- 1				
1	David M. Barkan (CA 160825 / barkan@fr.com)			
2	Jessica H. Wang (CA 351396 / jwang@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			
3	500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063			
4	Tel: (650) 839-5070 / Fax: (650) 839-5071			
5	Kevin K. Su (pro hac vice forthcoming su@fr.com)			
6	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. One Marina Park Drive			
7	Boston, MA 02210 Tel: (617) 542-5070 Fax: (617) 542-8906			
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
9	SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12		DIVISION		
13	SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.,	Case No.		
14		COMPLAINT FOR PATENT		
15	Plaintiff,	INFRINGEMENT		
16	V.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
17	VISIONOX TECHNOLOGY, INC.; VISIONOX			
18	AMERICA, INC.; AND NOTHING TECHNOLOGY LTD.,			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21	Plaintiff Semiconductor Energy Laborator	y Co., Ltd. ("SEL"), files this Complaint and		
22	demand for a jury trial seeking relief for patent infringement by Visionox Technology, Inc.,			
23	Visionox America, Inc., and Nothing Technology Ltd. (collectively "Defendants") of United			
24	States Patent Nos. 8,487,841 ("the '841 patent"), 9,236,404 ("the '404 patent"), and 11,430,896			
25	("the '896 patent") (collectively, "the Asserted Patents"). SEL states and alleges the following:			
26	NATURE OF ACTION			
27	1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United			
28	States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., specifically including 35 U.S.C. § 271.			

THE PARTIES

- 2. SEL is a Japan-based research and development company and has a regular and established place of business at 398 Hase, Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa, 243-0036, Japan.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Visionox Technology, Inc. ("Visionox Technology") is a China-based display company and has a regular and established place of business at 2/F, Huanyang Building, Building 7, 1 Shangdi East Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100085, China.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Visionox America, Inc. ("Visionox America") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California with its principal place of business at 102 Persian Drive, Suite 201, Sunnyvale, California 94089. Upon information and belief, Visionox America is a wholly owned subsidiary of Visionox Technology.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Nothing Technology Ltd. ("Nothing") is a United Kingdom-based consumer electronics company and has a regular and established place of business at 80 Cheapside, London, EC2V 6EE, United Kingdom.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants under the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution and the California Long Arm Statute, CCP § 410.10. Defendants are located in the Northern District of California and/or Defendants have purposely availed themselves of the privilege of conducting activities within this state and District, the claims arise out of or relate to those activities, and personal jurisdiction is reasonable and fair. On information and belief, each of the Defendants, directly or indirectly through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), makes, uses, ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises products in the United States, the State of California, and the Northern District of California. Each Defendant has purposefully and voluntarily sold, or induced or caused to be sold, one or more of its infringing products with the expectation that they will be purchased by consumers in the Northern District of California. On information and belief, these infringing products have been and continue to be purchased by consumers in the Northern District of

4

3

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

California. The Defendants have committed acts of patent infringement within the United States and, more particularly, within the Northern District of California.

- 8. In addition, this Court has general personal jurisdiction over Visionox America. Visionox America maintains continuous and systematic contacts with California and with this District, including existing as a corporation organized under the laws of California and maintaining its principal place of business within this District in Sunnyvale, California.
- 9. This Court also has specific personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they have established minimum contacts with California such that personal jurisdiction over Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. The Defendants are subject to this Court's jurisdiction due at least to their substantial business conducted in this forum, directly and/or indirectly through agents and intermediaries, including (i) having solicited business in the State of California and in this District, transacted business within the State of California and in this District, and attempted to derive financial benefit from residents of the State of California and this District; (ii) having placed their products and services into the stream of commerce throughout the United States and having been actively engaged in transacting business in California and in this District; and (iii), either alone or in conjunction with others, having committed acts of infringement within California and in this District. On information and belief, Defendants, directly and/or indirectly through intermediaries, have made, advertised (including through websites), offered to sell, sold, distributed, used, and/or imported into the United States infringing products, and/or have actively induced and/or contributed to the offer for sale, sale, use, and/or importation in the United State of infringing products, within California and within this District. The Defendants have, directly and/or indirectly, through their distribution network, purposefully and voluntarily placed such products in the stream of commerce knowing and expecting them to be purchased and used by consumers in California and in this District. The Defendants have committed direct infringement in California and/or committed indirect infringement based on acts of direct infringement in California.
- 10. On information and belief, Visionox Technology and Visionox America (collectively, "Visionox") researched, developed, made, used, offered for sale, and/or sold

