COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, Hoshizaki America, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "Hoshizaki"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, files this Complaint for patent infringement against Defendants Blue Air FSE LLC ("Blue Air") and Bluenix Co., Ltd. ("Bluenix") (collectively, "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This is an action for infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, to enjoin and obtain damages resulting from Defendants' willful infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,107,538 B2, entitled "Ice Cube Evaporator Plate Assembly" ("the '538 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 10,113,785 B2, entitled "Ice Making Machine and Ice Cube Evaporator" ("the '785 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 10,458,692 B2, entitled "Ice Making Machine and Ice Cube Evaporator" ("the '692 patent") (collectively, "the Patents-in-Suit"). Copies of the '538 patent, the '785 patent, and the '692 patent are attached hereto as **Exhibits A through C**, respectively.
- 2. Hoshizaki seeks damages, enhancement of damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and interest for Defendants' acts of willful patent infringement.

PARTIES

- 3. Hoshizaki is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Georgia, having a principal place of business at 618 Highway 74 South, Peachtree City, GA 30269.
- 4. On information and belief, Blue Air is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, having a principal place of business at 223 West Rosecrans Avenue, Gardena, CA 90248.
- 5. On information and belief, Bluenix is a corporation organized under the laws of the Republic of Korea, having a principal place of business at 17, Emtibeui 3-ro, Danwon-gu, Ansan-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea (15658). On information and belief, Blue Air is at least partially owned and controlled by Bluenix.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this patent infringement action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Blue Air because Blue Air is a California corporation having a principal place of business in this District, and Blue Air has engaged in continuous and systematic minimum contacts with residents of California through the making, distribution, offering for sale, and/or sale of products and services in California, as well as its acts of patent infringement committed in this District.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Bluenix at least because, on information and belief, Bluenix imports and ships infringing products (such as the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A icemaking machines) into the state of California and, more specifically, ships and sells those products to Blue Air at its facilities in Gardena, California.
- 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) and §§ 1391(b) and (c) because Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, Defendants have committed acts of infringement in this District, Bluenix is a foreign corporation which may be sued in any District, and Blue Air is incorporated within the State of California, having a regular and established place of business within this District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff and the Patents-in-Suit

- 10. Hoshizaki is a world leader in the design, manufacturing and marketing of a wide range of commercial kitchen appliances and equipment throughout the world, including icemaking machines, dispensers, refrigerators, dish washers, worktops, preparation tables, equipment stands, and bottle coolers, to name a few.
 - 11. Automatic icemaking machines are well known and are found, e.g., in

food and drink service establishments, hotels, motels, sports arenas, and other commercial settings where large quantities of ice are needed on a continuous basis. Given the large quantity of ice required and high energy consumption typical in the production of ice, customers of such products value speed and energy efficiency in the ice production process. Icemaker manufacturers must not only meet these demands but must comply with increasingly stringent regulations established by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. These demands and regulations lead to enormous technical challenges that must be overcome by innovation.

- 12. Hoshizaki's icemaking machines that incorporate its patented technology use a unique double-sided evaporator design that increases heat transfer area to improve efficiency, thereby creating clean, clear ice in approximately half as many ice-making cycles as conventional grid-cell machine. This, in turn, results in icemaking machines that use less energy and water and have greater reliability and longer life spans.
- 13. Hoshizaki and its affiliates have spent millions of dollars on research and development of its products. As a result of Hoshizaki's history and dedication to innovation, Hoshizaki has received numerous innovation awards from various industries, as well as several United States patents (including the Patents-in-Suit) directed to its technologies and products.
- 14. The Patents-in-Suit in particular are directed to a highly valuable technology that sets apart Hoshizaki's flagship icemaking machines from those of competitors in the marketplace. The technology relates to a novel and innovative evaporator design that substantially increases the efficiency of icemaking machines. These improvements allow Hoshizaki to offer the highest quality, state-of-the-art icemaking machines, while at the same time meeting exacting efficiency and environmental impact requirements of both its customers and energy certification

programs, such as Energy Star®. This, together with other technological innovations in efficiency, have led to Hoshizaki being awarded numerous industry awards, including the Energy Star® Partner of the Year for "sustained excellence" 12 years in a row—an award that requires Hoshizaki to continually innovate and build upon prior efficiency and environmental impact achievements. It is believed that Hoshizaki was also the first food service equipment manufacturer in the United States to attain ISO-14001 certification for its compliance with standards set for environmental conservation practices.

