

1 William P. Ramey, III (*pro hac vice anticipated*)  
2 Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com  
3 RAMEY LLP  
4 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006  
5 Telephone: (713) 426-3923  
6 Fax: (832) 689-9175

6 Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)  
7 RAMEY LLP  
8 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800  
9 Houston, Texas 77006  
10 Telephone: (800) 993-7499  
11 Fax: (832) 900-4941

11 Southern California Office:  
12 811 Wilshire Blvd., 17th Floor  
13 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
14 Telephone: (800) 993-7499  
15 Fax: (832) 900-4941

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
16 MESA DIGITAL, LLC

17 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
18 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
19 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

20 MESA DIGITAL, LLC,  
21 Plaintiff,  
22 v.  
23 ASUS TECH USA,  
24 Defendant.

Case No.: 8:23-cv-02503

**PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL  
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**(35 U.S.C. § 271)**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 **PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

2 Plaintiff Mesa Digital, LLC files this Original Complaint and demand for jury  
3 trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of 9,031,537 (“the ‘537  
4 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by ASUS Tech USA (“Defendant” or  
5 “Asus”).  
6  
7

8 **I. THE PARTIES**

9 1. Mesa Digital, LLC is a New Mexico limited liability company with its  
10 principal place of business located in Albuquerque, New Mexico.  
11

12 2. On information and belief, ASUS is a limited liability company organized and  
13 existing under the laws of California with a principal place of business located at  
14 48710 Kato Rd, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Fremont, CA 94538. Defendant can be served through its  
15 registered agent, CT Corporation System, 330 N. Brand Blvd., Glendale, California  
16 91203, at its place of business, or anywhere else they may be found.  
17

18 3. On information and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and  
19 services throughout California, including in this judicial district, introduces products  
20 and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of  
21 commerce knowing that they would be sold in California and this judicial district,  
22 and otherwise directs infringing activities to this judicial district in connection with  
23 its products and services.  
24  
25  
26

27 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**  
28

1 4. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action  
2 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an  
3 Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.  
4

5 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is  
6 present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and this  
7 judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of  
8 conducting business in the State of California and in this judicial district; and (iii)  
9 Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and  
10 other activities in the State of California and in this judicial district.  
11  
12

13 6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b).  
14 Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established  
15 place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant  
16 conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries,  
17 including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly  
18 doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or  
19 deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in  
20 California and this District.  
21  
22  
23  
24

### 25 **III. INFRINGEMENT**

#### 26 **A. Infringement of the '537 Patent**

27  
28

1 7. On May 12, 2015, U.S. Patent No. 9,031,537 (“the ‘537 patent” (included as  
2 Exhibit A, the contents of which are fully incorporated by reference)) entitled  
3 “Electronic wireless hand held multimedia device” was duly and legally issued by  
4 the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the ‘537 patent by assignment.  
5

6  
7 8. The ‘537 patent relates to novel and improved electronic wireless hand held  
8 media devices including a microprocessor and more than one wireless transceiver  
9 modules enabling wireless communication over a variety of standards, including  
10 Cellular (e.g., GSM, CDMA, GPRS, 3G), 802.11 (i.e., WLAN), and short range (e.g.,  
11 Bluetooth, infrared, RFID), for the retrieval, processing and delivery of multimedia  
12 data to/from remote data resources (i.e., Internet, servers).  
13  
14

15 9. Defendant maintained, operated, manufactured, sold, offered for sale, and  
16 imported electronic wireless hand held media devices including a microprocessor  
17 and more than one wireless transceiver modules enabling wireless communications  
18 over a variety of standards, including Cellular (e.g., GSM, CDMA, GPRS, 3G),  
19 802.11 (e.g., WLAN), and short range (i.g. Bluetooth, infrared, RFID), for the  
20 retrieval, processing and delivery of multimedia data to/from remote data resources  
21 (i.e., Internet, servers) that infringe one or more claims of the ‘537 Patent, including  
22 one or more of claims 1-37, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendants  
23 put the inventions claimed by the ‘537 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for  
24 Defendant’s actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant’s  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts  
2 complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to  
3 perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

4  
5 10.Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the following  
6 exemplary table included as Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are  
7 preliminary and are therefore subject to change.  
8

9  
10 11.Defendant has caused Plaintiff damage by direct infringement of the claims  
11 of the '537 patent.<sup>1</sup>

12  
13 12.Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively  
14 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its  
15 related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services  
16 including electronic wireless hand held media devices including a microprocessor  
17 and more than one wireless transceiver modules enabling wireless communications  
18 over a variety of standards, including Cellular (e.g., GSM, CDMA, GPRS, 3G),  
19 802.11 (e.g., WLAN), and short range (i.g. Bluetooth, infrared, RFID), for the  
20 retrieval, processing and delivery of multimedia data to/from remote data resources  
21 (i.e., Internet, servers) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-37  
22  
23  
24

---

25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend to add claims for indirect infringement,  
28 including inducement and contributory, and/or willful infringement, to the extent  
fact discovery shows Defendant's pre-expiration knowledge of the patent.

1 of the '537 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover,  
2 Defendant has known of the '537 patent and the technology underlying it from at  
3 least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>2</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously  
4 alleged in this complaint.  
5

6  
7 13. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively  
8 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its  
9 related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services  
10 including electronic wireless hand held media devices including a microprocessor  
11 and more than one wireless transceiver modules enabling wireless communications  
12 over a variety of standards, including Cellular (e.g., GSM, CDMA, GPRS, 3G),  
13 802.11 (e.g., WLAN), and short range (i.g. Bluetooth, infrared, RFID), for the  
14 retrieval, processing and delivery of multimedia data to/from remote data resources  
15 (i.e., Internet, servers) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-37  
16 of the '537 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Further, there are no  
17 substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant's products and services. Moreover,  
18 Defendant has known of the '537 patent and the technology underlying it from at  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

---

27  
28 <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend and add inducement pre-suit if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

1 least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>3</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously  
2 alleged in this complaint.  
3

4 14. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct  
5 and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of  
6 the '537 patent.  
7

8 **IV. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

9 15. Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has  
10 plead all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. Further, all conditions  
11 precedent for recovery are met.  
12

13 **V. JURY DEMAND**

14 Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.  
15

16 **VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:  
18

- 19 a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '537 patent;  
20  
21 b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for  
22 Defendant's infringement, in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 <sup>3</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend and add inducement pre-suit if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

1 lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs  
2 under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

3  
4 c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial  
5 and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of  
6 infringement; and,  
7

8 award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

9 Dated: December 30, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

11  
12 /s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra

Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No.  
13 16740)

14 skalra@rameyfirm.com

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800

15 Houston, Texas 77006

16 Telephone: (800) 993-7499

17 Fax: (832) 900-4941

18 RAMEY LLP

19 /s/ William P. Ramey, III

William P. Ramey, III (pro hac vice  
20 anticipated)

21 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800

Houston, Texas 77006

22 Telephone: (713) 426-3923

23 Fax: (832) 689-9175

24 Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com

25 Attorneys for Plaintiff

26 MESA DIGITAL, LLC  
27  
28