

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION**

MOBILITY WORKX, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

NOKIA CORPORATION,
NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND
NETWORKS OY, AND NOKIA
OF AMERICA CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:24-cv-00797

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Mobility Workx, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Mobility Workx”) files this complaint against Defendants Nokia Corporation, Nokia Solutions and Networks Oy, and Nokia of America Corporation (collectively, “Nokia” or “Defendant”) for infringement of U.S. Patents Nos. 7,697,508 (the “’508 Patent”) and 8,213,417 (the “’417 Patent”) (collectively the “Patents in Suit”).

I. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Mobility Workx LLC is a Florida limited liability company that maintains its principal place of business at 215 Circle Drive, Winters, TX 79567.

2. Mobility Workx is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,697,508, entitled

“System, Apparatus, and Methods for Proactive Allocation of Wireless Communication Resources,” issued April 13, 2010.

3. Mobility Workx is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,417, entitled “System, Apparatus, and Methods for Proactive Allocation of Wireless Communication Resources,” issued July 3, 2012.

4. Upon information and belief, both Defendant Nokia Corporation and Defendant Nokia Solutions and Networks Oy are Finnish corporations that have their principal places of business at Karaportti 3, 02610 Espoo, Finland. Upon information and belief, Nokia Solutions and Networks Oy is a wholly owned subsidiary of Nokia Corporation.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Nokia of America Corporation is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters at 3201 Olympus Blvd, Dallas, Texas 75019. Upon information and belief, Nokia of America Corporation is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant Nokia Corporation and Defendant Nokia Solutions and Networks Oy.

6. Upon information and belief, defendants act as a single Nokia company, including through the website www.nokia.com where Nokia offers for sale and sells the accused products and services.

7. Upon information and belief, Nokia makes, uses, offers to sell, sells or imports accused products and services in the Eastern District of Texas, and has at

least the following regular and established places of business in this District: 2525 Highway 121, Lewisville, Texas 75056; 1900 Lakeway Dr., Lewisville, Texas 75057; 1221 Coit Rd., Plano, Texas 75075; and, 601 Data Dr., Plano, Texas 75075-7839.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. Mobility Workx's patent infringement claims arise under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is a resident of this District, conducts substantial business throughout Texas, including in this District, and derives substantial revenue from products and/or services it makes, uses, offers to sell, sells or imports in Texas and this District that infringe the Patents in Suit.

10. Venue is proper in this District and division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400, because Defendant has both committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District.

III. COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '508 PATENT

11. Mobility Workx hereby restates, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully stated herein.

Mobility Workx further alleges as follows:

12. Mobility Workx is the owner by assignment of all rights, title, and interest in the ‘508 Patent. A copy of the ‘508 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The ‘508 Patent is presumed valid and enforceable.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, or imports certain products and services (“Accused Handover Products/Services”) in the United States and in this District that directly infringe one or more claims of the ‘508 Patent literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, including at least claims 7 and 14 of the ‘508 Patent as set forth in the preliminary infringement claim chart attached as Exhibit 2.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of the ‘508 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Prior to, or at least through, the filing and service of this complaint, Defendant knew of the ‘508 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Handover Products/Services. Defendant however continues to actively encourage users of its products and services to make and use the Accused Handover Products/Services so as to directly infringe the ‘508 Patent. Defendant does so with knowledge and intent that the users of its products and services commit these acts of infringement.

15. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Handover Products/Services despite knowing of the ‘508 Patent,

thereby specifically intending for and inducing users of its products and services to infringe the '508 Patent through their ordinary use of the Accused Handover Products/Services.

16. On information and belief, Defendant contributes to infringement of the '508 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the Accused Handover Products/Services that have no substantial non-infringing uses.

17. To the extent Defendant has infringed or continues to infringe after knowledge of the '508 Patent, such infringement is deliberate, knowing, and willful under 35 U.S.C § 271.

18. Mobility Workx, under 35 U.S.C. § 284, may recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by the infringer, Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

19. The Court should declare this an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Mobility Workx to recover treble damages and attorneys' fees.

IV. COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '417 PATENT

20. Mobility Workx hereby restates, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully stated herein.

21. Mobility Workx is the owner by assignment of all rights, title, and interest in the '417 Patent. A copy of the '417 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

The '417 Patent was subject to an *Inter Partes* Review and claims 3 and 6 remain valid and enforceable.

22. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, or imports Accused Handover Products/Services in the United States and in this District that directly infringe one or more claims of the '417 Patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, including at least claims 3 and 6 of the '417 Patent as set forth in the preliminary infringement claim chart attached as Exhibit 4.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendant knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of the '417 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Prior to, or at least through, the filing and service of this complaint, Defendant knew of the '417 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Handover Products/Services. Defendant however continues to actively encourage users of its products and services to use the Accused Handover Products/Services so as to directly infringe the '417 Patent. Defendant does so with knowledge and intent that the users of its products and services commit these acts of infringement. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Handover Products/Services despite knowing of the '417 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing users of its products and services to infringe the '417 Patent through their ordinary use of the Accused Handover Products/Services.

24. On information and belief, Defendant contributes to infringement of the

‘417 Patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing the Accused Handover Products/Services that have no substantial non-infringing uses.

25. To the extent Defendant has infringed or continues to infringe after knowledge of the ‘417 Patent, such infringement is deliberate, knowing, and willful under 35 U.S.C § 271.

26. Mobility Workx, under 35 U.S.C. § 284, may recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant’s infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by the infringer, Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

27. The Court should declare this an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Mobility Workx to recover treble damages and attorneys’ fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Mobility Workx respectfully requests that the Court enter:

- a. A judgment that the Patents in Suit are valid and enforceable;
- b. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Patents in Suit;
- c. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant’s infringement of the Patents in Suit;
- d. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the

meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant;

e. Any and all injunctive or equitable relief to which Mobility Workx is entitled, including but not limited to ongoing royalties with respect to Defendant's infringement of the Patents in Suit; and,

f. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: September 2, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael Machat (CA Bar No. 109475)
Machat & Associates, PC
8730 W. Sunset Blvd., Ste. 250
West Hollywood, CA 90069
Phone: 310-860-1833
michael@machatlaw.com

By: /s/ Daniel Ravicher
Daniel Ravicher (FL Bar No. 102809)
ZEISLER PLLC
80 SW 8th St Suite 3110
Miami, FL 33130
Phone: (786) 505-1205
dan@zeisler-law.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 4