UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

GULAN LIAO,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 24-3297
v.)
THE INDIVIDUALS,) Presiding Judge:
CORPORATIONS, LIMITED) Magistrate Judge:
LIABILITY COMPANIES,)
PARTNERSHIPS, AND) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS)
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A TO)
THE COMPLAINT,)
)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Gulan Liao ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against defendants The Individuals, Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Partnerships, And Unincorporated Associations Identified on Schedule A To The Complaint ("Defendants"). In support thereof, Plaintiff states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a), 1331.
- 2. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendants in this Judicial District because, upon information and belief, Defendants regularly conduct, transact and/or solicit business in this Judicial District, and/or derive substantial revenue from business transactions in this Judicial District and/or otherwise avail themselves of the privileges and protections of the laws of the

State of Illinois such that this Court's assertion of jurisdiction over Defendants does not offend traditional notions of fair play and due process.

- 3. In addition, Defendants' illegal counterfeiting and infringement actions cause injury to Plaintiff in Illinois and in this Judicial District such that Defendants should reasonably expect such actions to have consequences in Illinois and this judicial District.
- 4. For example, Defendant Internet Stores accept orders of counterfeit products from, and offers to ship such products to, Illinois addresses located in this Judicial District.
- 5. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendants are systematically directing and/or targeting their business activities at consumers in the U.S., including those in Illinois, in this Judicial District, through accounts with online marketplace platforms such as Amazon, eBay, Wish, and Walmart, as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants, and persons in active concert or participation with any of the foregoing. Consumers in the U.S., including Illinois (and more particularly, in this Judicial District), can view the marketplace accounts that each Defendant operates, can communicate with Defendants regarding Defendants' listings for counterfeit products, can place orders for such counterfeit products, can purchase counterfeit products for delivery in the U.S., and can receive associated invoices.
- 6. Defendants have transacted business with consumers located in the U.S., including Illinois (and more particularly, in this Judicial District), for the sale and shipment of counterfeit products.

7. Venue is proper in this Judicial District because "a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to [Plaintiff's] claim[s] occurred" in this Judicial District. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

INTRODUCTION

- 8. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of a U.S. patent shown in **Exhibit 1** (the "Plaintiff patent") and licenses others rights under the Plaintiff's patent. Those licensees design, manufacture, sell, and distribute unique products with innovative patented designs. Plaintiff is also the owner by assignment of the U.S. copyright shown in **Exhibit 2** (the "Plaintiff Copyrights")
- 9. Defendants seek to capitalize upon Plaintiff's proprietary intellectual property rights and designs by offering for sale and selling unauthorized and unlicensed infringing toys (the "Infringing Products").
- 10. On information and belief, Defendants design their Internet stores to appear to be selling genuine versions of the patented products, while they are actually selling Infringing Products to unknowing consumers.
- 11. On information and belief, Defendants attempt to avoid liability by going to great lengths to conceal both their identity and the full scope and interworking of their operations. Such efforts include, *inter alia*, changing the names of their stores multiple times, opening new stores, helping others open stores, and making subtle changes to their Infringing Products.
- 12. Plaintiff is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiff's patent and copyright, as well as to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing the Infringing Products over the Internet.

- 13. Plaintiff has been, and continues to be, irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiff's patent and copyright. Plaintiff, therefore, seeks injunctive relief to halt such infringement and irreparable harm.
 - 14. Plaintiff also seeks monetary relief for the injury that it is sustaining.

THE PARTIES

- 15. Plaintiff is an individual residing overseas with a principal place of business located overseas.
- 16. Plaintiff's licensees market and sell high-quality, innovatively designed, products that embody the designs claimed in the Plaintiff's patent, (the "Patented Products").
- Defendants are individuals and business entities who reside in foreign jurisdictions. Defendants conduct business or assist in business activity conducted throughout the United States (including within the State of Illinois and this Judicial District) through the manufacturing, online advertising and offering for sale, and importation and distribution of the Infringing Products using counterfeit and infringing versions of Plaintiff's patent and copyright. Each Defendant has targeted the United States, including Illinois specifically, by selling or offering for sale, or knowingly assisting in the selling or offering for sale, Infringing Products to U.S. consumers, including consumers located in Illinois, via various online stores.