AMOLED displays, including the infringing displays, in California. For example, Visionox
maintains at least one location in California at which Visionox has conducted and continues to
conduct research and development ("R&D") on AMOLED technology. 1 See Yiming Xiao
LinkedIn Profile 3/25/2024, Ex. 1 (describing Yiming Xiao as a Director of R&D at Visionox
Technology, working on AMOLED R&D in Milpitas, California); Visionox Technology Website
Homepage, Ex. 2 (showing Visionox America's 102 Persian Drive, Sunnyvale location as
"Visionox (America Branch)" on Visionox Technology's website). Visionox purposefully
directed such activities at residents of California and this suit arises from the manufacture, use,
offer sale, sale, and/or importation into the U.S. of those infringing displays.

Technology, subjecting Visionox Technology to personal jurisdiction in this District. For example, Visionox Technology publicly represents that Visionox America is a wholly owned subsidiary of Visionox Technology at least as of 2023. *See, e.g.*, https://vip.stock.finance.sina.com.cn/corp/view/vCB_AllBulletinDetail.php?CompanyCode=8014 https://oing.com/oing-view/vCB_AllBulletinDetail.php?CompanyCode=8014 https://oing.com/oing-view/vCB_AllBulletinDetail.php?companyCode=8014 https://oing.com/oing.c

On information and belief, Visionox America is an agent or alter ego of Visionox

12. Furthermore, on information and belief, all officers and directors of Visionox America have roles within Visionox Technology or immediately took on a role at Visionox America following a role at Visionox Technology. First, Yubin Yang is the CEO and sole director of Visionox America while serving as the Vice President of Visionox Technology. *See* 12/17/2023 Visionox America CA Statement of Information ("2023 SOI"), Ex. 3 at 1 (reporting Yubin Yang as Visionox America's CEO and only director);

11.

¹ R&D for AMOLED occurred in Sunnyvale and/or Milpitas. Visionox Technology describes Visionox America's Sunnyvale location as its own "America Branch," suggesting that the R&D led by Visionox Technology's Director of R&D, Yiming Xiao, who is based in California, occurred and continues to occur in Sunnyvale. *See* Visionox Technology Website Homepage, Ex. 2; Yiming Xiao LinkedIn Profile 3/25/24, Ex. 1. However, Yiming Xiao described his R&D work as being based in Milpitas, suggesting that there is a place of business in Milpitas at which Visionox Technology conducted and continues to conduct its R&D. *See* Yiming Xiao LinkedIn Profile 3/25/24, Ex. 1.

Case 5:24-cv-02729-NC Document 1 Filed 05/07/24 Page 5 of 19

https://www.visionox.com/en/contents/191/460.html (describing Yubin Yang as "Vice President				
of Visionox" on Visionox Technology's website). Second, Yiming Xiao is both Visionox				
Technology's Director of R&D and Visionox America's CFO and General Manager. See 2023				
SOI, Ex. 3 at 1 (reporting Yiming Xiao as CFO of Visionox America); Yiming Xiao 3/25/24				
LinkedIn Profile, Ex. 1 (listing Yiming Xiao's roles as Director at Visionox Technology and				
General Manager of Visionox America). Third, Chenwei Zhang is Visionox America's Secretary,				
agent for service of process, and Marketing Manager while, or immediately after, acting as				
Visionox Technology's Public Relations Manager. See 2023 SOI, Ex. 3 at 1 (reporting Chenwei				
Zhang as Visionox America's Secretary and agent for service of process); Chenwei Zhang 1/11/24				
LinkedIn Profile, Ex. 4 (listing Chenwei Zhang's current role as working in the United States as a				
"Public Relations Manager" for Visionox Technology); Chenwei Zhang 3/25/24 LinkedIn Profile,				
Ex. 5 (listing Chenwei Zhang as leaving her role as Public Relations Manager for Visionox				
Technology in June 2023 and immediately joining Visionox America as its Marketing Manager).				
On information and helief Visionox America and Visionox Technology have not				

