

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

SILENT COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. 6:22-cv-01272
v.)	
)	
TENCENT HOLDINGS LIMITED)	
d/b/a/ WECHAT.COM,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.)	

PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Silent Communications, LLC, (“Plaintiff” or “Silent”) files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,792,874 (“the ‘874 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by Tencent Holdings Limited and WeChat (“Defendant” or “WeChat”).

I. THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Travis County, Texas.
2. On information and belief, Defendant is a foreign corporation having a principal place of business in Shenzhen, Guandong Province, China.
3. On information and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district, and otherwise directs infringing activities to this judicial district in connection with its products and services.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff’s claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff’s cause of action arises directly from Defendant’s business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c)(3). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District.

III. INFRINGEMENT

A. Infringement of the ‘874 Patent

7. On July 29, 2014, U.S. Patent No. 8,792,874 (“the ‘874 patent”, attached as Exhibit A) entitled “System, Methods, Circuits, And Associated Software For Augmenting Contact Details Stored On A Communication Device With Data Relating To The Contact Contained On Social Networking Sites” was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the ‘874 patent by assignment.

8. The '874 patent relates to augmenting contact details stored on a mobile device.

9. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services of augmenting contact details stored on a mobile device that infringe, directly and indirectly, through contributory and/or induced infringement, one or more claims of the '874 patent, including one or more of claims 1-20, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the inventions claimed by the '874 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

10. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the the chart attached as exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

11. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., method of augmenting contact details stored on a mobile phone) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-20 of the '874 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, TikTok has known of the '874 patent and the technology underlying it from the date of issuance of the patent.

12. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., method of augmenting contact details stored on a mobile phone) and related services to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-20 of the '874 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant

has known of the '874 patent and the technology underlying it from the date of issuance of the patent.

13. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Silent damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '874 patent.

B. JURY DEMAND

Defendant hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

C. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '874 patent;
- b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the '874 patent in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Silent its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the

Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and

- g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: December 8, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Ramey LLP

/s/ William P. Ramey, III
William P. Ramey, III
Texas Bar No. 24027643
wramey@rameyfirm.com

Jeffrey E. Kubiak
Texas Bar No. 24028470
jkubiak@rameyfirm.com

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77006
(713) 426-3923 (telephone)
(832) 900-4941 (fax)

Attorneys for SILENT COMMUNICATION, LLC