1	Ben Williams (SBN 343472) Williams Intellectual Property		
2	1100 W Littleton Blvd, Ste 440		
3	Littleton, CO 80120 o. (720) 328-5343		
4	f. (720) 328-5291 ben@wip.net		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STA	TES COURT	
9	UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		Case No.:	
12	Akila Tech Co., Ltd	Case No.:	
13	Plaintiff	COMPLAINT	
14	v.	COMPLAINT	
15	KSTRONG, INC, and		
16	Karam, Inc.		
17	Defendants	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
18			
19	Plaintiff AKILA TECH CO., LTD., by and through its attorneys, for its Complaint against defendant		
20	KSTRONG, INC, and defendant KARAM, INC. ("Defendants"), alleges as follows:		
21	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT		
22	1. This is an action for infringement of Plaintiff's United States Patent No. 9,435,484 under the		
23	Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 271, based on Defendants' unauthorized commercial manufacture, use,		
24	importation, offer for sale, and sale of SAFETY CATCH CONNECTORS in the United States.		
25	JURISD	ICTION	
26	2. This court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§		
27	1331 and 1338(a).		
28	3. Defendants are foreign corporations where	by the venue protections afforded by 28 U.S.C. §	

1 1400(b) do not apply.

4. Personal jurisdiction over Defendants is proper in this District because Defendants have availed
 themselves of the rights and benefits of the laws of California, and they have derived substantial revenue
 from the sales of Safety Catch Connectors in California. Defendants have systematic and continuous
 business contacts with California. Defendants advertise in California and target customers in California.

#### VENUE

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b).

### PARTIES

9 6. AKILA TECH CO., LTD. is a corporation that is incorporated in Taiwan and has its principal
10 place of business in Changhua County, Taiwan, R.O.C.

7. On information and belief, KSTRONG, INC., is a wholly owned subsidiary of KARAM, INC., a
 corporation that is incorporated in India and his its principal place of business in Uttar Pradesh.

13

6

7

8

### FACTS

14

# I. THE ASSERTED PATENT

8. United States Patent Number 9,435,484 (the "'484 Patent"), entitled SAFETY CATCH
 CONNECTOR, was duly and legally issued on 06 SEP 2016, and names Kai Chieh Yang and Yi Ching Lin
 as inventors. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the '484 Patent.

9. The '484 Patent discloses a safety catch connector devised with dual locking elements to prevent
inadvertent release of the connector during use.

20 10. The '484 Patent claims, among other things, a safety catch connector comprising a catch member, 21 a locking shaft, a first controlling unit comprising a first locking element, and a second controlling unit 22 comprising a controlling latch and a second locking element, wherein to open the safety catch connector 23 the first locking element and the controlling latch are pressed at the same time.

24 25

## 11. Plaintiff is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in the '484 Patent.

II. DEFENDANT'S INFRINGING ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTS

12. Defendants have infringed, and continue to infringe, the '484 Patent by using, selling, and offering
 for sale, safety catch connectors in the United States and importing into the United States safety catch
 connectors that embody or use the inventions claimed in the '484 Patent. For example, Defendants'

1 Twin/Single Connector with Steel Pin employs dual locking elements that perform the same or 2 substantially the same function in the same or substantially the same way to achieve the same or 3 substantially the same result as set forth and claimed in the '484 Patent. An example of Defendants' 4 Twin/Single Connector with Steel Pin (ANSI) is included herewith as **Exhibit B**.

5 13. Defendants have known of the existence of the '484 Patent, and their acts of infringement have 6 been willful and in disregard of the '484 Patent, without reasonable basis for believing that they had a right 7 to engage in the infringing conduct.

8

9

10

### COUNT ONE

### (Patent Infringement)

14. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 13 hereof, as if full set forth herein.