15. Hoshizaki sells numerous "crescent cuber" icemaking machines and dispensers covered by one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including the KM, KMD, KMH, KML, KMS and DKM series icemaking machines. An image of the Hoshizaki KM-1100MAJ50 is depicted below.



Acts Giving Rise to this Action

16. Defendants are trading on Hoshizaki's substantial investments in research and development by knowingly and willfully incorporating Hoshizaki patented evaporator design into their products. More specifically, Defendants make, use, offer for sale, sell and/or import into the United States various models of icemaking machines, evaporators and evaporator plate assemblies that infringe one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit, including without limitation, the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A icemaking machines, as well as an icemaking machine recently exhibited and offered for sale by Blue Air at the 2023 North American Association of Food Equipment Manufacturers ("NAFEM") Show in Orlando, Florida (collectively, the "Accused Products"). An image of the BLMI-500A icemaking machine is depicted below:



17. On February 21, 2023, shortly after conclusion of the 2023 NAFEM

¹ Hoshizaki obtained and inspected physical specimens of the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A Accused Products. The infringing evaporator and evaporator plate assemblies of both products appear identical in design, except for size. Charts mapping various claims of the Patents-in-Suit to photographs of the BLMI-500A are attached as **Exhibits D**, **F** and **G** and are representative with respect to at least the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A Accused Products. On information and belief, other

- Show, counsel for Hoshizaki sent a letter to Blue Air demanding that Blue Air immediately cease and desist from further distribution and sale in the United States of Accused Products (the "C&D Letter"). The C&D Letter advised Blue Air that it was infringing the '538 Patent and stated that Hoshizaki may take action for infringement of the '785 and '692 Patents as well. The C&D Letter requested that Blue Air confirm compliance within ten (10) business days.
- 18. In a March 2, 2023, email, the Chief Operating Officer of Blue Air, Jart Kang, confirmed receipt of the C&D Letter and advised that Blue Air would respond upon completion of its review of the matter. Mr. Kang's email response copied an unknown individual at Bluenix (dwkim@bluenix.com), as well as an email address (digitto@daeyeong.co.kr) also believed to be associated with Bluenix.
- 19. In a March 9, 2023, email to Mr. Kang, counsel for Hoshizaki requested an estimated date for completion of the review and, if available, contact information for Blue Air's United States counsel.
- 20. In a March 20, 2023, email, Mr. Kang advised that Blue Air was only then retaining U.S. counsel and that a response would be provided "within a month." Hoshizaki received no further response from Defendants.

The Bluenix Patent Application

21. On April 16, 2018, Applicant Daeyeong E&B Co., Ltd. ("Daeyeong") in Korea filed a patent application (No. 15/954,396) ("the '396 application") with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") directed to an "Evaporator for Ice Maker." That same day, Daeyeong recorded an inventor assignment of the '396 application invention with the USPTO.

models in the BLMI and BLUI series of ice machines sold by Defendants (including without limitation BLMI-300A, BLMI-500AD, BLMI-650A, BLMI-1300R, BLUI-100A, BLUI-150A, BLUI-250A) and other crescent cuber icemaking machines, evaporators and evaporator plate assemblies incorporated within these machines utilize the same or similar infringing technology as the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A models. Hoshizaki intends to take discovery on the features of these additional models and associated components to confirm this understanding.

- 22. In various Information Disclosure Statements ("IDSs") submitted to the USPTO in connection with prosecution of the '396 application, Daeyeong identified numerous patent references owned by Hoshizaki and its affiliates, including a July 11, 2018, IDS identifying a published patent application (2014/0138065 A1) that eventually issued as the '538 patent at issue in this case.
- 23. In an August 26, 2019, Office Action, the USPTO asserted various prior art references against the '396 application, including U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2017/0067678 ("the '678 publication"), which is a publication of the application that eventually issued as the '785 patent. On December 26, 2019, Daeyeong responded to the Office Action by amending its claims and specifically addressing the '678 publication's disclosure.
- 24. The '396 application issued on June 9, 2020, and, on December 13, 2021, Daeyeong recorded a notice advising the USPTO of a change in corporate name to Bluenix Co., Ltd.

