

1 Jeffrey D. Wexler (SBN 132256) (local counsel)  
2 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
3 725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3600  
4 Los Angeles, California 90017-5524  
5 Telephone: (213) 488-7100  
6 Facsimile: (213) 629-1033  
7 jeffrey.wexler@pillsburylaw.com

8 Donald R. McPhail (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)  
9 Eric W. Schweibenz (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)  
10 John S. Kern (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)  
11 Alexander B. Englehart (*pro hac vice* application to be filed)  
12 OBLON, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.  
13 1940 Duke Street  
14 Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
15 Telephone: (703) 413-3000  
16 Facsimile: (703) 413-2200  
17 dmcphail@oblon.com  
18 eschweibenz@oblon.com  
19 jkern@oblon.com  
20 aenglehart@oblon.com

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc.

22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
23 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

24 CMI USA, INC.,

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

27 SHENZHEN APALTEK CO., LTD.;  
28 GUANDONG APALTEK LIQUID  
COOLING TECHNOLOGY CO.,  
LTD.; SILVERSTONE  
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.;  
SILVERSTONE TECHNOLOGY,  
INC.; ENERMAX TECHNOLOGY  
CORP.; and ENERMAX USA,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:24-cv-00353

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) brings this action against Defendants  
2 Shenzhen Apaltek Co., Ltd.; Guandong Apaltek Liquid Cooling Technology Co.,  
3 Ltd.; Silverstone Technology Co., Ltd.; Silverstone Technology, Inc.; Enermax  
4 Technology Corp.; and Enermax USA (collectively, “Defendants”) to address  
5 Defendants’ infringement of certain CMI USA patents, and alleges as follows:

6 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of  
8 the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has exclusive  
9 subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

10 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they have  
11 committed and continue to commit acts of infringement in violation of 35 U.S.C. §  
12 271 and place infringing products into the stream of commerce, with the knowledge  
13 or understanding that such products are sold in the State of California, including in  
14 this District. The acts by Defendants cause injury to Plaintiff within this District.  
15 Upon information and belief, Defendants derive revenue from the sale of infringing  
16 products within this District, expect their actions to have consequences within this  
17 District, and derive revenue from interstate and international commerce.

18 3. Venue in this Court is proper under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§  
19 1391(b), (c), and (d) and 1400(b) as well as under the “alien venue rule.” *See*  
20 *Brunette Machine Works, Ltd. v. Kockum Indus., Inc.*, 406 U.S. 706, 711-14 (1972);  
21 *In re HTC Corp.*, 889 F.3d 1349, 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2018). Defendants all either reside  
22 in this District or are foreign entities that can be sued in any district. In addition,  
23 SSTI and EUSA have regular and established places of business in this District at  
24 their respective headquarters.

25 **THE PARTIES**

26 4. Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the  
27 State of California with a principal place of business at 1 N. Indian Hill Blvd., Suite  
28 200, Claremont, CA 91711.

1           5.     On information and belief, Defendant Shenzhen Apaltek Co., Ltd.  
2 (“SAC”) is a corporation organized under the laws of China with a principal place of  
3 business at 2907-2908, Building 2, OCT Creative Building, North Station  
4 Community, Minzhi Street, Longhua District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province,  
5 People’s Republic of China. On information and belief, SAC does business itself, or  
6 through its subsidiaries, affiliates, and agents, in the State of California and this  
7 District.

8           6.     On information and belief, Defendant Guangdong Apaltek Liquid  
9 Cooling Technology Co., Ltd. (“GALCT”) is a corporation organized under the laws  
10 of China with a principal place of business at Factory: No.12, West 2nd Lane,  
11 Shenzhenzai Road, Qingxi Town, Dongguan City, People’s Republic of China. On  
12 information and belief, GALCT does business itself, or through its subsidiaries,  
13 affiliates, and agents, in the State of California and this District.

14           7.     On information and belief, Defendant SilverStone Technology Co., Ltd.  
15 (“SSTC”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Taiwan with a principal place  
16 of business at 12F, No. 168, Jiankang Road, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City,  
17 Taiwan 23585. On information and belief, SSTC does business itself, or through its  
18 subsidiaries, affiliates, and agents, in the State of California and this District.

19           8.     On information and belief, Defendant SilverStone Technology, Inc.  
20 (“SSTI”) is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California with a  
21 principal place of business at 13626 Monte Vista Ave., Unit A, Chino, CA 91710.  
22 On information and belief, SSTI does business in the State of California and this  
23 District.

24           9.     On information and belief, Defendant Enermax Technology Corp.  
25 (“ETC”) is a corporation organized under the laws of Taiwan with a principal place  
26 of business at 2F-1, No 888, Jingguo Rd., Taoyuan Dist., Taoyuan City 330, Taiwan.  
27 On information and belief, ETC does business itself, or through its subsidiaries,  
28 affiliates, and agents, in the State of California and this District.



**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**(Infringement of the '446 Patent)**

1  
2  
3 15. Plaintiff refers to the allegations of paragraph 1 through 14 above and  
4 incorporates them by reference as though fully set forth therein.

