

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

Wei Han)	
)	Case No.: 23-cv-4813
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Judge:
)	
Does 1-89, As Identified in Exhibit 2,)	
)	Magistrate:
Defendants.)	
)	

COMPLAINT

Wei Han (“Plaintiff”), hereby files this Complaint for patent infringement against Defendants, on personal knowledge as to Plaintiff’s own activities and on information and belief as to the activities of others:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a Chinese national from Shandong China. Plaintiff owns the United States Patent which is attached as Exhibit 1. (hereafter “Asserted Patent”).
2. The Asserted Patent is being infringed by a cabal of foreign counterfeiters intent on exploiting unknowing online consumers. This infringing behavior harms Plaintiff.
3. Defendants, identified in Exhibit 2, are all believed to be individuals and unincorporated business associations who, upon information and belief, reside in foreign jurisdictions. The true names, identities, and addresses of Defendants are currently unknown.
4. Defendants conduct their illegal operations through fully interactive commercial websites hosted on third party websites such as Amazon.com (“Infringing Websites” or “Infringing Webstores”). Each Defendant targets consumers in the United States,

including the State of Illinois, and has offered to sell and, on information and belief, has sold and continues to sell counterfeit and/or infringing products that violate Plaintiff's intellectual property rights ("Counterfeit Products") to consumers within the United States, including the State of Illinois and the Northern District of Illinois. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b).

5. Through the operation of their Infringing Webstores, Defendants are directly and personally contributing to, inducing and engaging in the sale of Counterfeit Products as alleged, often times as partners, co-conspirators, and/or suppliers.
6. Upon information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of counterfeiters and patent infringers working in active concert to knowingly and willfully manufacture, import, distribute, offer for sale, and sell Counterfeit Products.
7. Defendants intentionally conceal their identities and the full scope of their counterfeiting operations in an effort to deter Plaintiff from learning Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of Defendants' illegal counterfeiting and infringing operations. The identities of these Defendants are presently unknown. If their identities become known, Plaintiff will promptly amend this Complaint to identify them.
8. Defendants have created the Defendant Internet Stores, operate under one or more aliases, and are advertising, offering for sale and selling Counterfeit Products to unsuspecting consumers. Defendant Internet Stores share unique identifiers, establishing a logical relationship between them and suggesting that Defendants' counterfeiting actions arise out of the same transaction or occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences.

9. Defendants are primarily Chinese and all market counterfeit products and/or market their products that infringe the patent of Plaintiff. On information and belief, all Defendants source their goods from a common manufacturer or consortium of manufacturers under the direction or influence of local or national governments.

PLAINTIFF'S PATENTS

10. The Asserted Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
11. Plaintiff is the lawful owner of the Asserted Patent. Plaintiff is the owner and assignee of all substantial rights, title and interest in the Asserted Patent, including the right to bring this action and enforce the Asserted Patent against infringers, and to collect damages for all relevant times.

JOINDER OF DEFENDANTS

12. On information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of infringers working in active concert to knowingly and willfully make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import into the United States for subsequent sale or use infringing products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have jointly and severally, knowingly and willfully made, used, offered for sale, sold, and/or imported into the United States for subsequent resale or use products that infringe directly and/or indirectly Plaintiff's Asserted Patent. Each e-commerce store operating under the aliases by the Defendants offers shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and, on information and belief, each Defendant has sold Infringing Products into the United States and Illinois over the Internet.

13. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants, are working in active concert with an unknown manufacturing entity located in China to knowingly and willfully make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import into the United States for subsequent sale or use the same infringing product. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and accurate copy of a listing by the suspected manufacturer located in China and offering the infringing product sold by the Defendants. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a true and accurate copy of a machine translated version of Exhibit 3.
14. There are questions of fact common to all Defendants. All Defendants are infringing the Accused Patents by making, selling, and/or importing the same infringing product into the United States. Upon information and belief, the accused products are sourced from the unknown manufacturing entity acting in concert with each of the Defendants. Each of the Defendants are selling the same product manufactured by the unknown manufacturing entity. By selling the same accused product, each of the Defendants are infringing the claim of the Accused Patents in the same way.
15. The accused products infringe the Asserted Patent.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

16. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
17. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants in that they transact business in the State of Illinois and in the Northern District of Illinois.
18. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 in that the Defendants are entities or individuals subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Venue is also

proper in this District because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and Defendants directly target business activities towards consumers in the State of Illinois. Specifically, Defendants have targeted sales to Illinois residents, offered shipping to the United States, including Illinois, accepted payment in U.S. dollars and, on information and belief, have sold products infringing Plaintiff's patent to residents of Illinois. Each of the Defendants is committing tortious acts in Illinois, is engaging in interstate commerce, and has wrongfully caused Plaintiff substantial injury in the State of Illinois.

