IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

FLEET CONNECT SOLUTIONS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MURATA MANUFACTURING CO., LTD and MURATA ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-00964

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Fleet Connect Solutions LLC ("Fleet Connect") files this Complaint against Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and Murata Electronics North America, Inc. (collectively, "Murata" or "Defendant") alleging, based on its own knowledge as to itself and its own actions, and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of the following United States Patents (collectively, the "Asserted Patents"), issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"):

	Patent No.	Reference
1.	6,549,583	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6549583
2.	6,633,616	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6633616
3.	7,058,040	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7058040
4.	7,260,153	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7260153
5.	7,656,845	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7656845

	Patent No.	Reference
6.	7,742,388	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7742388
7.	8,005,053	https://image-ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/8005053

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is a limited liability company formed under the laws of Texas with its registered office address located in Austin, Texas.

4. Defendant Murata Corporation is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Pennsylvania with places of business located at 3500 E. Plano Parkway, Plano, Texas, 75074, 2740 N. Dallas Parkway, Suite 100, Plano, Texas, 75093, 3033 W. President George Bush Hwy, Plano, Texas, 75075, and 1300 Coit Road, Plano, Texas, 75075. Murata is registered to do business in Texas and does business in Texas, directly and through intermediaries, and offers its products and/or services, including those accused herein of infringement, to customers and potential customers located in Texas, including in the Eastern District of Texas. Murata may be served through its registered agent CT Corporation System, at 1999 Bryan St., Suite 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

5. Defendant Murata Electronics North America, Inc. ("Murata NA"), is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business at 2200 Lake Park Drive, Smyrna, Georgia 30080 Upon information and belief, Murata NA may be served with process at CSC-Lawyers, Inc., 211 E. 7th Street Suite 620 Austin, TX 78701.

6. Defendant Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd. ("Murata LTD") is a Japanese Corporation with its principal place of business at 10-1, Higashikotari 1-chome, Nagaokakyo-shi, Kyoto 617-8555, Japan.

7. Upon information and belief, Murata has business operations in this District at 4100 Midway Road, Suite 2050, Carrollton, Texas 75007 where it sells, develops, and/or markets its products, including infringing products.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

This is an action for infringement of a United States patent arising under 35 U.S.C. §§
 271, 281, and 284–85, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the action under
 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1338(a).

10. Venue is proper as to Murata in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Murata has committed acts of infringement in this District, derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to customers in this District, and has a regular and established place of business in this District in at least the following locations: 4100 Midway Road, Suite 2050, Carrollton, Texas 75007. Upon information and belief, Defendant also has authorized sellers and sales representatives that offer and sell products pertinent to this Complaint through the State of Texas, including in this District, and to consumers throughout this District. Moreover, venue is proper as to Murata LTD this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because Murata LTD a foreign corporation.

11. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction under due process due at least to Defendant's substantial business in this District, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; (ii) regularly transacting, doing, and/or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this District; and (iii) having an interest in, using or possessing real property in Texas.

12. Specifically, Defendant intends to do and does business in, has committed acts of infringement in, and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District directly, through intermediaries, by contributing to and through its inducement of third parties, and offers its products or services, including those accused of infringement here, to customers and potential customers located in this District. Defendant markets, sells, and delivers accused products in this district, and has committed acts of infringement in this District.

13. Defendant commits acts of infringement from this District, including, but not limited to, use of the Accused Products and inducement of third parties to use the Accused Products.

THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

14. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

15. Defendant uses, causes to be used, provides, supplies, or distributes one or more computing devices, including, but not limited to, those identified by Murata as follows: PN: LBEE5XV1XA-540; PN: LBEE5XV1XZ-892, PN: LBEE5XV2BA-SMP; PN: LBEE5XV2BZ-883; PN: LBEE59B1LV-278; PN: LBEE5PK2BC-771; PN: LBEE5PK2AE-564; PN: LBEE5KL1YN-814; PN: LBWA1UZ1GC-958; PN: LBWA1UZ1PS-241; PN: LBEE5PA1LD-005; PN: LBWA1UZ1GC-901 (Imp005); PN: LBEE5ZZ1MD-011; PN: LBEE5PA1LD-LBEP5CLWTC-631; LBEP5CLWMC-633; 222(Ayla); CMWC1ZZABR; LBEE5ZZ1PJ; CMEN1ZZABH; LBEE5XV1VA; LBWA1ZZ1JQ; LBWA1ZZ1JP; LBEE5ZZ1CK; LBEE5CJ2XK; LBWA0ZZ2DS; LBEE5KL1DX-626; LBEH5DU1BW; LBEE5XV2BZ-883; LBEH5HMZPC; LBEE5CJ1XK; LBEE0ZZ2HV-001; LBEE5XV2EA-802; LBES5PL2EL; LBEE5PL2DL; LBEE5ZZ2XS; LBEE5PK2AE; LBEE5PK2BC; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NXP i.MX; SN8000; SN8000UFL; LBEE5ZZ1PJ-TEMP; Infineon WLAN Chipset CYW54907; SAFFW2G45MB0E0A; SAFQA2G45MA0G0A; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NVIDIA® JETSONTM; LBEE5U91CQ; LBWA17DZX6; LBEP5CLXRC; LBEE5WV2GF; LBES5PL2DL-921; LBES5PL2EL-923, and any other devices and hardware, software, and functionality that comprise substantially similar functionality (collectively, the "Accused Products").

16. On information and belief, the Accused Products perform wireless communications and methods associated with performing and/or implementing wireless communications including, but not limited to, wireless communications and methods pursuant to various protocols and implementations, including, but not limited to, Bluetooth, IEEE 802.11, and various subsections thereof, including, but not limited to, 802.11ac and 802.11n.

17. For these reasons and the additional reasons detailed below, the Accused Products practice at least one claim of each of the Asserted Patents.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,549,583

18. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety. For purposes of this Count I, the "Accused Products" include Murata's computing devices, including, but not limited to, those identified as follows: PN: LBEE5XV1XA-540; PN: LBEE5XV1XZ-892, PN: LBEE5XV2BA-SMP; PN: LBEE5XV2BZ-883; PN: LBEE59B1LV-278; PN: LBEE5PK2BC-771; PN: LBEE5PK2AE-564; PN: PN: LBWA1UZ1PS-241; LBEE5ZZ1PJ; CMEN1ZZABH; LBEE5XV1VA; LBEE5ZZ1CK; LBEH5DU1BW; LBEE5XV2BZ-883; LBEH5HMZPC; LBEE5XV2EA-802; LBES5PL2EL; LBEE5PL2DL; LBEE5ZZ2XS; LBEE5PK2AE; LBEE5PK2BC; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NXP i.MX; LBEE5ZZ1PJ-TEMP; Infineon WLAN Chipset CYW54907; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth®

Modules for NVIDIA® JETSON™; LBEE5U91CQ; LBEE5WV2GF; LBES5PL2DL-921; LBES5PL2EL-923, and any other devices and hardware, software, and functionality that comprise substantially similar functionality.

19. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 6,549,583 (the "'583 patent") on April 15, 2003, after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/790,429 which was filed February 21, 2001. The '583 patent is entitled "Optimum Phase Error Metric for OFDM Pilot Tone Tracking in Wireless LAN."

20. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '583 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '583 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

21. The claims of the '583 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting error estimation methods.

22. The written description of the '583 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

23. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '583 patent.

24. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '583 patent by manufacturing, providing, supplying, using, distributing, selling, or offering to sell the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '583 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performs a method of pilot phase error estimation in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexed (OFDM) receiver. The method includes determining pilot reference points corresponding to a plurality of pilots of an OFDM preamble waveform; and estimating an aggregate phase error of a subsequent OFDM data symbol relative to the pilot reference points using complex signal measurements corresponding to each of the plurality of pilots of the subsequent OFDM data symbol and the pilot reference points; wherein the estimating step comprises performing a maximum likelihood-based estimation using the complex signal measurements corresponding to each of the plurality of pilots of the subsequent OFDM data symbol and the pilot reference points. See '583 Evidence of Use Charts, attached hereto as Exhibit Α.

25. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,633,616

26. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety. For purposes of this Count II, the "Accused Products" include Murata's computing devices, including, but not limited to, those identified as follows: PN: LBEE5XV1XA-540; PN: LBEE5XV1XZ-892, PN: LBEE5XV2BA-SMP; PN: LBEE5XV2BZ-

883; PN: LBEE59B1LV-278; PN: LBEE5PK2BC-771; PN: LBEE5PK2AE-564; PN: PN: LBWA1UZ1PS-241; LBEE5ZZ1PJ; CMEN1ZZABH; LBEE5XV1VA; LBEE5ZZ1CK; LBEH5DU1BW; LBEE5XV2BZ-883; LBEH5HMZPC; LBEE5XV2EA-802; LBES5PL2EL; LBEE5PL2DL; LBEE5ZZ2XS; LBEE5PK2AE; LBEE5PK2BC; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NXP i.MX; LBEE5ZZ1PJ-TEMP; Infineon WLAN Chipset CYW54907; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NVIDIA® JETSON™; LBEE5U91CQ; LBEE5WV2GF; LBES5PL2DL-921; LBES5PL2EL-923, and any other devices and hardware, software, and functionality that comprise substantially similar functionality.

27. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 6,633,616 (the "616 patent") on October 14,
2003, after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/935,081 which was filed August 21,
2001. The '616 patent is entitled "OFDM Pilot Tone Tracking for Wireless LAN."

28. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '616 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '616 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

29. The claims of the '616 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting error estimation methods.

30. The written description of the '616 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

31. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '616 patent.

32. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '616 patent by manufacturing, providing, supplying, using, distributing, selling, or offering to sell the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 12 of the '616 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performs a method of pilot phase error estimation in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexed (OFDM) receiver. The method includes determining pilot reference points corresponding to a plurality of pilots of an OFDM preamble waveform; processing, in a parallel path to the determining step, the OFDM preamble waveform with a fast Fourier transform; determining a phase error estimate of a subsequent OFDM symbol relative to the pilot reference points; and processing, in the parallel path to the determining step, the subsequent OFDM symbol with the fast Fourier transform; wherein the determining the phase error estimate step is completed prior to the completion of the processing of the subsequent OFDM symbol with the fast Fourier transform in the parallel path. *See* '616 Evidence of Use Charts attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

33. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,058,040

34. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety. For purposes of this Count III, the "Accused Products" include

Murata's computing devices, including, but not limited to, those identified by Murata as follows: PN: PN: LBEE5XV1XZ-892, PN: LBEE5XV2BA-SMP; LBEE5XV1XA-540; PN: LBEE5XV2BZ-883; PN: LBEE59B1LV-278; PN: LBEE5PK2BC-771; PN: LBEE5PK2AE-564; PN: PN: LBEE5PA1LD-005; PN: LBEE5KL1YN-814; LBEE5ZZ1MD-011; PN: LBEE5ZZ1PJ; LBEP5CLWTC-631; LBEP5CLWMC-633; LBEE5PA1LD-222(Ayla); LBEE5XV1VA; LBEE5ZZ1CK; LBEE5CJ2XK; LBEE5KL1DX-626; LBEH5DU1BW; LBEE5XV2BZ-883; LBEH5HMZPC; LBEE5CJ1XK; LBEE0ZZ2HV-001; LBEE5XV2EA-802; LBES5PL2EL; LBEE5PL2DL; LBEE5ZZ2XS; LBEE5PK2AE; LBEE5PK2BC; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NXP i.MX; LBEE5ZZ1PJ-TEMP; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NVIDIA® JETSON™; LBEE5U91CQ; LBEE5WV2GF; LBES5PL2DL-921; LBES5PL2EL-923, and any other devices and hardware, software, and functionality that comprise substantially similar functionality.

35. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,058,040 (the "'040 patent") on June 6, 2006, after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/962,718 which was filed September 21, 2001. The '040 patent is entitled "Channel Interference Reduction."

36. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '040 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '040 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

37. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '040 patent.

38. The claims of the '040 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include

inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting data transmission methods.

39. The written description of the '040 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

40. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '040 patent through the end of its term by manufacturing, providing, supplying, using, distributing, selling, or offering to sell the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '040 patent through the end of its term. As just one example of infringement, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performed a method for data transmission over first and second media that overlap in frequency. The method included computing one or more time division multiple access (TDMA) time-slot channels to be shared between the first and second media for data transmission; allocating one or more time-slot channels to the second medium for data transmission; and dynamically adjusting a number of timeslot channels assigned to one of the first and second media during the data transmission to remain within limits of a desired level of service. *See* '040 Evidence of Use Chart attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.

41. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for

such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,260,153

42. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

43. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,260,153 (the "153 patent") on August 21, 2007, after full and fair examination of Application No. 10/423,447, which was filed on April 28, 2003. The '153 patent is entitled "Multi Input Multi Output Wireless Communication Method and Apparatus Providing Extended Range and Extended Rate Across Imperfectly Estimated Channels."

44. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '153 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '153 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

45. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '153 patent.

