

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

HYDRO NET LLC,	§	CIVIL ACTION No. 22-1222
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	PATENT CASE
	§	
AMERICAN INNOVATIONS, LTD.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Hydro Net LLC (“Hydro Net” or “Plaintiff”) files this original complaint against American Innovations, Ltd. (“Defendant”) for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,187,706 (the “’706 Patent” or the “Patent-in-Suit”) and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Hydro Net is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains its principal place of business at 4757 W. Park Blvd., Suite 113-1020, Plano, Texas 75093.

2. American Innovations, Ltd. is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains an established place of business at 12211 Technology Blvd., Austin, Texas 78727. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, CT Corp System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

JURISDICTION

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and

1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District and is incorporated in this District's state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, has an established place of business in this District, and is incorporated in this District's state. In addition, Hydro Net has suffered harm in this District.

PATENT-IN-SUIT

7. Hydro Net is the assignee of all right, title, and interest in the '706 Patent, including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit. Accordingly, Hydro Net possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

THE '706 PATENT

8. The '706 Patent is entitled "Handoff and source congestion avoidance spread-spectrum system and method," and issued 03/06/2007. The application leading to the '706 Patent was filed on 10/02/2001. A true and correct copy of the '706 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

9. The '706 Patent is valid and enforceable.

10. Hydro Net has satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '706 Patent.

11. Hydro Net has complied with any applicable marking and/or notice provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287 with respect to the '706 Patent.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '706 PATENT

12. Hydro Net incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

13. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '706 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '706 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '706 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '706 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

14. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '706 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

15. Exhibit 2 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '706 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '706 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '706 Patent Claims.

16. Valyrian therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 2.

17. The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

18. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '706 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '706 Patent. Thus, on information and belief, Defendant is contributing to and/or inducing the infringement of the '706 Patent.

JURY DEMAND

19. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Hydro Net respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Hydro Net respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '706 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '706 Patent;
- C. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- D. A judgment that awards Hydro Net all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement and, with respect to the '706 patent, any continuing or future infringement, up until the date such judgment is entered including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- E. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Hydro Net for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
 - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Hydro Net be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant that

- it incurs in prosecuting this action;
- ii. that Hydro Net be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
 - iii. that Hydro Net be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 28, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James L. Etheridge

James L. Etheridge

Texas Bar No. 24059147

Ryan S. Loveless

Texas Bar No. 24036997

Travis L. Richins

Texas Bar No. 24061296

Etheridge Law Group, PLLC

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324

Southlake, TX 76092

Tel.: (817) 470-7249

Fax: (817) 887-5950

Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com

Ryan@EtheridgeLaw.com

Travis@EtheridgeLaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Hydro Net LLC