COUNT I

(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,107,538 B2)

- 25. Hoshizaki incorporates by reference and realleges all the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Hoshizaki is the owner and assignee of all substantial rights, title and interest in the '538 patent.
- 27. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendants directly infringed (and continue to directly infringe) at least claim 1 of the '538 patent throughout the United States, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling the Accused Products in the United States, and/or importing the Accused Products into the United States. An exemplary claim chart mapping the BLMI-500A icemaking machine to the elements of claim 1 of the '538 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**. The chart

attached as **Exhibit D** is also representative of the BLMI-900A icemaking machine. *See supra* note 1.

- 28. Defendants' past and continued acts of direct infringement of the '538 patent have caused damage to Hoshizaki. Thus, Hoshizaki is entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to lost profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for Defendants' direct infringement together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 29. On information and belief, Blue Air has intentionally and deliberately infringed the '538 patent, having had knowledge of the '538 patent and Blue Air's infringement of that patent at least as of the date of Blue Air's receipt of the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter. Blue Air's direct infringement of the '538 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 30. On information and belief, Bluenix has intentionally and deliberately infringed the '538 patent, having had knowledge of the '538 patent specification at least as of the July 11, 2018 IDS, and knowledge of the '538 patent and Bluenix's infringement of that patent no later than Bluenix's receipt of the March 2, 2023, email from Mr. Kang responding to the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter. Bluenix's direct infringement of the '538 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 31. Defendants' willful direct infringement of the '538 patent has allowed them to enjoy substantial sales they otherwise would not have had, grow market share, and leverage Hoshizaki's patented technology to develop their own products and brands at the expense of Hoshizaki's reputation as a market and technology innovator, and on information and belief, Defendants lack any reasonable invalidity or non-infringement defenses. For at least these reasons, this action is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Hoshizaki to reasonable attorneys'

fees in bringing this action.

32. Defendants' ongoing direct infringement of the '538 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Hoshizaki unless and until the Court enters an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further acts of direct infringement of the '538 patent.

COUNT II

(Indirect Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,107,538 B2)

- 33. Hoshizaki incorporates by reference and realleges all the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. On information and belief, Blue Air has had knowledge of the '538 patent and Blue Air's infringement of that patent at least as of the date of Blue Air's receipt of the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter.
- 35. On information and belief, Bluenix has had knowledge of the '538 patent specification at least as of the July 11, 2018, IDS, and knowledge of the '538 patent and Bluenix's infringement of that patent no later than Bluenix's receipt of the March 2, 2023, email from Mr. Kang responding to the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter.
- 36. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), Defendants indirectly infringed (and continue to indirectly infringe), for example, by knowingly, intentionally, purposefully and actively inducing others (such as end-user customers) to directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '538 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by encouraging said others to make, use, offer to sell, sell, and/or import into the United States the Accused Products, knowing that such induced acts constitute patent infringement or with a belief of a high probability that said others would infringe the '538 patent, while remaining willfully blind to such infringement. For example, and without limitation, Defendants, with knowledge of the '538 patent and their infringement, sell the BLMI-500A and BLMI-

- 900A icemaking machines with user's manuals (*see* Exhibit E) expressly instructing customers on how to operate and use these machines in an infringing manner. Defendants have also engaged in various marketing efforts (such as advertising and marketing on their websites https://www.blueair.com/ and https://www.blueair.com/ and https://www.daeyeong.co.kr/en/) to entice users to purchase and use the Accused Products in an infringing manner, knowing such use to constitute infringement of the '538 patent.
- 37. Defendants' past and continued acts of indirect infringement of the '538 patent have caused damage to Hoshizaki. Thus, Hoshizaki is entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to lost profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for Defendants' indirect infringement together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 38. On information and belief, Defendants have intentionally and deliberately infringed the '538 patent. Defendants' indirect infringement of the '538 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 39. Defendants' willful indirect infringement of the '538 patent has allowed them to enjoy substantial sales they otherwise would not have had, grow market share, and leverage Hoshizaki's patented technology to develop their own products and brands at the expense of Hoshizaki's reputation as a market and technology innovator, and on information and belief, Defendants lack any reasonable invalidity or non-infringement defenses. For at least these reasons, this action is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Hoshizaki to reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action.
- 40. Defendants' ongoing indirect infringement of the '538 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Hoshizaki unless and until the Court enters an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further acts of indirect

infringement of the '538 patent.