11 15. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and are infringing the '484 Patent by making,
 using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, or importing into the United States, including within
 this judicial district, safety catch connectors, including those sold under the name KStrong<sup>®</sup> Twin/Single
 Connector with Steel Pin (ANSI) (Exhibit B), in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

15 16. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and are inducing infringement of the '484 16 Patent by actively and knowingly inducing others to make, use, sell, offer for sale, or import safety catch 17 connectors that embody or use the invention claimed in the '484 Patent, including those sold under the 18 name KStrong<sup>®</sup> Twin/Single Connector with Steel Pin (ANSI), in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

19 17. Upon information and belief, Defendants have been and are contributing to the infringement of the 20 '484 Patent by selling or offering to sell safety catch connectors, knowing them to be especially made or 21 especially adapted for practicing the invention of the '484 Patent and not a staple article or commodity of 22 commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

23 18. Defendants' infringement has been and continues to be knowing, intentional, and willful.

24 19. Defendants' acts of infringement of the '484 Patent have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff

damages for which Plaintiff is entitled to compensation pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

26 20. Defendants' acts of infringement of the '484 Patent have caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff
 27 immediate and irreparable harm unless such infringing activities are enjoined by this Court pursuant to 35
 28 U.S.C. § 283. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

1	21. This case is exceptional and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney fees pursuant to
2	35 U.S.C. § 285.

3

4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgmen	nt against Defendants as follows:
5	1. Adjudging that Defendants have infrin	ged and actively induced infringement of, and contributorily
6	infringed the '484 Patent, in violation of 35 U	S.C. § 271(a), (b), and (c);
7	2. Granting an injunction temporarily an	d preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their
8	employees, agents, officers, directors, attorne	ys, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries, and assigns, and all of
9	those in active concert and participation wit	h any of the foregoing persons or entities from infringing,
10	contributing to the infringement of, or induci	ng infringement of the '484 Patent;
11	3. Ordering Defendants to account and p	ay damages adequate to compensate Plaintiff for Defendants'
12	infringement of the '484 Patent, including for	r any infringing acts not presented at trial and pre-judgment
13	and post-judgment interest and costs, pursua	nt to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
14	4. Ordering an accounting for any infring	ging sales not presented at trial and an award by the court of
15	additional damages for any such infringing sales;	
16	5. Ordering that the damages award be	e increased up to three times the actual amount assessed,
17	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;	
18	6. Declaring this case exceptional and a	warding Plaintiff its reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 35
19	U.S.C. § 285; and	
20	7. Awarding such other and further relie	f as this Court deems just and proper.
21		
22	Dated: 11 Nov 2024 Littleton, Colorado	Respectfully submitted,
23	LITTLETON, COLORADO	
24		Ben Williams, Esq. Williams Intellectual Property, Inc.
25		1100 W Littleton Blvd Ste 440
26		Littleton, CO 80120
27		o. (720) 328-5343 f. (720) 328-5297
28		ben@wip.net

1	Attorney for Plaintiff
2	
3	
4	VERIFICATION
5	I have read the foregoing Complaint and know its contents. I am the owner of AKILA TECH CO. LTD.,
6	a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing Complaint are true to my own knowledge, except
7	as to the matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Colorado that the foregoing is true and
9	correct.
10	Executed at Taichung, Taiwan, this 11 day of November, 2024.
11	
12	YANG, Ryan
13	OWNER
14	AKILA TECH. CO. LTD.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5

1330

L	Attorney for Plaintiff		
5			
	VERIFICATION		
	I have read the foregoing Complaint and know its contents. I am the owner of AKILA TECH CO. LTD		
	a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing Complaint are true to my own knowledge, exc as to the matters which are therein stated upon information or belief, and as to those matters I believe the to be true.		
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Colorado that the foregoing is true an		
	correct.		
	Executed at Taichung, Taiwan, this 11 day of November, 2024.		
	Dury H - li		
	Standand 1		
	YANG, Ryan O OWNER		
	AKILA TECH. CO. LTD.		
	·		
	5 Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief		