UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

SHENZHEN ZHITONG TECHNOLOGY)
CO., LTD.,))
Plaintiff,	Case No.: 1:24-cv-05030
v.))
THE PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE "A",	
Defendants.))

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Shenzhen Zhitong Technology Co., Ltd. ("Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned attorney, hereby brings this design patent infringement action against the Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations identified in Schedule A attached hereto (collectively, "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. This action has been filed by Plaintiff in an attempt to combat e-commerce store operators who are making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing into the U.S. for subsequent sale or use the same unauthorized and unlicensed products that infringe upon Plaintiff's federally registered design patents (the "Infringing Products"). Defendants attempt to circumvent and mitigate liability by operating under one or more seller aliases (the "Seller Aliases") to conceal their identities and the full scope and interworking of their infringing activities. Defendants' e-commerce stores¹ operating under the Seller Aliases share unique identifiers, such as similar product images and

¹ The e-commerce store urls are listed on Schedule A.

specifications, establishing a logical relationship between them, suggesting that Defendants' operation arises out of the same transaction, occurrence, or a series of transactions or occurrences.

2. Plaintiff has lost the control over its design patents and the right to exclude others from making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing into the U.S. products utilizing the design patents as a result of Defendants' infringement. Plaintiff is the sole rightful assignee of the design patents and used to sell genuine products through its e-commerce stores. However, due to unfair competition and price erosion caused by Defendants' infringement, Plaintiff has incurred great loss and had to stop selling genuine products. Because of substantial monetary loss, Plaintiff was almost left out without means to go after the infringers. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably damaged by the infringement and seeks injunctive and monetary relief. In support of its claims, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq., 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 4. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, because they direct business activities toward and conduct business with consumers throughout the United States, including this district through, at least, the Internet based e-commerce stores and fully interactive Internet websites accessible in this district and operating under their Seller Aliases. Alternatively, Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2), because (i) Defendants are not subject to jurisdiction in any state's court of general jurisdiction; and (ii) exercising jurisdiction is consistent with the United States Constitution and laws.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391 since Defendants are, upon information and belief, aliens who are engaged in infringing activities and causing harm within this district by making, using, offering for sale, selling, or importing Infringing Products into the U.S.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 6. Plaintiff is a Chinese corporation and the sole lawful right owner of all right, title, and interest in and to four U.S. Design Patents ("Patented Designs"). Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the United States Patents for the Patented Designs.
 - 7. The Patented Designs are broadly recognized by consumers.
- 8. Plaintiff has not granted license to any Defendant or permitted any Defendant to use the Patented Designs.

Defendants

- 9. On information and belief, Defendants are individuals and business entities who own and operate one or more of the e-commerce stores under at least the Seller Aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other seller aliases not yet known to Plaintiff.
- 10. On information and belief, Defendants reside and/or operate in the Peoples Republic of China or other foreign jurisdictions with lax intellectual property enforcement systems or redistribute products from the same or similar sources in those locations. Defendants have the capacity to be sued pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(b)(1).
- 11. On information and belief, Defendants, either individually or jointly, operate one or more e-commerce stores under the Seller Aliases listed in Schedule A attached hereto. Tactics are used by Defendants to conceal their identities and the full scope of their operation to make it virtually impossible for Plaintiff to learn Defendants' true identities and the exact interworking of their network. If Defendants provide additional credible information regarding their identities, Plaintiff will take the appropriate steps to amend the Complaint.

DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

- 12. The popularity of the Patented Designs has resulted in significant infringement. Recently Plaintiff has identified numerous fully interactive e-commerce stores, including those operating under the Seller Aliases, which were/are offering for sale and are selling Infringing Products on online marketplace platforms such as Amazon. The Seller Aliases target consumers in the U.S. and this Judicial District. According to a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Report, in 2021, CBP made over 27,000 seizures of goods with intellectual property rights violating totaling over \$3.3 billion, an increase of \$2.0 billion from 2020. *Intellectual Property Rights Seizure Statistics, Fiscal Year 2021*, U.S. Customs and Border Protection **Exhibit 2**. Of the 27,000 in total, seizures, over 24,000 came through international mail and express shipments (as opposed to large shipping containers). Most of which originated from mainland China and Hong Kong. *Id*.
- Third-party service providers, such as, for example, Amazon, eBay, PayPal, etc., like those used by Defendants do not adequately subject new sellers to verification and confirmation of their identities allowing those misappropriating lawful intellectual property to "routinely use false or inaccurate names and addresses when registering with these e-commerce platforms." Exhibit 3, Daniel C.K. Chow, Alibaba, Amazon, and Counterfeiting in the Age of the Internet, 40 Nw. J. INT'L L. & Bus. 157, 186 (2020); see also report on "Combating Trafficking in Counterfeit and Pirated Goods" prepared by the U. S. Department of Homeland Security's Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans, attached as Exhibit 4, and finding that on "at least some e-commerce platforms, little identifying information is necessary for counterfeiters to begin selling" and recommending that "[s]ignificant enhanced vetting of third-party sellers" is necessary. Those misappropriating intellectual property hedge against the risk of being caught and having their websites/advertisements taken down from an e-commerce platform by preemptively establishing multiple storefronts. Exhibit 4 at p. 22. Since platforms generally do not require a seller on a third-party marketplace to identify the underlying business entity, those

misappropriating intellectual property can have many different profiles that can appear unrelated even though they are commonly owned and operated. Exhibit 4 at p.39.