- 13. On information and belief, Visionox America and Visionox Technology have not been, and continue to not be, transacting at arms-length because, for example, of this significant overlap in leadership personnel and/or immediate transfer of talent from Visionox Technology to Visionox America. As a further example, Yiming Xiao described his work in the United States as "expand[ing] the global presence and reputation" of Visionox Technology. Yiming Xiao 1/11/24 LinkedIn Profile, Ex. 6.
- 14. As a further example, Nothing has placed and continues to place infringing cell phone displays into the stream of commerce via an established distribution channel with the knowledge and/or intent that those products were sold and continue to be sold in the United States and California, including in this District. Nothing incorporates Visionox Technology's infringing displays into its Phone (2) smartphone that it markets and has sold to customers in California and within this District. *See* https://bgr.com/tech/theres-nothing-wrong-with-nothing-phone-2s-boring-specs/ (stating that "[w]e're looking at a 6.7-inch AMOLED screen from Visionox" for the Nothing Phone (2)); https://gizmodo.com/everything-we-know-about-the-nothing-phone-2-1850607439 (stating that the Phone (2) "will feature a 6.72-inch Visionox AMOLED screen");

Case 5:24-cv-02729-NC Document 1 Filed 05/07/24 Page 6 of 19

1	Nothing Community User Discussion, Ex. 7 (describing a Californian customer using the Nothing		
2	Phone (2) in California). Nothing markets its phones directly to customers through its website and		
3	through its "Nothing Store" on Amazon.com. See https://us.nothing.tech/pages/phone-2		
4	(marketing and selling the Phone (2) on Nothing's website);		
5	https://www.amazon.com/stores/page/C92FBBC6-168B-4042-B2C3-		
6	2881897A1136?ingress=2&visitId=fe0b02e9-0493-4ad7-b553-e7157baf6359&ref_=ast_bln		
7	(marketing and selling the Phone (2) on Nothing's Amazon storefront);		
8	https://www.amazon.com/Nothing-Smartphone-Unlocked-Android-		
9	Snapdragon/dp/B0BN1S4JBP?ref_=ast_sto_dp&th=1 (marketing and selling the Phone (2) on		
10	Nothing's Amazon product page for the Phone (2)). Additionally, Nothing is specifically		
11	registered to conduct business in California. See Nothing CA Statement and Designation by		
12	Foreign Corporation, Ex. 8. Nothing also has a "US (California) Addendum" for its website's		
13	Privacy Policy that is specifically directed towards California residents who: use Nothing's		
14	products (including the Phone (2)), website, or apps; contact Nothing's customer service; request		
15	information from Nothing; direct third parties to share data with Nothing; or communicate with		
16	Nothing via social media or otherwise. Nothing CA Privacy Policy Addendum, Ex. 9.		
17	15. Additionally, Visionox Technology has placed and continues to place infringing		
18	cell phone displays into the stream of commerce via an established distribution channel with the		
19	knowledge and/or intent that those products were sold and continue to be sold in the United States		
20	and California, including in this District. Visionox Technology supplies infringing displays to		
21	customers who target the U.S. market and California, including Nothing. On information and		
22	belief, Visionox Technology induces Nothing to include its infringing displays into phones sold by		
23	Nothing with the knowledge that these phones will be sold in the U.S. and California.		
24	16. On information and belief, Visionox Technology actively displayed, advertised,		
25	offered for sale, and/or sold in California its infringing displays at the Society for Information		
26	Display ("SID") Display Week. SID Display Week is a convention held in California (2020 and		
27	2021 were remote), and Visionox Technology has actively participated in Display Week every		
28	year since at least 2018 to, among other things, show its AMOLED display technology. See, e.g.,		