BACKGROUND FACTS

19. Plaintiff is engaged in the business of manufacturing, distributing, and retailing products covered by the Asserted Patent throughout the world, including within the Northern District of Illinois District (collectively, "Plaintiff's Products"). Defendants' sales of similar and substandard copies of Plaintiff's Products ("Counterfeit Products") are in violation of Plaintiff's intellectual property rights and are irreparably damaging Plaintiff.
20. Plaintiff is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in and to, the Asserted Patent. The Asserted Patent is valid, subsisting, and enforceable.
21. Plaintiff's product, symbolized by the Asserted Patent, is a unique and original design.
22. Plaintiff's Products have been widely promoted, both in the United States and throughout the world. The whole of the consuming public recognizes Plaintiff's Products as originating with Plaintiff, but also recognizes that Plaintiff's Products sold in the United States originate exclusively from Plaintiff.
23. As of the date of this filing, Plaintiff's Products are sold throughout the nation including on third-party platforms.

24. Plaintiff maintains quality control standards for all of Plaintiff's products, including those sold under the Asserted Patent.
25. Plaintiff's Products under the Asserted Patent have generated significant revenue for Plaintiff over the years. Plaintiff's Products have become a symbol of excellence, and an expectation of quality uniquely associated with Plaintiff.
26. The Asserted Patent has never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
27. Further, Plaintiff has expended substantial time, money, and other resources developing, advertising, marketing, and otherwise promoting products covered by the Asserted Patent.
28. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Defendants in this action have had full knowledge of Plaintiff's ownership of the Asserted Patent, including its exclusive right to use and license such intellectual property.
29. Recently, and for a while in the past, Plaintiff has identified products covered by the Asserted Patent on the Infringing Webstores and felt the impact of Counterfeit Products designed to resemble authorized retail Internet stores selling the genuine Asserted Product that Defendants had reproduced, displayed, and distributed without authorization or license from Plaintiff in violation of the Asserted Patent.
30. Defendants' use of the Asserted Patent on or in connection with the advertising, marketing, distribution, offering for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Products is likely to cause and has caused confusion, mistake, and deception by and among consumers and is irreparably harming Plaintiff.

31. Defendants have manufactured, imported, distributed, offered for sale, and sold Counterfeit Products infringing the Asserted Patent and continue to do so.
32. Defendants, without authorization or license from Plaintiff, knowingly and willfully used and continue to use the Asserted Patent in connection with the advertisement, offer for sale, and sale of the Counterfeit Products, through, *inter alia*, the Internet. The Counterfeit Products are not genuine products sold by Plaintiff under the Asserted Patent. The Plaintiff did not manufacture, inspect, or package the Counterfeit Products and did not approve the Counterfeit Products for sale or distribution. Each Infringing Webstore offers shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and, on information and belief, each Defendant has sold Counterfeit Products into the United States, including Illinois.
33. Defendants falsely advertise the sale of authentic Asserted Product through the Infringing Webstores. Defendants' Infringing Webstore listings appear to unknowing consumers to be legitimate web stores and listings, authorized to sell genuine Asserted Patent Products.
34. Defendants also deceive unknowing consumers by using the Asserted Patent without authorization within the content, text, and/or meta tags of the listings on Infringing Webstores in order to attract various search engines crawling the Internet looking for websites relevant to consumer searches for Asserted Patent Product and in consumer product searches within the Webstores.
35. Indeed, U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") reports in a January 2020 publication on counterfeiting that commonly owned and/or interrelated enterprises have many online marketplace profiles that appear unrelated:

Platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, nor to link one seller profile to other profiles owned by that same business, or by related businesses and owners. In

addition, the party that appears as the seller on the invoice and the business or profile that appears on the platform to be the seller, may not always be the same. This lack of transparency allows one business to have many different profiles that can appear unrelated.

36. Defendants go to great lengths to conceal their true identities and often use multiple fictitious names and addresses to register and operate the Infringing Webstores. Upon information and belief, Defendants regularly create new Webstores on various platforms using the identities listed in Exhibit 2 of the Complaint, as well as other unknown fictitious names and addresses. Such registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by the Defendants to conceal their identities, the full scope and interworking of their illegal counterfeiting operations, and to prevent the Infringing Webstores from being disabled.
37. Further, counterfeiters, such as Defendants, also consult and post information to “seller-defense” websites developed and maintained to provide counterfeiters early notice of recently filed lawsuits, so assets and evidence can be transferred, hidden or destroyed before a temporary asset restraint is instituted.
38. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious names, there are numerous similarities among the Defendant Internet Stores. For example, some of the Defendant Internet Stores use identical or equivalent language to sell Unauthorized Products and many use images taken from Plaintiff’s own website.
39. In addition, the Unauthorized Products for sale in the Defendant Internet Stores bear similarities and indicia of being related to one another, suggesting that the Unauthorized Products were manufactured by and come from a common source and that, upon information and belief, Defendants are interrelated.
40. The Defendant Internet Stores also include other notable common features, including

common payment methods, lack of contact information, identically or similarly appearing products, identical or similarly priced items and volume sales discounts, and the use of the same text and images.

