

1 Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)
RAMEY LLP
2 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77006
3 Telephone: (800) 993-7499
Fax: (832) 900-4941
4

5 Southern California Office:
811 Wilshire Blvd., 17th Floor
6 Los Angeles, California 90017

7 William P. Ramey, III (*pro hac vice anticipated*)
8 Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com
RAMEY LLP
9 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
10 Houston, Texas 77006
11 Telephone: (713) 426-3923
Fax: (832) 689-9175
12

Attorneys for Plaintiff
13 Flick Intelligence, LLC,
14

15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

17 FLICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC,
18 Plaintiff,
19 v.
20 EON REALITY, INC.
21 Defendant.
22

Case No.: 8:24-cv-00841

**PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

(35 U.S.C. § 271)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

24
25 **PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

26 Flick Intelligence LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Flick”) files this Original Complaint and
27 demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of
28

1 9,965,237 (“the ’237 patent) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by EON Reality, Inc.
2 (“Defendant” or “EON”).
3

4 **I. THE PARTIES**

5 1. Plaintiff is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of
6 business located in Harris County, Texas.
7

8 2. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized and existing
9 under the laws of the State of California, with a regular and established place of
10 business located at 18 Technology Drive, Suite 173, Irvine, CA, 92618. On
11 information and belief, Defendant sells and offers to sell products and services
12 throughout California, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and
13 services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce
14 knowing that they would be sold in California and this judicial district. Defendant can
15 be served with process through their registered agent, Mats Johansson, at 18
16 Technology Drive, Suite 173, Irvine, California 92618, at its place of business, or
17 anywhere else it may be found.
18
19
20

21 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**
22

23 3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action
24 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff’s claim arises under an
25 Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.
26
27
28

1 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is
2 present within or has minimum contacts within the State of California and this judicial
3 district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting
4 business in the State of California and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause
5 of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the
6 State of California and in this judicial district.
7

8
9 5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b).
10 Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place
11 of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts
12 substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at
13 least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or
14 soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving
15 substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California and
16 this District.
17
18
19

20 **III. Infringement of the '237 Patent**

21 6. On May 16, 2016, U.S. Patent No. 9,965,237 ("the '237 patent", included as
22 Exhibit A and part of this complaint) entitled "Methods, systems and processor-
23 readable media for bidirectional communications and data sharing," was duly and
24 legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Flick Intelligence, LLC, owns
25 the '237 patent by assignment.
26
27
28

1 7. The '237 patent relates to novel and improved methods, systems, and
2 processor-readable media for supporting bidirectional communications and data
3 sharing.
4

5 8. Defendant offers for sale, sells, manufactures, and modifies device(s) to use the
6 patented Methods, systems and processor-readable media, including but not limited
7 to, iPhone 14,3, Res: 2778x1284 DPI: 458 eDPI: 458 Win: 2778x1284 Size 15.4 x
8 7.1cm s:0.87 FrmTime: 158.83ms UT: 207.86ms RT:1.94ms Mem:2048 SysMem
9 5626 Version 9.7.260, iPhone 12 Pro Max, iPhone 12 Pro, iPhone 12, iPhone 12 mini,
10 iPhone 11 Pro Max, iPhone 11 Pro, iPhone 11, iPhone SE 2020, iPhone XR, iPhone
11 XS Max, iPhone XS, iPhone X, iPad Pro 12.9-inch 2020, iPad Pro 11-inch 2020, iPad
12 Pro 11-inch 2018, iPad Pro 12.9-inch 3rd generation, iPad Pro 10.5-inch, iPad Pro
13 12.9-inch second generation, iPad Pro 12.9-inch first generation, and iPad Pro 9.7-
14 inch and related systems that infringe one or more claims of the '237 patent, including
15 one or more of claims 1-16, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant
16 put the inventions claimed by the '237 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for
17 Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's
18 products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts
19 complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments to perform, and
20 Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary
2 exemplary table attached as Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are
3 preliminary and are therefore subject to change.
4