1	https://www.accesswire.com/500618/continuing-to-be-in-display-technology-forefront-visionox-		
2	has-made-a-splendid-demonstration-at-the-sid-2018-display-week (describing Visionox		
3	Technology's participation in California in 2018);		
4	https://www.visionox.com/en/contents/191/426.html (describing Visionox Technology's		
5	participation in California in 2019); https://www.visionox.com/en/contents/190/397.html		
6	(describing Visionox Technology's participation in 2022); https://www.displayweek.org/2022		
7	(stating that Display Week 2022 took place in California);		
8	https://www.visionox.com/en/contents/191/460.html (describing Visionox Technology's		
9	participation in California in 2023). Because of Visionox Technology's regular and active		
10	participation in California's SID Display week, Visionox Technology has placed and continues to		
11	place infringing cell phone displays into the stream of commerce knowing that many of its		
12	products will end up in California.		
13	17. Thus, on information and belief, Defendants' presence and activities in this		
14	District, including patent infringement as described below, give rise to the claims set forth herein.		
15	<u>VENUE</u>		
16	18. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400 because		
17	the Defendants reside in this District by maintaining a principal place of business here and/or do		
18	not reside in the United States and thus may be sued in any judicial district. On information and		
19	belief, Visionox America's office in Sunnyvale is its headquarters. Visionox America conducts		
20	activities within this District related to research and development of the infringing displays and/or		
21	related to the promotion and marketing of the infringing displays and/or phones containing such		
22	displays to U.S. customers. On information and belief, Visionox America facilitates Visionox		
23	Technology's U.S. activities from this District. In addition, venue is proper for Nothing and		
24	Visionox Technology because they are not residents of the U.S., so they may be sued in any		
25	judicial district. Therefore, venue is proper in this Court.		
26	DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT		

property rights case and thus falls under the district-wide system of assignment.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT Case No.

Under Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and General Order No. 44, this case is an intellectual

27

28

19.

JOINDER

20. This Court has jurisdiction over this action against the Defendants because the subject matter of the action satisfies the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 299(a) in that (1) it arises, at least in part, out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences relating to the making, using, importing into the United States, offering for sale, and/or selling of the same products accused of infringing the patents asserted in this action, and (2) questions of fact common to the Defendants will arise in the action.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

SEL's Patented Technology

- 21. The Asserted Patents relate to display and semiconductor devices used in various consumer products.
- 22. The '841 patent relates to technology for the configuration of a semiconductor device having a transistor. The patent also relates to the configuration of an active matrix light emitting device including a semiconductor device having a thin film transistor ("TFT") fabricated on an insulator, such as glass or plastic. In addition, the patent relates to an electronic apparatus using such a light emitting device. The patented invention improves the image quality of displays by reducing brightness irregularities among pixels.
- 23. The '404 patent relates to an active matrix display device with a high aperture ratio or a semiconductor device in which elements are arranged in a matrix and the area of an element is large. The patented invention makes it possible to increase the aperture ratio of a display device without increasing the number of manufacturing steps.
- 24. The '896 patent relates to a highly reliable semiconductor device capable of high-speed operation. The patented invention also provides a semiconductor device including a transistor in which variation in electric characteristics due to a short channel effect is less likely. Further, the patent relates to a semiconductor device in which contact resistances between a source region and a source electrode and between a drain region and a drain electrode are reduced and on-state currents are improved by making the source region and the drain region each have resistance lower than that of a channel.

Defendants' Use of SEL's Innovations

25. Visionox's infringing display panels are a primary component in the Phone (2)				
smart phones sold by Nothing. ² Upon information and belief, Visionox sells its displays,				
including AMOLED displays, to Nothing, who installs the displays into its Phone (2) smartphones				
and then imports them into the U.S. for sale to U.S. customers. See https://bgr.com/tech/theres-				
nothing-wrong-with-nothing-phone-2s-boring-specs/ (stating that "[w]e're looking at a 6.7-inch				
AMOLED screen from Visionox" for the Nothing Phone (2)); https://gizmodo.com/everything-				
we-know-about-the-nothing-phone-2-1850607439 (stating that the Phone (2) "will feature a 6.72-				
inch Visionox AMOLED screen"); https://us.nothing.tech/pages/phone-2 (marketing and selling				
the Phone (2) to U.S. consumers on Nothing's website);				
https://www.amazon.com/stores/page/C92FBBC6-168B-4042-B2C3-				
2881897A1136?ingress=2&visitId=fe0b02e9-0493-4ad7-b553-e7157baf6359&ref_=ast_bln				
(marketing and selling the Phone (2) to U.S. consumers on Nothing's Amazon storefront);				
https://www.amazon.com/Nothing-Smartphone-Unlocked-Android-				
<u>Snapdragon/dp/B0BN1S4JBP?ref_=ast_sto_dp&th=1</u> (marketing and selling the Phone (2) to U.S				
consumers on Nothing's Amazon product page for the Phone (2)).				