41. Upon information and belief, Defendants will continue to register or acquire listings for the purpose of selling Counterfeit Goods that infringe upon the Asserted Patent unless preliminarily and permanently enjoined.
42. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

**COUNT ONE
PATENT INFRINGEMENT
(35 U.S.C. § 271)**

43. The Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing allegations above as if fully set forth herein.
44. Plaintiff's Asserted Patent is valid and enforceable.
45. Defendants are making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into the United States for subsequent sale or use Counterfeit Products that infringe directly and/or indirectly the ornamental design claimed in the Asserted Patent.
46. The Defendants, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, have infringed and continue to infringe the claim of the Asserted Patent, directly or indirectly, by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the accused products identified in Exhibit 2 to the Complaint in the United States.
47. The Defendants have willfully and deliberately infringed the claims of the Asserted Patent. The Defendant's infringement of the Asserted Patent is obvious and notorious. The Defendants have no good faith basis that the Unauthorized Products do not infringe the Asserted Patent. The willful infringement, without regard to Plaintiff's patent rights,

constitute egregious and wanton conduct sufficient to establish willful infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

48. By reason of the ongoing and continuous infringement of the Asserted Patent by the Defendants, Plaintiff is entitled to the entry of permanent injunction enjoining the Defendants from further infringing Plaintiff's patent rights, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.
49. Plaintiff has suffered, and is continuing to suffer, damages as the Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patent, and Plaintiff is entitled to compensation, including Defendants' profits, and other monetary relief to the fullest extent allowed by law, including attorneys' fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, 285, and 289.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants in favor of the Plaintiff on all counts as follows:

1. A judgment against Defendants as to infringement of the claims of the Asserted Patent;
2. Preliminary and permanent injunctions under 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, subsidiaries, parents, licensees, assigns, and customers, and all others acting in concert or participation with them, from further acts of infringing, inducing infringement, and/or contributing to the infringement of Asserted Patent, including:
 - a. shipping, delivering, holding for sale, distributing, returning, transferring or otherwise moving, storing or disposing of in any manner products or inventory not manufactured by or for Plaintiff, nor authorized by Plaintiff to be sold or offered for sale, covered by the Asserted Patent or any reproductions, counterfeit copies, or colorable imitations thereof;

- b. using, linking to, transferring, selling, exercising control over, or otherwise owning or operating the Infringing Webstores, listings, or any other domain name that is being used to sell or is the means by which Defendants could continue to sell Counterfeit Products;
 - c. operating and/or hosting websites at the Infringing Webstores and any other domain names registered or operated by Defendants that are involved with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any product covered by the Asserted Patent or any reproduction, counterfeit copy or colorable imitation thereof that is not a genuine product or not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold; and
 - d. possessing any product covered by the Asserted Patent or any reproduction, counterfeit copy or colorable imitation thereof that is not a genuine product or not authorized by Plaintiff to be sold in connection with the Asserted Patent. As part of compliance with this provision, we ask that Defendants or those who possess Defendants' infringing goods, segregate and destroy infringing goods;
3. An award of damages for Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patent in an amount to be determined at trial as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, including enhanced damages due to, for example, Defendants' willful infringement of the Asserted Patent;
4. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
5. A finding that this case is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
6. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this action;
7. That Defendants, within ten days after service of judgment with notice of entry thereof

upon them, be required to file with the Court and serve upon the Plaintiff's a written report under oath setting forth in detail the manner in which Defendants have complied with any and all injunctive relief ordered by this Court;

8. Entry of an order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those in privity with Defendants and those with notice of the injunction, including any Internet search engines, Webstore hosts or their administrators that are provided with notice of the injunction, cease facilitating access to any or all webstores through which Defendants engage in the sale of Counterfeit Products under the Asserted Patent; and
9. Grant Plaintiff such other and further legal relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: July 25, 2023

By: _____/s/ Kevin Keener

Kevin J. Keener
DC # 6296898
Keener & Associates, P.C.
161 N. Clark Street, Suite #1600
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 375-1573
kevin.keener@keenerlegal.com