JOINDER OF DEFENDANTS

18. Defendants appear to be an interrelated group of counterfeiters and infringers, who create numerous Defendant Internet Stores and design these stores to appear to be selling genuine versions of the Patented Products, while they are actually selling inferior, unauthorized imitations of the Patented Products. The Defendant Internet Stores share unique identifiers, such as the following: common design elements, the same or similar counterfeit products that they offer for

sale, similar counterfeit product descriptions, the same or substantially similar shopping cart platforms, the same accepted payment methods, the same check-out methods, the same dearth of contact information, and identically or similarly priced counterfeit products and volume sales discounts. The foregoing similarities establish a logical relationship between them and suggest that Defendants' illegal operations arise out of the same series of transactions or occurrences. Tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their counterfeiting operations make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn the precise scope and the exact interworking of their counterfeit network. In the event that Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

19. Defendants manufacture, advertise, offer for sale, sell, import, and distribute the Infringing Products, as shown in **Exhibit 3**.

DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT ACTIVITIES

- 20. The commercial success of the Patented Products, has resulted in significant infringement. Consequently, Plaintiff has recently instituted a worldwide program to investigate suspicious online marketplace listings. In recent years, Plaintiff has identified hundreds of fully interactive, commercial Internet stores on various e-commerce platforms, which are offering for sale to consumers, in this Judicial District and throughout the United States, products that infringe Plaintiff's intellectual property rights.
- 21. E-commerce retail platforms such as those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities, allowing infringers to regularly use false names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce retail platforms.
- 22. Defendants facilitate sales of the Infringing Products by designing their on-line storefronts so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers, outlet

stores, or wholesalers. Defendants' online storefronts appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars via credit cards, Amazon Pay, Western Union, and/or PayPal.

- 23. On information and belief, Defendants operate multiple credit card merchant accounts and PayPal accounts behind layers of payment gateways so that they can continue operating in spite of enforcement efforts.
- 24. Defendants often include on their on-line storefronts content and images that make it very difficult for consumers to distinguish such stores from an authorized retailer.
- 25. Defendants further perpetuate the illusion of legitimacy by offering "live 24/7" customer service and using indicators of authenticity and security that consumers have come to associate with authorized retailers, including the McAfee® Security, VeriSign®, Visa®, MasterCard®, and PayPal® logos.
- 26. On information and belief, Defendants have engaged in fraudulent conduct when registering their on-line storefronts by providing false, misleading, and/or incomplete information to Amazon and potentially other on-line platforms.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendants have anonymously registered and maintained aliases to prevent discovery of their true identity and the scope of their e-commerce operation.
- 28. On information and belief, Defendants also often move website hosting to rogue servers located outside the United States once notice of a lawsuit is received. Rogue servers are notorious for ignoring take down demands sent by brand owners.
- 29. On information and belief, Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases for the purpose of offering for sale and selling infringing products on e-commerce platforms such as Amazon, Temu, DHgate, and Wish. On information and belief, such seller alias registration

patterns are one of many common tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identity and the full scope and interworking of their operation, and to avoid being shut down.

- 30. Infringers such as Defendants commonly operate under multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operating in spite of enforcement efforts. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious names, there are numerous similarities among the Defendant Internet Stores. For example, some of the Defendant Internet Stores have virtually identical layouts, even though different aliases were used to register the Defendant Internet Stores
- 31. Analysis of financial account transaction logs from previous similar cases indicates that off-shore infringers regularly move funds from U.S.-based financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 32. Here, on information and belief, Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts that are associated with the activity complained of herein to such off-shore accounts based outside of the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 33. On information and belief, Defendants undertake such activity in an attempt to avoid payment of any monetary judgment that they may be liable for due to their infringement of intellectual property rights.
- 34. Upon information and belief, prior to and contemporaneous with their counterfeiting and infringement actions alleged herein, Defendants had knowledge of the popularity and success of the Patented Products, and in bad faith proceeded to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Infringing Products.
- 35. Defendants have been engaging in the illegal counterfeiting and infringement actions alleged herein knowingly and intentionally, or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Plaintiff's patent rights, and otherwise in bad faith.

COUNT I <u>DESIGN PATENT INFRINGEMENT</u>

- 36. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 37. Defendants make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import into the United States products that infringe the Plaintiff patent.
- 38. In the eye of an ordinary observer, the design of Defendants' Infringing Products and the designs claimed in the Plaintiff patent are substantially the same. Said sameness deceives prospective purchasers and induces them to purchase Defendants' products supposing them to have come from Plaintiff.
- 39. Defendants' Infringing Products misappropriate the novelty of the designs claimed in the Plaintiff patent that distinguished Plaintiff's patented designs from the prior art.
- 40. Defendants sell, offer for sale, and/or import into the United States for subsequent sale or use products that infringe directly and/or indirectly the ornamental designs claimed in the Plaintiff patent.
- 41. Defendants have infringed the Plaintiff patent through the acts complained of herein and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 42. Defendants have had constructive notice of Plaintiff's rights in the Plaintiff patent because the Patented Products are marked with the patent number.
 - 43. Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiff patent has been willful.
- 44. Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiff patent has caused Plaintiff to suffer irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its lawful rights under U.S. patent law to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing the designs claimed in the Plaintiff's patent.