- 26. Display technology that improves quality, performance, or cost in a smart phone is critical for both consumers of smart phones and companies who develop and market them. On information and belief, display capability and performance has been a driver of sales for the smart phone industry generally for many years.
- 27. For example, Nothing's advertising and marketing of its displays are a key part of its sales strategy for the Phone (2), evident by Nothing's website page for the Phone (2). *See* https://us.nothing.tech/pages/phone-2. The page first highlights the Phone (2)'s "6.7[] [inch] flexible LTPO AMOLED display" as a key feature. *Id.* Nothing then emphasizes its [e]nergy efficient display" and how ""[b]eauty lasts." *Id.* Nothing's page for the Phone (2) continues to

² Visionox's infringing displays, including but not limited to those supplied for the Nothing Phone (2), along with the phones themselves, are collectively referred to herein as the "Accused Products."

focus on the display with statements like "[n]ow bigger and brighter," "[b]rilliant," and "[a] killer display that doesn't murder battery life." *Id.* Thus, the Phone (2)'s display is a prominent feature of the Phone (2). *See id.*

28. SEL's patented technology, used by Defendants, improves the performance and capability of displays, allowing for an improved experience by end-users. SEL's technology also results in higher yields, reducing costs to phone providers and consumers.

Licensing Negotiations and Notice of the Asserted Patents

- 29. Defendants have knowingly used, and are using, SEL's patented technology without a license. Defendants' infringement of SEL's patented technology is willful, as described further below.
- 30. To protect its intellectual property rights and to try to collaborate with Visionox Technology, SEL engaged in extensive negotiations with Visionox Technology regarding Visionox Technology's products and SEL's semiconductor technology and patent portfolio since at least February 17, 2022. SEL and Visionox Technology met several more times throughout 2022 to 2024 and even met as recently as April 26, 2024. On each occasion, SEL met in good faith to attempt to reach a resolution, providing detailed technical analyses, potential business solutions, and other offers to reach a solution. However, despite these efforts, Visionox Technology has refused to license SEL's patents on reasonable terms.
- 31. On March 15, 2024, SEL mailed a letter to Visionox, addressed to Visionox Technology's CEO, Deqiang Zhang. SEL also e-mailed a copy of this letter to SEL's point of contact at Visionox, Chris He. This letter again invited a discussion of Visionox's technology and SEL's patent portfolio, provided specific notice of each of the Asserted Patents, and identified the Asserted Patents' relevance to the Accused Products. Visionox Technology confirmed receipt of the letter on March 18, 2024.
- 32. On March 15, 2024, SEL mailed a letter to Nothing providing specific notice of each of the Asserted Patents and identifying the Asserted Patents' relevance to the Accused Products. SEL has not received a response from Nothing regarding this letter.

33.

3

4

5

6

8

7

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

As a result of Defendants' disregard for SEL's patent rights, Defendants' infringement has been, and continues to be, done willfully and with notice of the Asserted Patents.