5 16. **Direct Infringement.** Defendants have been and continue to directly  
6 infringe one or more claims of the '446 patent in at least this District by making,  
7 using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing, without limitation, at least the  
8 products identified in the charts incorporated into this Claim for Relief (the  
9 "Exemplary '446 Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of  
10 the '446 patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Claim for Relief  
11 (the "Exemplary '446 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On  
12 information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '446  
13 patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendants  
14 and/or their customers.

15 17. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** At a minimum, the service of this  
16 Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited,  
17 establishes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged herein.

18 18. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendants continue to make, use, test,  
19 sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe  
20 the '446 patent. On information and belief, Defendants have also continued to sell  
21 the Exemplary '446 Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website  
22 materials inducing end users and others to use their products in the customary and  
23 intended manner that infringes the '446 Patent.

24 19. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served with this Complaint  
25 and corresponding claim charts, Defendants have actively, knowingly, and  
26 intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '446 patent, literally or by the  
27 doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary '446 Defendant Products to their  
28

1 customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims  
2 of the '446 patent.

3 20. Exhibit 2, incorporated herein by reference, includes charts comparing  
4 the Exemplary '446 Patent Claims to the Exemplary '446 Defendant Products. As set  
5 forth in these charts, the Exemplary '446 Defendant Products practice the technology  
6 claimed by the '446 patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary '446 Defendant Products  
7 incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '446 Patent Claims.

8 21. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in an amount according to proof  
9 and adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement.

10 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

11 **(Infringement of the '450 Patent)**

12 22. Plaintiff refers to the allegations of paragraph 1 through 14 above and  
13 incorporates them by reference as though fully set forth therein.

14 23. **Direct Infringement.** Defendants have been and continue to directly  
15 infringe one or more claims of the '450 patent in at least this District by making,  
16 using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing, without limitation, at least the  
17 products identified in the charts incorporated into this Claim for Relief (the  
18 "Exemplary '450 Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of  
19 the '450 patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Claim for Relief  
20 (the "Exemplary '450 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On  
21 information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '450  
22 patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendants  
23 and/or their customers.

24 24. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** At a minimum, the service of this  
25 Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited,  
26 establishes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged herein.

27 25. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendants continue to make, use, test,  
28 sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe





1 numerous other devices that infringe the claim of the '941 patent have been made,  
2 used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendants and/or their customers.

3 31. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** At a minimum, the service of this  
4 Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited,  
5 establishes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged herein.

6 32. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendants continue to make, use, test,  
7 sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe  
8 the '941 patent. On information and belief, Defendants have also continued to sell  
9 the Exemplary '941 Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website  
10 materials inducing end users and others to use their products in the customary and  
11 intended manner that infringes the '941 Patent.

12 33. **Induced Infringement.** At least since being served with this Complaint  
13 and corresponding claim charts, Defendants have actively, knowingly, and  
14 intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '941 patent, literally or by the  
15 doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary '941 Defendant Products to their  
16 customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes the single claim of  
17 the '941 patent.

18 34. Exhibit 6, incorporated by reference herein, includes charts comparing  
19 the single claim of the '941 patent (Figures 1 and 5) to the Exemplary '941  
20 Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary '941 Defendant  
21 Products include a LED controller with a design that an ordinary observer would find  
22 to be substantially the same as that depicted and claimed in the '941 patent.

23 35. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in an amount according to proof  
24 and adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement.

25 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

26 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc. respectfully requests judgment in its  
27 favor, and against Defendants, as follows:  
28



- 1           1.     A judgment that the Asserted Patents are valid and  
2 enforceable;
- 3           2.     A judgment that Defendants have infringed directly or  
4 indirectly one or more claims of each of the Asserted Patents;
- 5           3.     Awarding Plaintiff its damages suffered because of  
6 Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patents;
- 7           4.     Awarding Plaintiff its costs, reasonable attorneys' fees,  
8 expenses, and interest; and
- 9           5.     Granting Plaintiff such further relief as the Court finds  
10 appropriate.

11  
12 Dated: February 13, 2024

JEFFREY D. WEXLER  
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
  
DONALD R. MCPHAIL  
ERIC W. SCHWEIBENZ  
JOHN S. KERN  
ALEXANDER B. ENGLEHART  
OBLON, MCCLELLAND, MAIER  
& NEUSTADT, L.L.P.

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19           /s/ Jeffrey D. Wexler  
20 Jeffrey D. Wexler

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 38-1, Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: February 13, 2024

JEFFREY D. WEXLER  
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
DONALD R. MCPHAIL  
ERIC W. SCHWEIBENZ  
JOHN S. KERN  
ALEXANDER B. ENGLEHART  
OBLON, MCCLELLAND, MAIER  
& NEUSTADT, L.L.P.

/s/ Jeffrey D. Wexler  
Jeffrey D. Wexler  
Attorneys for Plaintiff CMI USA, Inc.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28