52. As a result of Defendant's acts of infringement, 2BCom has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

53. Defendant's infringement of the '444 patent has caused irreparable harm (including the loss of market share) to 2BCom, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, 2BCom requests this Court enter judgment as follows:

- A. That the '643 patent, the '166 patent, 'the '444 patent are valid and enforceable;
- B. That Defendant has directly and indirectly infringed at least claim 23 of the '643 patent, claim 13 of the '166 patent and claim 1 of the '444 patent.
- C. That such infringement is willful;
- D. That Defendant account for and pay to 2BCom all damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 to adequately compensate 2BCom for Defendant's infringement of the Asserted Patents, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made by Defendant of the invention set forth in the Asserted Patents;
- E. That 2BCom receives enhanced damages, in the form of treble damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- F. That this is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- G. That Defendant pay 2BCom all of 2BCom's reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- H. That 2BCom be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284 on the damages caused to it by reason of Defendant's infringement of the Asserted Patents, including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any enhanced damages or attorneys' fees award;
- I. That costs be awarded in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284 to 2BCom; and
- J. That 2BCom be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

2BCom hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in this action.

Dated: May 12, 2023

Kroub, Silbersher & Kolmykov PLLC

By: /s/ Sergey Kolmykov

Attorneys for *Plaintiff* 2BCOM, LLC.