5 10. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively
6 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related
7 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g.,
8 developing AR application using Apple ARKit allowing a user to identify real objects
9 in the surroundings via a device, including but not limited to, iPhone 12 Pro Max,
10 iPhone 12 Pro, iPhone 12, iPhone 12 mini, iPhone 11 Pro Max, iPhone 11 Pro, iPhone
11 11, iPhone SE 2020, iPhone XR, iPhone XS Max, iPhone XS, iPhone X, iPad Pro
12 12.9-inch 2020, iPad Pro 11-inch 2020, iPad Pro 11-inch 2018, iPad Pro 12.9-inch
13 3rd generation, iPad Pro 10.5-inch, iPad Pro 12.9-inch second generation, iPad Pro
14 12.9-inch first generation, and iPad Pro 9.7-inch and related systems) and related
15 services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause
16 infringement of one or more of claims 1–16 of the '237 patent, literally or under the
17 doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '237 patent and the
18 technology underlying it from at least the date of the filing of the lawsuit.¹ For clarity,
19 direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 ¹ Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

1 11. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively
2 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related
3 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g.,
4 developing AR application using Apple ARKit allowing a user to identify real objects
5 in the surroundings via a device, including but not limited to, iPhone 12 Pro Max,
6 iPhone 12 Pro, iPhone 12, iPhone 12 mini, iPhone 11 Pro Max, iPhone 11 Pro, iPhone
7 iPhone 11, iPhone SE 2020, iPhone XR, iPhone XS Max, iPhone XS, iPhone X, iPad Pro
8 12.9-inch 2020, iPad Pro 11-inch 2020, iPad Pro 11-inch 2018, iPad Pro 12.9-inch
9 3rd generation, iPad Pro 10.5-inch, iPad Pro 12.9-inch second generation, iPad Pro
10 12.9-inch first generation, and iPad Pro 9.7-inch and related systems) and related
11 services that provide question and answer services across the Internet such as to cause
12 infringement of one or more of claims 1–16 of the '237 patent, literally or under the
13 doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '237 patent and the
14 technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.² For clarity, direct
15 infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

16
17
18
19
20
21 12. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct
22 and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the
23 '237 patent.

24 **IV. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

25
26
27
28 ² Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

1 13. Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has pled
2 all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. Further, all conditions
3 precedent to recovery are met.
4

5 **V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

6 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:
7

- 8 a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '237 patent;
- 9 b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for
10 Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-Suit in an amount no less than a
11 reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-
12 judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 13 c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and
14 an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- 15 d. declare this case to be "exceptional" under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff
16 its attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- 17 e. declare Defendant's infringement to be willful and treble the damages,
18 including attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an
19 increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 20 f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent
21 injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates,
22 divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from
23 infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future
24
25
26
27
28

1 infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that
2 for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid
3 patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement
4 will be willful as a matter of law; and
5

6
7 g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

8 Dated: April 16, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

9 RAMEY LLP

10

11

/s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra

12

Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)

13

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800

14

Houston, Texas 77006

15

Telephone: (800) 993-7499

16

Fax: (832) 900-4941

17

Southern California Office:

18

811 Wilshire Blvd., 17th Floor

19

Los Angeles, California 90017

20

/s/ William P. Ramey, III

21

William P. Ramey, III (*pro hac vice* anticipated)

22

Texas Bar No. 24027643

23

wramey@rameyfirm.com

24

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800

25

Houston, Texas 77006

26

Telephone: (713) 426-3923

27

Fax: (832) 689-9175

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FLICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

Dated: April 16, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

RAMEY LLP

/s/ Susan S.Q. Kalra
Susan S.Q. Kalra (CA State Bar No. 16740)
skalra@rameyfirm.com
5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77006
Telephone: (800) 993-7499
Fax: (832) 900-4941

Attorneys for Plaintiff
FLICK INTELLIGENCE, LLC