- 45. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.
- 46. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patents, including Defendants' profits pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289.
- 47. Plaintiff is entitled to recover any other damages as appropriate pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT II COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 48. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference each of the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 49. Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works constitute creative, original works of authorship, fixed in a tangible medium of expression, and protectable under U.S. copyright law. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 102.
- 50. Plaintiff is the owner of valid and enforceable copyrights Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works.
- 51. Plaintiff has complied with the registration requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 411(a) for Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works and has obtained valid copyright registrations for Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works.
 - 52. Defendants do not have any ownership interest in Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works.
 - 53. Defendants had access to the Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works via the internet.
- 54. Without authorization from Plaintiff, or any right under the law, Defendants have, *inter alia*, willfully copied, reproduced, publicly displayed, and distributed, products incorporating Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works, in connection with their operation of the Defendant Internet Stores.

- 55. Defendants' Counterfeit Products incorporate works that are virtually identical to and/or are substantially similar to Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works.
- 56. Defendants have, therefore, individually, as well as jointly and severally, infringed and continue to infringe Plaintiff's copyrights in Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501(a). *See also* 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1), (3), (5).
- 57. Defendants reap the benefits of their unauthorized reproduction, public display, and distribution, of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works through their receipt of substantial revenue, including substantial profit, driven by sales of their Counterfeit Products.
- 58. Defendants have unlawfully appropriated Plaintiff's protectable expression by taking material of substance and value and creating Counterfeit Products that include Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works.
- 59. Defendants' infringement has been willful, intentional, malicious, and purposeful, and in disregard of, and with indifference to, Plaintiff's rights.
- 60. Defendants, by their actions, have caused financial injury to Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 61. Defendants' conduct is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured monetarily. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for such injury.
- 62. In light of the foregoing, and as contemplated by 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiff seeks temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief prohibiting further infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights by Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants and entry of an Order directing as follows:

- (1) Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert or participation with them be permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - (a) making, using, importing, offering for sale, and selling any products not authorized by Plaintiff that include any reproduction, copy, or colorable imitation of the designs claimed in the Plaintiff patent and copyright;
 - (b) effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or utilizing any other device for the purpose of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth herein; and
 - (c) aiding, abetting, contributing to, or otherwise assisting anyone in infringing the Plaintiff patent and copyright.
- (2) Directing that Defendants deliver for destruction all products that include the designs claimed in the Plaintiff patent and copyright as well as all means for making such designs.
- (3) Entering an Order that all banks, savings and loan associations, other financial institutions, payment processors, on-line marketplaces, and other third-parties who are in active concert or participation with Defendants, shall, within two (2) business days of receipt of an Order entered by this Court:
 - (a) Locate all accounts connected to Defendants, including, but not limited to, any Amazon accounts;

- (b) Restrain and enjoin such accounts from transferring or disposing of any money or other of Defendants' assets; and
- (c) Transfer any funds restrained in such accounts to Plaintiff within ten (10) business days of receipt of such Order.
- (4) Entering an Order that, until Plaintiff has recovered full payment of monies owed to it by Defendants, in the event that any new financial accounts controlled or operated by Defendants are identified, Plaintiff shall have the ongoing authority to direct any banks, savings and loan associations, other financial institutions, payment processors, and on-line marketplaces, including, without limitation, Amazon and other platforms, with whom such newly identified accounts are maintained, to carry out the following activity:
 - (a) Locate all accounts connected to Defendants, including, but not limited to, any Amazon accounts;
 - (b) Restrain and enjoin such accounts from transferring or disposing of any money or other of Defendants' assets; and
 - (c) Transfer any funds restrained in such accounts to Plaintiff within ten (10) business days of receipt of this Order.
- (5) Awarding Plaintiff such damages as it may prove at trial that are adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement of the Plaintiff patent and copyright, and awarding Plaintiff all of the profits realized by Defendants, or others acting in concert or participation with Defendants, from Defendants' unauthorized use and infringement of the Plaintiff patent and copyright.
 - (6) Alternatively, awarding Plaintiff statutory damages for the copyright claim.
 - (7) Awarding Plaintiff all other damages that it may be entitled to under applicable law.

- (8) Awarding Plaintiff its costs in bringing this action.
- (9) Awarding Plaintiff any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable as of right to a jury. Fed. R. Civ.

P. 38(b).

Date: April 24, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Shengmao Mu</u> Shengmao (Sam) Mu, NY #5707021 **WHITEWOOD LAW PLLC** 57 West 57th Street, 3rd and 4th Floors New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (917) 858-8018

Email: smu@whitewoodlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff