

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION**

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.;

Defendant.

Case No. 7:24-cv-00232

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Cobblestone Wireless, LLC (“Cobblestone”) files this complaint against Defendant Apple Inc. (“Defendant” or “Apple”) alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,891,347 (the “’347 Patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 7,924,802 (the “’802 patent”) (the “Patents-in-Suit” or “Asserted Patents”).

Plaintiff Cobblestone and the Patents-in-Suit

1. Plaintiff Cobblestone Wireless, LLC is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Texas, with an address at 101 E. Park Blvd., Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75074.
2. On information and belief, Defendant Apple Inc. is a publicly traded corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at One Apple Park Way, Cupertino, CA 95014.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Apple in this action because Apple has committed acts of infringement within this District giving rise to this action, has a regular and established place of business in this District, and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Apple would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Apple, directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, conducts its business extensively throughout Texas, by shipping, distributing, offering for sale, selling, and advertising its products and/or services in the State of Texas and the Western District of Texas, regularly does business or solicits business, engages in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or derives substantial revenue from products and/or services provided to individuals in the State of Texas, and commits acts of infringement of Plaintiff's patents in this District by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering to sell, and selling products and/or services that infringe the asserted patents, including without limitation the tablets and phones accused of infringement in this case and cellular services offered by Apple on its network.

5. Apple, directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more products and/or services in the stream of commerce that practice the Asserted Patents with the intention and expectation that they will be purchased and used by consumers in the Western District of Texas. These products and/or services have been and continue to be purchased and used in the Western District of Texas.

6. Venue as to Apple is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). On information and belief, Apple resides in this District and/or has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant has transacted business in this District and has committed acts of direct and indirect infringement in this District by, among other things,

importing, offering to sell, and selling products that infringe the asserted patents. Defendant has regular and established places of businesses in this District, including at 12545 Riata Vista Cir., Austin, Texas 78727 and 12801 Delcour Dr., Austin, Texas 78727.

Count 1 – Claim for infringement of the '347 patent.

8. Cobblestone incorporates by reference each of the allegations in paragraphs 1–7 above and further alleges as follows:

9. Plaintiff owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,891,347 (the “'347 Patent”), entitled “User-Focusing Technique for Wireless Communication Systems.” The '347 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on November 18, 2014. A true and correct copy of the '347 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

10. On information and belief, Apple makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products and services (“Accused Instrumentalities”), including cellular user equipment (UE) that supports 3GPP 5G NR beamforming, including without limitation Apple’s iPhone 12, iPhone 12 mini, iPhone 13, iPhone 13 mini, iPhone 13 Pro, iPhone 13 Pro Max, iPhone 14, iPhone 14 Plus, iPhone 14 Pro, iPhone 14 Pro Max, iPhone 15, iPhone 15 Plus, iPhone 15 Pro, iPhone 15 Pro Max, iPhone 16, iPhone 16 Plus, iPhone 16 Pro, iPhone 16 Pro Max, iPhone SE (3rd Gen.), iPad, iPad Air, iPad Mini, and iPad Pro, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '347 Patent.

11. Apple also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of at least Claim 1 of the '347 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through at least the filing and service of this Complaint, Apple has had knowledge of the '347 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Instrumentalities. Despite this knowledge of the '347 Patent, Apple continues to actively encour-

age and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Instrumentalities in ways that directly infringe the '347 Patent. Apple does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Apple also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Instrumentalities, despite its knowledge of the '347 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '347 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Instrumentalities.

12. Apple has also infringed, and continue to infringe, at least Claim 1 of the '347 Patent by selling, offering for sale, or importing into the United States, the Accused Instrumentalities, knowing that the Accused Instrumentalities constitute a material part of the inventions claimed in the '347 Patent, are especially made or adapted to infringe the '347 Patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for non-infringing use. Apple has been, and currently is, contributorily infringing the '347 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(c) and (f).

13. The Accused Instrumentalities satisfy all claim limitations of one or more claims of the '347 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the '347 Patent to representative Accused Instrumentalities is attached as Exhibit 2.

14. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Instrumentalities, Apple has injured Plaintiff and are liable for infringement of the '347 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

15. As a result of Apple's infringement of the '347 Patent, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Apple's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Apple, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

Count 2 – Claim for infringement of the '802 patent.

16. Cobblestone incorporates by reference each of the allegations in paragraphs 1–15 above and further alleges as follows:

17. Plaintiff owns by assignment all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,924,802 (the “'802 Patent”), entitled “Wireless Communication Systems and Methods.” The '802 Patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 12, 2011. A true and correct copy of the '802 Patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

18. On information and belief, Apple makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products and services (“Accused Instrumentalities”), including cellular user equipment (UE) that supports 3GPP carrier aggregation, including without limitation Apple’s iPhone 12, iPhone 12 mini, iPhone 13, iPhone 13 mini, iPhone 13 Pro, iPhone 13 Pro Max, iPhone 14, iPhone 14 Plus, iPhone 14 Pro, iPhone 14 Pro Max, iPhone 15, iPhone 15 Plus, iPhone 15 Pro, iPhone 15 Pro Max, iPhone 16, iPhone 16 Plus, iPhone 16 Pro, iPhone 16 Pro Max, iPhone SE (3rd Gen.), iPad, iPad Air, iPad Mini, and iPad Pro, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least Claim 1 of the '802 Patent.

19. Apple also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of at least Claim 1 of the '802 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Through at least the filing and service of this Complaint, Apple has had knowledge of the '802 Patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Instrumentalities. Despite this knowledge of the '802 Patent, Apple continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Instrumentalities in ways that directly infringe the '802 Patent. Apple does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Apple also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or

import the Accused Instrumentalities, despite its knowledge of the '802 Patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '802 Patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Instrumentalities.

20. Apple has also infringed, and continue to infringe, at least Claim 1 of the '802 Patent by selling, offering for sale, or importing into the United States, the Accused Instrumentalities, knowing that the Accused Instrumentalities constitute a material part of the inventions claimed in the '802 Patent, are especially made or adapted to infringe the '802 Patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for non-infringing use. Apple has been, and currently is, contributorily infringing the '802 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(c) and (f).

21. The Accused Instrumentalities satisfy all claim limitations of one or more claims of the '802 Patent. A claim chart comparing independent claim 1 of the '802 Patent to representative Accused Instrumentalities is attached as Exhibit 4.

22. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Instrumentalities, Apple has injured Plaintiff and are liable for infringement of the '802 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

23. As a result of Apple's infringement of the '802 Patent, Plaintiff is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Apple's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Apple, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

Jury Trial Demanded

24. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Cobblestone requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff Cobblestone respectfully requests the following relief from this Court:

A. A judgment in favor of Cobblestone that Defendant has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '347 and '802 Patents, and that the '347 and '802 Patents are valid, enforceable, and patent-eligible;

B. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay Cobblestone compensatory damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for its infringement of the asserted patents, as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

C. Any and all injunctive and/or equitable relief to which Cobblestone may be entitled including, but not limited to, ongoing royalties with respect to Defendant's infringement of the '347 and '802 Patents;

D. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Cobblestone, including, without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

E. A judgment and order finding that this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and an award of Cobblestone's reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and

F. Any and all other relief to which Cobblestone may be entitled.

Dated: September 20, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie

CA State Bar No. 246953

Marc A. Fenster

CA State Bar No. 181067

Neil A. Rubin

CA State Bar No. 250761

Christian W. Conkle

CA State Bar No. 306374

Amy E. Hayden

CA State Bar No. 287026

Jonathan Ma

CA State Bar No. 312773

Jacob R. Buczko

CA State Bar No. 269408

Peter Tong

TX State Bar No. 24119042

Matthew D. Aichele

VA State Bar No. 77821

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Telephone: 310-826-7474

Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Email: mfenster@raklaw.com

Email: nrubin@raklaw.com

Email: cconkle@raklaw.com

Email: ahayden@raklaw.com

Email: jma@raklaw.com

Email: jbuczko@raklaw.com

Email: ptong@raklaw.com

Email: maichele@raklaw.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF,
COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC**