## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LEXIDINE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAFE FLEET HOLDINGS LLC, SAFE FLEET ACQUISITION CORP., and REAR VIEW SAFETY LLC f/k/a REAR VIEW SAFETY INC., Case No. <u>1:23-cv-09325</u>

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

## **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff Lexidine, LLC ("<u>Lexidine</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") files this Complaint against Defendants Safe Fleet Holdings LLC and Safe Fleet Acquisition Corp. (collectively, "<u>Safe Fleet</u>"), and Rear View Safety LLC f/k/a Rear View Safety Inc. ("<u>RVS</u>" and, together with Safe Fleet, "<u>Defendants</u>"), alleging, based on its own knowledge as to itself and its own actions, and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

## NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action against Defendant for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,609,961 (the "<u>961 patent</u>" or "<u>Asserted Patent</u>"), issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("<u>USPTO</u>"), a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (with *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate).

2. The '961 patent was subject to Reexamination Request No. 90/020,131, dated February 20, 2020. The USPTO issued an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate for the '961 patent on August 22, 2022 (the "<u>Reexam Certificate</u>"). That Reexam Certificate confirmed the patentability of original claims 19-22, determined that original claim 1 was patentable as amended,

determined that claims 2-11, which are dependent on amended original claim 1, were patentable, and determined that new claims 24-80 were patentable.

3. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages and injunctive relief.

### PARTIES

4. Lexidine is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma and maintains its principal place of business at 121 NE 52nd St., Suite 215, Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (Oklahoma County).

5. Lexidine is the owner of the Asserted Patent with all rights to recover for all past, present, and future infringement, including past damages.

6. Upon information and belief based upon public information, Safe Fleet Holdings LLC is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware since August 13, 2013.

7. In addition, upon information and belief based upon public information, Safe Fleet Holdings LLC has its headquarters located at 6800 East 163rd Street, Belton, Missouri 64012.

8. Upon information and belief based upon public information, Safe Fleet Acquisition Corp. is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware since August 13, 2013.

9. In addition, upon information and belief based upon public information, Safe Fleet Acquisition Corp. has its headquarters located at 6800 East 163rd Street, Belton, Missouri 64012.

10. Upon information and belief based upon public information, Rear View Safety LLC f/k/a Rear View Safety Inc. (hereinafter "<u>RVS</u>") is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of State of New York since September 11, 2007.

11. In addition, upon information and belief based upon public information, RVS has its headquarters located at 1797 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11233 (Kings County).

12. Upon information and belief based upon public information, RVS is wholly owned and operated by Safe Fleet.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. Lexidine repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

14. This is an action for infringement of a United States patent arising under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284–285, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1338(a).

15. Defendants are subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; or (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in this State and in this District.

16. Specifically, Defendants intend to and do business in this State, directly or through intermediaries, and offer products or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and potential customers located in this State, including in this District.

17. Defendants commit acts, and have committed acts, of infringement in this District, including, but not limited to, use of the Accused Products (identified below) and inducement of third parties to use them in an infringing manner.

18. Upon information and belief based upon public knowledge, Defendants have committed and continue to commit acts of infringement in this District.

19. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1400(b) and 1391(c).

### THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

20. Lexidine repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

21. According to public information, Defendants own, operate, advertise, and/or control the website <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/</u> through which Defendants advertise, sell, offer to sell, provide, and/or educate customers about their products and services under the RVS brand. *See* **Exhibit B** (RVS Homepage).

22. Defendants sell, advertise, offer for sale, use, or otherwise provide certain brake light cameras. *See* **Exhibit C** (RVS Product Catalog).

23. Representative images of offers for sale of brake light cameras from Defendants' website (collectively, the "Accused Products") are included in the links that follow: Model RVS-913 (and related bundles, see RVS, https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?g=RVS-913), **RVS-916** Model (and related bundles, see RVS, https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?g=RVS-916), Model RVS-1016 (and related bundles, see RVS, https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?g=RVS-1016), Model RVS-915 (and related bundles, see RVS, https://www.rearviewsafety.com/rvs-915-rear-view-camera-jason-cap-third-Model brake-camera-1.html), **RVS-917** (and related bundles, see RVS, https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-917), Model RVS-CL-NC-620 TVL (and related bundles, see RVS, https://www.rearviewsafety.com/clearance-light-backup-camera-rvscl.html, see also SURVEILLANCE-VIDEO.COM, https://www.surveillance-video.com/camera-rvs-clnc.html and https://www.surveillance-video.com/media/lanot/attachments/customimport/RVS-CL-NC.pdf), and Model RVS-921-NC (and related bundles, see 123SECURITYPRODUCTS.COM,

https://www.123securityproducts.com/rvs921nc.html). For the avoidance of doubt, all product bundles that include any of the foregoing models are also "Accused Products" as that term is defined in this complaint. The term "Accused Products" also includes all substantively similar models and any predecessor and/or successor versions or revisions of models that satisfy each limitation of any claim of the Asserted Patent, whether sold directly or via other online marketplaces or brick and mortar retail stores.