COUNT I

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,487,841)

- 34. SEL incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of its Complaint.
- 35. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and properly issued the '841 patent, entitled "Semiconductor device and driving method thereof." SEL is the assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and to the '841 patent and possesses the exclusive right of recovery for past, present, and future infringement. Each and every claim of the '841 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '841 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 10.
- 36. On information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a). A chart providing exemplary evidence of infringement of the '841 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 11.
- 37. Since at least receipt of SEL's March 15, 2024 letters informing Defendants that the Accused Products infringe the '841 patent, Defendants have been willfully making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 38. Visionox has also induced and continues to induce infringement of at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively and knowingly inducing, directing, causing, and encouraging others, including but not limited to Nothing, to infringe the '841 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 39. As asserted above, Nothing has directly infringed and is directly infringing at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent. And Visionox has, for example, actively and knowingly encouraged, educated, and instructed Nothing to use, offer to sell, sell, and/or import into the

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

United States the Accused Products via its Phone (2). Thus, Visionox has knowingly induced and continues to induce others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) to directly infringe the '841 patent. Furthermore, Visionox has acted and continues to act with the specific intent to encourage such infringement by others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) and while knowing that those induced acts constitute infringement of the '841 patent. On information and belief, Visionox's inducement includes, for example, providing marketing materials, operational instructions, manuals, technical specifications, demonstrations, training, and other forms of support and instructions that induce others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) to directly infringe the '841 patent.

- 40. Nothing has also induced and continues to induce infringement of at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively and knowingly inducing, directing, causing, and encouraging others, including but not limited to its consumers and/or end users, to infringe the '841 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 41. Nothing's consumers and/or end users have directly infringed and are directly infringing at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent. And Nothing has, for example, actively and knowingly encouraged, educated, and instructed its consumers and/or end users to use the Accused Products via Nothing's Phone (2). Thus, Nothing has knowingly induced and continues to induce others (including consumers and/or end users) to directly infringe the '841 patent. Furthermore, Nothing has acted and continues to act with the specific intent to encourage such infringement by others (including consumers and/or end users) and while knowing that those induced acts constitute infringement of the '841 patent. On information and belief, Nothing's inducement includes, for example, providing marketing materials, operational instructions, manuals, technical specifications, demonstrations, customer support, and other forms of support and instructions that induce others (including consumers and/or end users) to directly infringe the '841 patent.
- 42. Additionally, on information and belief, Visionox America has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent by

1	others (including Nothing) under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). On information and belief, Visionox
	America's contributory actions include, but are not limited to, offering for sale and/or selling
3	Accused Products to Nothing within the United States, knowing the Accused Products to be
4	especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of at least claims 1, 8, 15, and 22 of the '841 patent and at least a material part of those claims. The Accused Products, including
5	of the '841 patent and at least a material part of those claims. The Accused Products, including
5	the functionality contributing to infringement of the '841 patent, are not suitable for substantial
7	noninfringing use.

43. Defendants do not have a license or permission to use the '841 patent.

- 44. Defendants' behavior is an egregious case of willful misconduct. Defendants have known of the '841 patent and SEL's allegation that Defendants infringed the patent since at least its receipt of SEL's March 15, 2024 letters and by no later than the filing of this complaint. On information and belief, Defendants have no good faith defense to SEL's infringement allegations yet have deliberately and wantonly continued their infringement.
- 45. SEL has been irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '841 patent and will continue to be harmed unless and until Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.
- 46. By its actions, Defendants have injured SEL and are liable to SEL for infringement of the '841 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. SEL is entitled to damages as set forth in at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

COUNT II

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,236,404)

- 47. SEL incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of its Complaint.
- 48. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and properly issued the '404 patent, entitled "Display Device and Semiconductor Device." SEL is the assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and to the '404 patent and possesses the exclusive right of recovery for past, present, and future infringement. Each and every claim of the '404 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '404 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 12.

- 49. On information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a). A chart providing exemplary evidence of infringement of the '404 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 13.
- 50. Since at least receipt of SEL's March 15, 2024 letters informing Defendants that the Accused Products infringe the '404 patent, Defendants have been willfully making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 51. Visionox has also induced and continues to induce infringement of at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively and knowingly inducing, directing, causing, and encouraging others, including but not limited to Nothing, to infringe the '404 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 52. As asserted above, Nothing has directly infringed and is directly infringing at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent. And Visionox has, for example, actively and knowingly encouraged, educated, and instructed Nothing to use, offer to sell, sell, and/or import into the United States the Accused Products via its Phone (2). Thus, Visionox has knowingly induced and continues to induce others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) to directly infringe the '404 patent. Furthermore, Visionox has acted and continues to act with the specific intent to encourage such infringement by others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) and while knowing that those induced acts constitute infringement of the '404 patent. On information and belief, Visionox's inducement includes, for example, providing marketing materials, operational instructions, manuals, technical specifications, demonstrations, training, and other forms of support and instructions that induce others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) to directly infringe the '404 patent.
- 53. Nothing has also induced and continues to induce infringement of at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively and knowingly inducing, directing, causing, and encouraging others, including but not limited to its consumers and/or end

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

users, to infringe the '404 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.

- 54. Nothing's consumers and/or end users have directly infringed and are directly infringing at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent. And Nothing has, for example, actively and knowingly encouraged, educated, and instructed its consumers and/or end users to use the Accused Products via Nothing's Phone (2). Thus, Nothing has knowingly induced and continues to induce others (including consumers and/or end users) to directly infringe the '404 patent. Furthermore, Nothing has acted and continues to act with the specific intent to encourage such infringement by others (including consumers and/or end users) and while knowing that those induced acts constitute infringement of the '404 patent. On information and belief, Nothing's inducement includes, for example, providing marketing materials, operational instructions, manuals, technical specifications, demonstrations, customer support, and other forms of support and instructions that induce others (including consumers and/or end users) to directly infringe the '404 patent.
- 55. Additionally, on information and belief, Visionox America has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent by others (including Nothing) under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). On information and belief, Visionox America's contributory actions include, but are not limited to, offering for sale and/or selling Accused Products to Nothing within the United States, knowing the Accused Products to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of at least claims 1, 12, 23, and 34 of the '404 patent and at least a material part of those claims. The Accused Products, including the functionality contributing to infringement of the '404 patent, are not suitable for substantial noninfringing use.
 - 56. Defendants do not have a license or permission to use the '404 patent.
- 57. Defendants' behavior is an egregious case of willful misconduct. Defendants have known of the '404 patent and SEL's allegation that Defendants infringed the patent since at least its receipt of SEL's March 15, 2024 letters and by no later than the filing of this complaint. On

information and belief, Defendants have no good faith defense to SEL's infringement allegations yet have deliberately and wantonly continued their infringement.

- 58. SEL has been irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '404 patent and will continue to be harmed unless and until Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.
- 59. By its actions, Defendants have injured SEL and are liable to SEL for infringement of the '404 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. SEL is entitled to damages as set forth in at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

COUNT III

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 11,430,896)

- 60. SEL incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs of its Complaint.
- 61. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and properly issued the '896 patent, entitled "Semiconductor device and manufacturing method thereof." SEL is the assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and to the '896 patent and possesses the exclusive right of recovery for past, present, and future infringement. Each and every claim of the '896 patent is valid and enforceable. A true and correct copy of the '896 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 14.
- 62. On information and belief, Defendants have directly infringed at least claim 1 of the '896 patent, both literally and under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a). A chart providing exemplary evidence of infringement of the '896 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 15.
- 63. Since at least receipt of SEL's March 15, 2024 letters informing Defendants that the Accused Products infringe the '896 patent, Defendants have been willfully making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 64. Visionox has also induced and continues to induce infringement of at least claim 1 of the '896 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively and knowingly inducing, directing,

causing, and encouraging others, including but not limited to Nothing, to infringe the '896 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.