COUNT III

(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,113,785 B2)

- 41. Hoshizaki incorporates by reference and realleges all the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. Hoshizaki is the owner and assignee of all substantial rights, title and interest in the '785 patent.
- 43. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendants directly infringed (and continue to directly infringe) at least claim 1 of the '785 patent throughout the United States, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling the Accused Products in the United States, and/or importing the Accused Products into the United States. An exemplary claim chart mapping the BLMI-500A icemaking machine to the elements of claim 1 of the '785 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit F**. The chart attached as **Exhibit F** is also representative of the BLMI-900A icemaking machine. *See supra* note 1.
- 44. Defendants' past and continued acts of direct infringement of the '785 patent have caused damage to Hoshizaki. Thus, Hoshizaki is entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to lost profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for Defendants' direct infringement together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 45. On information and belief, Blue Air has intentionally and deliberately infringed the '785 patent, having had knowledge of the '785 patent and Blue Air's infringement of that patent at least as of the date of Blue Air's receipt of the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter. Blue Air's direct infringement of the '785 patent is therefore

willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.

- 46. On information and belief, Bluenix has intentionally and deliberately infringed the '785 patent, having had knowledge of the '785 patent specification at least as of the August 26, 2019 Office Action citing the '678 publication against Bluenix's '396 application, and knowledge of the '785 patent and Bluenix's infringement of that patent no later than Bluenix's receipt of the March 2, 2023, email from Mr. Kang responding to the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter. Bluenix's direct infringement of the '785 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 47. Defendants' willful direct infringement of the '785 patent has allowed them to enjoy substantial sales they otherwise would not have had, grow market share, and leverage Hoshizaki's patented technology to develop their own products and brands at the expense of Hoshizaki's reputation as a market and technology innovator, and on information and belief, Defendants lack any reasonable invalidity or non-infringement defenses. For at least these reasons, this action is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Hoshizaki to reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action.
- 48. Defendants' ongoing direct infringement of the '785 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Hoshizaki unless and until the Court enters an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further acts of direct infringement of the '785 patent.

COUNT IV

(Indirect Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,113,785 B2)

- 49. Hoshizaki incorporates by reference and realleges all the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
 - 50. On information and belief, Blue Air has had knowledge of the '785

patent and Blue Air's infringement of that patent at least as of the date of Blue Air's receipt of the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter.

- On information and belief, Bluenix has had knowledge of the '785 patent specification at least as of the August 26, 2019, Office Action citing the '678 publication against Bluenix's '396 application, and knowledge of the '785 patent and Bluenix's infringement of that patent no later than Bluenix's receipt of the March 2, 2023, email from Mr. Kang responding to the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter.
- Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), 52. Defendants indirectly infringed (and continue to indirectly infringe), for example, by knowingly, intentionally, purposefully and actively inducing others (such as end-user customers) to directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '785 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by encouraging said others to make, use, offer to sell, sell, and/or import into the United States the Accused Products, knowing that such induced acts constitute patent infringement or with a belief of a high probability that said others would infringe the '785 patent, while remaining willfully blind to such infringement. For example, and without limitation, Defendants, with knowledge of the '785 patent and its infringement, sell the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A icemaking machines with user's manuals (see Exhibit E) expressly instructing customers on how to operate and use these machines in an infringing manner. Defendants have also engaged in various marketing efforts (such as advertising and their websites marketing on https://www.blueair.com/ and http://www.daeyeong.co.kr/en/) to entice users to purchase and use the Accused Products in an infringing manner, knowing such use to constitute infringement of the '785 patent.
- 53. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), Defendants indirectly infringed (and continue to indirectly infringe), for example, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Accused Products, knowing that users (such as end-user customers) would incorporate (and did incorporate) the Accused Products into systems that directly infringe at least claim 16 of the '785 patent, where the Accused Products have no substantial non-infringing uses. For example, and without limitation, the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A icemaking machines are each a material component of the ice making system recited in claim 16 and have no use other than to be combined with a source of water to meet all limitations of the claim (*see* exemplary chart attached as **Exhibit F** mapping the BLMI-500A to the elements of claim 16, which chart is representative also of the BLMI-900A, *see supra* note 1), and on information and belief, at least one user directly infringed claim 16 by combining the BLMI-500A and/or BLMI-900A icemaking machines with such a water source, as instructed by Blue Air in its user manuals for these machines (*see* **Exhibit E** at pp. 16-17).