24. Defendants provide information on their website to support their customers' use of the Accused Products. *See* Exhibit D (Support Page) and Exhibit E (Customer Resources Page).

### COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,609,961

25. Lexidine repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

26. The USPTO issued the '961 patent on October 27, 2002, after a full and fair examination of Application No. 11/401,405 which was filed on April 11, 2006. *See* Ex. A at A-1.

27. The USPTO issued an *ex parte* Reexamination Certificate for the '961 patent on August 22, 2022, after a full and fair examination of Application No. 90/020,131, which was filed on February 20, 2020. *See* Ex. A at A-11 to A-15.

28. Lexidine owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '961 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce it against infringers, and to collect damages for all relevant times.

29. Lexidine or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '961 patent.

#### Case 1:23-cv-09325-DG-JAM Document 1 Filed 12/19/23 Page 6 of 13 PageID #: 6

30. The claims of the '961 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of cameras and their integration into brake light enclosures to minimize their appearance.

31. The written description of the '961 patent describes in technical detail each of the limitations of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention. The '961 patent also identifies and circumscribes all information necessary for a skilled artisan to perform each limitation in the claims in light of that which was known in the art at the priority date.

32. Defendants have directly infringed one or more claims of the '961 patent by making, having made, using, testing, providing, supplying, distributing, selling, marketing, or offering the Accused Products to their customers.

33. Defendants have directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, one or more claims of the '961 patent.

34. Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe the '961 patent either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents through the manufacture and sale of infringing products, including but not limited to the Accused Products.

35. Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '961 patent, including at least claim 1, 21, and 30, among others, and because they ship, distribute, make, use, import, offer for sale, sell, and/or advertise the Accused Products.

36. For example, as required in claim 1, Defendants' Accused Products provide to their customers a vehicle camera that includes a vehicle lens for an external third brake light that has an internal reflector surface and a translucent red area that allows light transmission. See, e.g., Model RVS-913 (and related bundles, see https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?g=RVS-913), Model RVS-916 (and related bundles, see https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?g=RVS-916). The Accused Products have an opening in the vehicle lens with the camera body within the vehicle lens and having a viewing axis through the opening in the vehicle lens. Id. In addition, the Accused Products include a slanted surface in close proximity to the opening in the vehicle lens. Id. The Accused Products also include a base attached to the vehicle lens where the viewing axis is at an angle of between about 15 to 75 degrees with respect to a plane of that base. Id. The Accused Products also have a camera assembly, which includes at least a camera body housing a camera comprised of optoelectronic components, a camera lens, and a transparent camera lens cover, and is arranged such that at least a portion of the camera assembly is outside the opening in the vehicle lens and the camera assembly and camera body are fixed in position with respect to the vehicle lens. Id.

37. As another example, as required in claim 21, Defendants' Accused Products provide to their customers a vehicle camera that includes a vehicle lens of an external light for a vehicle, the vehicle lens having a translucent area with an internal reflector surface and having an opening in the translucent area of the vehicle lens. *See, e.g.*, **Model RVS-913** (and related bundles, *see* <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-913</u>), **Model RVS-916** (and related bundles, *see* <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-913</u>). The Accused Products further have a camera body mounted completely within the vehicle lens, a transparent camera lens cover attached to the opening and that protects a camera lens within the camera body, and the vehicle

#### Case 1:23-cv-09325-DG-JAM Document 1 Filed 12/19/23 Page 8 of 13 PageID #: 8

lens is mounted at a base. *Id.* The vehicle lens of the Accused Products also each have a slanted top surface with a concave portion having an opening at which the transparent camera lens cover and the camera body is attached at the inside of the vehicle lens. *Id.* 

38. As another example, as required in claim 30, Defendants' Accused Products provide to their customers a vehicle camera that includes a vehicle lens of an external light for a vehicle, the vehicle lens having a translucent red area for allowing light transmission therethrough of the red color with a viewing axis of about 45 degrees with respect to a plane of the base through a opening. Model **RVS-913** (and physical See, e.g., related bundles. see https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-913), Model RVS-916 (and related bundles, see https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-916). The Accused Products have a camera body mounted completely within the vehicle lens and a camera assembly attached to the vehicle lens that includes a transparent camera lens cover, camera lens, and the camera body. Id. The transparent camera lens cover of the Accused Products is outside the vehicle lens and protects the camera lens. Id. The camera assembly and camera body of the Accused Products are fixed in position with respect to the vehicle lens with a gasket positioned between the camera lens cover and the vehicle lens to keep water out. Id.

39. Defendants have intentionally induced and continue to induce infringement of the '961 patent claims in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by their intentional acts which have successfully, among other things, encouraged, instructed, enabled, and otherwise caused Defendants' customers to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner. *See* Ex. D and Ex. E. Defendants' source of revenue and business focus is the provision of and sale of the Accused Products, among other products. Defendants have specifically intended their customers to use their systems in such a way that infringes the '961 patent by, at a minimum, providing and

#### Case 1:23-cv-09325-DG-JAM Document 1 Filed 12/19/23 Page 9 of 13 PageID #: 9

supporting the Accused Products and instructing their customers on how to use them in an infringing manner, at least through information available on Defendants' websites including information brochures, promotional material, and contact information. *See* Ex. C, Ex. D, and Ex. E. Defendants knew that their actions, including, but not limited to any of the aforementioned systems and methods, would induce, have induced, and will continue to induce infringement by their customers by continuing to sell, support, and instruct their customers on using the Accused Products. *Id.* 

40. Defendants have had knowledge of the '961 patent at least as of the date when they were notified of the filing of this action.

41. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendants have a policy or practice of not reviewing the patents of others (including instructing their employees to not review the patents of others), and thus has been willfully blind of Plaintiff's patent rights.

42. Defendants' actions are at least objectively reckless as to the risk of infringing a valid patent and this objective risk was either known or should have been known by them.

43. Since at least the time of receiving this Complaint, Defendants' direct and indirect infringement of the '961 patent is, has been, and continues to be willful, intentional, deliberate, or in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights under the patent.

44. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendants alleged above. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

45. Lexidine has suffered irreparable harm, through its loss of market share and goodwill, for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Lexidine has and will continue to suffer

this harm by virtue of Defendants' infringement of the '961 patent. Defendants' actions have interfered with and will interfere with Lexidine's ability to license technology. The balance of hardships favors Lexidine's ability to commercialize its own ideas and technology. The public interest in allowing Lexidine to enforce its right to exclude outweighs other public interests, which supports injunctive relief in this case.

#### JURY DEMAND

46. Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable by right.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Lexidine requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendants, and that the Court grant Lexidine the following relief:

- (a) Judgment that one or more claims of the Asserted Patent has been infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendants or all others acting in concert therewith;
- (b) A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringement of the claims of the Asserted Patent; or, in the alternative, an award of a reasonable ongoing royalty for future infringement of the Asserted Patent by such entities;
- (c) Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to Lexidine all damages to and costs incurred by Lexidine because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- (d) Judgment that Defendants' infringements be found willful, and that the Court award treble damages for the period of such willful infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- (e) Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- (f) That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Lexidine its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- (g) All other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: December 19, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jonathan R. Miller

Jonathan R. Miller \*\* James F. McDonough, III \*\* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 659 Auburn Avenue NE, Suite 254 Atlanta, Georgia 30312 Telephone: (404) 564-1863, -1866 Email: miller@rhmtrial.com Email: jim@rhmtrial.com

Attorneys for LEXIDINE LLC

\*\* admission pro hac vice anticipated

## **Exhibits**

- A. U.S. Patent No. 7,609,961 (includes Re-examination Certificate)
- B. Webpage: https://www.rearviewsafety.com/
- C. Excerpts from RVS Product Catalog 2023
- D. Webpage: "Support"
- E. Webpage: "Customer Resources"

# **Supportive Links**

- 1. Model RVS-913, RVS, <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-913</u>.
- 2. Model RVS-916, RVS, <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-916</u>.
- 3. Model RVS-1016, RVS, <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-1016</u>.
- 4. Model RVS-915, RVS, <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/rvs-915-rear-view-camera-jason-cap-third-brake-camera-1.html</u>.
- 5. Model RVS-917, RVS, <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/shop.html?q=RVS-917</u>.
- 6. Model RVS-CL-NC-620 TVL, RVS, <u>https://www.rearviewsafety.com/clearance-light-backup-camera-rvs-cl.html</u>.
- Model RVS-CL-NC-620 TVL, SURVEILLANCE-VIDEO.COM, <u>https://www.surveillance-video.com/camera-rvs-cl-nc.html</u> and <u>https://www.surveillance-video.com/media/lanot/attachments/customimport/RVS-CL-NC.pdf</u>.

Case 1:23-cv-09325-DG-JAM Document 1 Filed 12/19/23 Page 13 of 13 PageID #: 13

8. Model RVS-921-NC, *123SECURITYPRODUCTS.COM*, https://www.123securityproducts.com/rvs921nc.html.