- 65. As asserted above, Nothing has directly infringed and is directly infringing at least claim 1 of the '896 patent. And Visionox has, for example, actively and knowingly encouraged, educated, and instructed Nothing to use, offer to sell, sell, and/or import into the United States the Accused Products via its Phone (2). Thus, Visionox has knowingly induced and continues to induce others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) to directly infringe the '896 patent. Furthermore, Visionox has acted and continues to act with the specific intent to encourage such infringement by others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) and while knowing that those induced acts constitute infringement of the '896 patent. On information and belief, Visionox's inducement includes, for example, providing marketing materials, operational instructions, manuals, technical specifications, demonstrations, training, and other forms of support and instructions that induce others (including Nothing, consumers, and/or end users) to directly infringe the '896 patent.
- 66. Nothing has also induced and continues to induce infringement of at least claim 1 of the '896 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by actively and knowingly inducing, directing, causing, and encouraging others, including but not limited to its consumers and/or end users, to infringe the '896 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products.
- 67. Nothing's consumers and/or end users have directly infringed and are directly infringing at least claim 1 of the '896 patent. And Nothing has, for example, actively and knowingly encouraged, educated, and instructed its consumers and/or end users to use the Accused Products via Nothing's Phone (2). Thus, Nothing has knowingly induced and continues to induce others (including consumers and/or end users) to directly infringe the '896 patent. Furthermore, Nothing has acted and continues to act with the specific intent to encourage such infringement by others (including consumers and/or end users) and while knowing that those induced acts constitute infringement of the '896 patent. On information and belief, Nothing's

inducement includes, for example, providing marketing materials, operational instructions, manuals, technical specifications, demonstrations, customer support, and other forms of support and instructions that induce others (including consumers and/or end users) to directly infringe the '896 patent.

- 68. Additionally, on information and belief, Visionox America has contributed and continues to contribute to the infringement of at least claim 1 of the '896 patent by others (including Nothing) under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). Visionox America's contributory actions include, but are not limited to, offering for sale and/or selling Accused Products to Nothing for importation into the United States, knowing the Accused Products to be especially made or especially adapted for use in an infringement of at least claim 1 of the '896 patent and at least a material part of those claims. The Accused Products, including the functionality contributing to infringement of the '896 patent, are not suitable for substantial noninfringing use.
 - 69. Defendants do not have a license or permission to use the '896 patent.
- 70. Defendants' behavior is an egregious case of willful misconduct. Defendants have known of the '896 patent and SEL's allegation that Defendants infringed the patent since at least its receipt of SEL's March 15, 2024 letters and by no later than the filing of this complaint. On information and belief, Defendants have no good faith defense to SEL's infringement allegations yet have deliberately and wantonly continued their infringement.
- 71. SEL has been irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement of the '896 patent and will continue to be harmed unless and until Defendants' infringement is enjoined by this Court.
- 72. By its actions, Defendants have injured SEL and are liable to SEL for infringement of the '896 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. SEL is entitled to damages as set forth in at least 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, SEL respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants:

a) Finding that Defendants directly infringe the Asserted Patents;

1	b)	Finding that Defendants induce infringement of the Asserted Patents;
2	c)	Finding that Visionox America, Inc. contributes to infringement of the Asserted
3	Patents;	
4	d)	Finding that Defendants have willfully infringed the Asserted Patents;
5	e)	Awarding damages adequate to compensate SEL for the patent infringement that
6	has occurred, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284, including an assessment of pre-judgment and	
7	post-judgment interest and costs, and an accounting as appropriate for infringing activity not	
8	captured within any applicable jury verdict and/or up to the judgment and an award by the Court	
9	of additional damages for any such acts of infringement;	
10	f)	Awarding SEL an ongoing royalty for Defendants' post-verdict infringement,
11	payable on each product offered by Defendants that is found to infringe one or more of the	
12	Asserted Patents, and on all future products that are not colorably different from those found to	
13	infringe, or in the alternative, permanently enjoining Defendants from further infringement;	
14	g)	Awarding all other damages permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 284, including increased
15	damages up to three times the amount of compensatory damages found;	
16	h)	Finding that this is an exceptional case and awarding to SEL its costs, expenses,
17	and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285; and	
18	i)	Providing such other relief, including other monetary and equitable relief, as this
19	Court deems	just and proper.
20	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
21	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, SEL demands a trial by	
22	jury on all issues on which trial by jury is available under applicable law.	
23	Dated: May	7, 2024 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
24		\mathbf{p}_{-} // \mathbf{p}_{-} : $\mathbf{I}\mathbf{M}$ \mathbf{p}_{-} \mathbf{I}
25		By: /s/ David M. Barkan David M. Barkan
26		Attorneys for Plaintiff
27		SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY LABORATORY CO., LTD.
28		CO., LID.