- 54. Defendants' past and continued acts of indirect infringement of the '785 patent have caused damage to Hoshizaki. Thus, Hoshizaki is entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to lost profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for Defendants' indirect infringement together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 55. On information and belief, Defendants have intentionally and deliberately infringed the '785 patent. Defendants' indirect infringement of the '785 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 56. Defendants' willful indirect infringement of the '785 patent has allowed them to enjoy substantial sales they otherwise would not have had, grow market share, and leverage Hoshizaki's patented technology to develop their own products and brands at the expense of Hoshizaki's reputation as a market and technology innovator, and on information and belief, Defendants lack any reasonable invalidity or non-infringement defenses. For at least these reasons, this action is "exceptional"

within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Hoshizaki to reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action.

57. Defendants' ongoing indirect infringement of the '785 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Hoshizaki unless and until the Court enters an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further acts of indirect infringement of the '785 patent.

COUNT V

(Direct Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,458,692 B2)

- 58. Hoshizaki incorporates by reference and realleges all the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. Hoshizaki is the owner and assignee of all substantial rights, title and interest in the '692 patent.
- 60. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), Defendants directly infringed (and continue to directly infringe) at least claim 1 of the '692 patent throughout the United States, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling the Accused Products in the United States, and/or importing the Accused Products into the United States. An exemplary claim chart mapping the BLMI-500A icemaking machine to the elements of claim 1 of the '692 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit G**. The chart attached as **Exhibit G** is also representative of the BLMI-900A icemaking machine. *See supra* note 1.
- 61. Defendants' past and continued acts of direct infringement of the '692 patent have caused damage to Hoshizaki. Thus, Hoshizaki is entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to lost profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for Defendants' direct infringement together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court pursuant to

35 U.S.C. § 284.

- 62. On information and belief, Blue Air has intentionally and deliberately infringed the '692 patent, having had knowledge of the '692 patent and Blue Air's infringement of that patent at least as of the date of Blue Air's receipt of the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter. Blue Air's direct infringement of the '692 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 63. On information and belief, Bluenix has intentionally and deliberately infringed the '692 patent, having had knowledge of the '692 patent and Bluenix's infringement of that patent no later than Bluenix's receipt of the March 2, 2023, email from Mr. Kang responding to the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter. Bluenix's direct infringement of the '692 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages.
- 64. Defendants' willful direct infringement of the '692 patent has allowed them to enjoy substantial sales they would otherwise not have had, grow market share, and leverage Hoshizaki's patented technology to develop their own products and brands at the expense of Hoshizaki's reputation as a market and technology innovator, and on information and belief, Defendants lack any reasonable invalidity or non-infringement defenses. For at least these reasons, this action is "exceptional" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Hoshizaki to reasonable attorneys' fees in bringing this action.
- 65. Defendants' ongoing direct infringement of the '692 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Hoshizaki unless and until the Court enters an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further acts of direct infringement of the '692 patent.

COUNT VI

(Indirect Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 10,458,692 B2)

- 66. Hoshizaki incorporates by reference and realleges all the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. On information and belief, Blue Air has had knowledge of the '692 patent and Blue Air's infringement of that patent at least as of the date of Blue Air's receipt of the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter.
- 68. On information and belief, Bluenix has had knowledge of the '692 patent at least as of Bluenix's receipt of the March 2, 2023, email from Mr. Kang responding to the February 21, 2023, C&D Letter.
- 69. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), Defendants indirectly infringed (and continue to indirectly infringe), for example, by knowingly, intentionally, purposefully and actively inducing others (such as end-user customers) to directly infringe at least claim 1 of the '692 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by encouraging said others to make, use, offer to sell, sell, and/or import into the United States the Accused Products, knowing that such induced acts constitute patent infringement or with a belief of a high probability that said others would infringe the '692 patent, while remaining willfully blind to For example, and without limitation, Defendants, with such infringement. knowledge of the '692 patent and its infringement, sell the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A icemaking machines with user's manuals (see Exhibit E) expressly instructing customers on how to operate and use these machines in an infringing manner. Defendants have also engaged in various marketing efforts (such as advertising and marketing https://www.blueair.com/ their websites and on http://www.daeyeong.co.kr/en/) to entice users to purchase and use the Accused Products in an infringing manner, knowing such use to constitute infringement of the '692 patent.
- 70. Without license or authorization and in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), Defendants indirectly infringed (and continue to indirectly infringe), for example, by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, knowing that users (such as end-user customers) would incorporate (and did incorporate) the Accused Products into systems that directly infringe at least claim 11 of the '692 patent, where the Accused Products have no substantial non-infringing uses. For example, and without limitation, the BLMI-500A and BLMI-900A icemaking machines are each a material component of the ice making system recited in claim 11 and have no use other than to be combined with a source of water to meet all limitations of the claim (*see* exemplary chart attached as **Exhibit G** mapping the BLMI-500A to the elements of claim 11, which chart is representative also of the BLMI-900A, *see supra* note 1), and on information and belief, at least one user directly infringed claim 11 by combining the BLMI-500A and/or BLMI-900A icemaking machines with such a water source, as instructed by Blue Air in its user manuals for these machines (*see* **Exhibit E** at pp. 16-17).

- 71. Defendants' past and continued acts of indirect infringement of the '692 patent have caused damage to Hoshizaki. Thus, Hoshizaki is entitled to recover damages from Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, including but not limited to lost profits, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for Defendants' indirect infringement together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 72. On information and belief, Defendants have intentionally and deliberately infringed the '692 patent. Defendants' indirect infringement of the '692 patent is therefore willful, entitling Hoshizaki to enhanced damages and costs.
- 73. Defendants' willful indirect infringement of the '692 patent has allowed them to enjoy substantial sales they would otherwise not have had, grow market share, and leverage Hoshizaki's patented technology to develop their own products and brands at the expense of Hoshizaki's reputation as a market and technology innovator, and on information and belief, Defendants lack any reasonable invalidity

74. Defendants' ongoing indirect infringement of the '692 patent has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Hoshizaki unless and until the Court enters an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in further acts of indirect infringement of the '692 patent.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, Hoshizaki respectfully requests that this Court enter judgement in its favor, and against Blue Air, and award relief including, but not limited to, the following:

- A. a judgment that Defendants have infringed each of the Patents-in-Suit;
- B. a judgment that Defendants' infringement of the Patents-in-Suit was willful;
- C. an order permanently enjoining Defendants from further acts of infringement of the Patents-in-Suit;
- D. an order awarding damages adequate to compensate Hoshizaki for Defendants' unauthorized acts of infringement, including lost profits, and in an amount not less than a reasonable royalty;
- E. an order awarding Hoshizaki treble damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 as a result of Defendants' willful and deliberate infringement of the Patents-in-Suit;
- F. a declaration that this case is exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and an award of Hoshizaki's reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in the prosecution of this action;
- G. an order awarding costs and expenses incurred by Hoshizaki in this

1	1 action;			
2	2 H. an order awarding pre-judgment and post-judgment	interest	to	
3	3 Hoshizaki; and			
4	I. such other and further relief as this Court deems just and pr	such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.		
5				
6				
7	KILE HARRIS LLI	·		
8	2305 Historic Decatur Road, S San Diego, CA 92106	Suite 100		
9	T 1 ((10) (00 000)			
10				
11	Bryan N. DeMatteo			
12	(applying for admission <i>pro ha</i>			
13	Lawrence C. Drucker			
14	(applying for admission <i>pro hac vice</i>) ldrucker@taylorenglish.com			
15	Coby S. Nixon (applying for admission <i>pro hac vice</i>)			
16	<u>cnixon@taylorenglish.com</u> Seth K. Trimble			
17	17 (applying for admission <i>pro ha</i>	(applying for admission pro hac vice)		
18	Jeffrey R. Kuester			
19	(applying for admission <i>pro hac vice</i>) jkuester@taylorenglish.com			
20	20 Cory Mull			
21	21 <u>cmull@taylorenglish.com</u>	ac vicej		
22	TAYLOR ENGLISH DUMA			
23	23 1600 Parkwood Circle, Suite 2 Atlanta, Georgia 30339	200		
24		Tel: (770) 434-6868		
25	25			
26	Counsel for Plaintiff Hoshizaki America, Inc.			
27				
28	28			