- 14. Defendants concurrently employ and benefit from substantially similar advertising and marketing strategies. For example, Defendants facilitate sales by designing the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases so that they appear to unknowing consumers to be authorized online retailers. E-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases appear sophisticated and accept payment in U.S. dollars via credit cards, Alipay, Amazon Pay, and/or PayPal and others.
- 15. On information and belief, Defendants have engaged in fraudulent conduct when registering the Seller Aliases by providing false, misleading, and/or incomplete information to Internet-based e-commerce platforms. On information and belief, certain Defendants have anonymously registered and maintained Seller Aliases to prevent discovery of their true identities and the scope of their e-commerce operation.
- 16. On information and belief, Defendants regularly register or acquire new seller aliases to sell Infringing Products. Such seller alias registration patterns are one of many common tactics used by Defendants to conceal their identities and interworking of their operation and to avoid being shut down.
- 17. Even though Defendants operate under multiple fictitious aliases, the e-commerce stores operating under the Seller Aliases often share unique identifiers, such as templates with common design elements that intentionally omit any contact information or other information for identifying Defendants or other seller aliases they operate or use.
- 18. On information and belief, Defendants are in constant communication with each other and regularly participate in WeChat and through websites such as sellerdefense.cn, kaidianyo.com, and kuajingvs.com regarding tactics for operating multiple accounts, evading detection, pending litigation, and potential new lawsuits.

- 19. Defendants typically operate under multiple seller aliases and payment accounts so that they can continue operation despite Plaintiff's enforcement efforts. On information and belief, Defendants maintain off-shore bank accounts and regularly move funds from their financial accounts to off-shore accounts outside the jurisdiction of this Court to avoid payment of any monetary judgment awarded to Plaintiff.
- 20. On information and belief, Defendants are an interrelated group of e-commerce sellers misappropriating Plaintiff's valuable intellectual property and working in active concert to offer for sale and sell Infringing Products in the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences. Defendants, without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, have jointly and severally, knowingly, and willfully infringed the Patented Designs.
- 21. Defendants' infringing activities have caused Plaintiff irreparable harms including but not limited to loss of business opportunities, loss of future sales, loss of the right to exclude others from benefiting from the Patented Designs, and the hardship in bringing this action.

COUNT I Patent Infringement of United States Design Patents (35 U.S.C. § 271)

- 22. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
 - 23. Plaintiff is the sole lawful right owner of four valid and enforceable U.S. design patents.
- 24. Defendants are making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into United States for subsequent sale Infringing Products that infringe directly and/or indirectly the Patented Designs.
- 25. Defendants have infringed the Patented Designs through the aforesaid acts and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court. Defendants' wrongful conduct has caused Plaintiff

irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its patent rights to exclude others from benefiting from the Patented Designs. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §283.

26. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, including defendants' profits pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §289. Plaintiff is entitled to recover any other compensatory damages as appropriate pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1) That Defendants, their affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting for, with, by, through, under, or in active concert with them be temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - a. making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into United States for subsequent sale or use Infringing Products;
 - b. aiding, abetting, contributing to, or otherwise assisting anyone in making, using, offering for sale, selling, and/or importing into United States for subsequent sale or use Infringing Products; and
 - c. effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or utilizing any other device for the purpose of circumventing or other avoiding the prohibitions set forth in Subparagraphs (a) and (b).
- 2) Entry of an Order that, upon Plaintiff's request, those with notice of the injunction, including, without limitation, any online marketplace platforms such as, but not limited to Amazon (collectively, the "Third Party Providers") shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of the Infringing Products;
- 3) That Plaintiff be awarded such damages as it shall prove at trial against Defendants

Case: 1:24-cv-05030 Document #: 1 Filed: 07/02/24 Page 8 of 8 PageID #:8

that are adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants' infringement of the Patented

Designs, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs,

pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

4) That the amount of damages awarded to Plaintiff to compensate Plaintiff for

infringement of the Patented Designs be increased by three times the amount thereof,

as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

5) Alternatively, that Plaintiff be awarded all profits realized by Defendants from

Defendants' infringement of the Patented Designs, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 289;

6) Plaintiff is further entitled to recover its attorneys' fees and full costs for bringing this

action; and

7) Award any and all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: July 2, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Sydney Xue

Sydney Ni Xue

KEMET LAW GROUP, LLC

1825 NW Corporate Blvd., Ste. 110

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Telephone: (561) 870-0605

Email: Sydney.xue@omegalaw.us

Counsel for Plaintiff Shenzhen Zhitong Technology

Co., Ltd.