46. The claims of the '153 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of voice and data communications systems.

47. The written description of the '153 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and

improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

48. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '153 patent by importing, selling, manufacturing, offering to sell, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '153 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performed a method for evaluating a channel of a multiple-input multiple-output ("MIMO") wireless communication system allowing two or more communication devices with multiple radiating elements to transmit parallel data sub-streams which defines a channel matrix metric of cross-talk signal-to-noise ("SNR") for the subs-streams, estimates the channel matrix metric, performs a singular value decomposition ("SVD") of the channel matrix metric and estimate to calculate estimated channel singular values, and using the channel matrix metric and estimated channel singular values to calculate a crosstalk measure for the sub-streams. *See* '153 Evidence of Use Charts attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.

49. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,656,845

50. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety. For purposes of this Count V, the "Accused Products" include Murata's computing devices, including, but not limited to, those identified by Murata as follows: PN: LBEE5XV1XA-540; PN: LBEE5XV1XZ-892, PN: LBEE5XV2BA-SMP; PN:

LBEE5XV2BZ-883; PN: LBEE59B1LV-278; PN: LBEE5PK2BC-771; PN: LBEE5PK2AE-564; PN: PN: LBEE5PA1LD-005; PN: LBEE5KL1YN-814; LBEE5ZZ1MD-011; PN: LBEP5CLWTC-631; LBEP5CLWMC-633; LBEE5PA1LD-222(Ayla); LBEE5ZZ1PJ; LBEE5XV1VA; LBEE5ZZ1CK; LBEE5CJ2XK; LBEE5KL1DX-626; LBEH5DU1BW; LBEE5XV2BZ-883; LBEH5HMZPC; LBEE5CJ1XK; LBEE0ZZ2HV-001; LBEE5XV2EA-802; LBES5PL2EL; LBEE5PL2DL; LBEE5ZZ2XS; LBEE5PK2AE; LBEE5PK2BC; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NXP i.MX; LBEE5ZZ1PJ-TEMP; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NVIDIA® JETSON™; LBEE5U91CQ; LBEE5WV2GF; LBES5PL2DL-921; LBES5PL2EL-923, and any other devices and hardware, software, and functionality that comprise substantially similar functionality..

51. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,656,845 (the "'845 patent") on February 2, 2010 after full and fair examination of Application No. 11/402,172 which was filed on April 11, 2006. The '845 patent is entitled "Channel Interference Reduction." A Certificate of Correction was issued on November 30, 2010.

52. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '845 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '845 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

53. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '845 patent.

54. The claims of the '845 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting systems and

methods of wireless communication with a mobile unit.

55. The written description of the '845 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

56. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '845 patent through the end of its term by importing, selling, manufacturing, offering to sell, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 12 of the '845 patent through the end of its term. As just one example of infringement, the Accused Products used by Defendant provide a system comprising a processor, a first transceiver configured to communicate *via* a first medium, a second transceiver configured to communicate *via* a second medium, wherein at least one of the first transceiver and the second transceiver is configured to retry transmission of a packet at a lower rate if a prior transmission of the packet is not acknowledged, an allocation unit configured to dynamically allocate data channels to one of the first medium and the second medium based upon a desired level of service. *See* '845 Evidence of Use Chart attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.

57. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VI: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,742,388

58. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though

fully set forth in their entirety.

59. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,742,388 (the "'388 patent") on June 22,
2010, after full and fair examination of Application No. 11/185,665 which was filed July 20, 2005.
The '388 patent is entitled "Packet Generation Systems and Methods."

60. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '388 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '388 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

61. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '388 patent.

62. The claims of the '388 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting systems and methods of generating packets in a digital communications system.

63. The written description of the '388 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

64. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the claims of the '388 patent by manufacturing, providing, supplying, using, distributing, selling, or offering to sell the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '388 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant performs a method including generating a packet with a size corresponding to a protocol used for a network transmission, wherein the packet comprises a preamble having a first training symbol and a second training symbol. The method further includes increasing the size of the packet by adding subcarriers to the second training symbol of the packet to produce an extended packet, wherein a quantity of subcarriers of the second training symbol is greater than a quantity of subcarriers of the first training symbol; and transmitting the extended packet from an antenna. *See* '388 Evidence of Use Charts attached hereto as **Exhibit F**.

65. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe the '388 patent by inducing others to directly infringe the '388 patent. Defendant has induced and continue to induce customers and end-users, including, but not limited to, Defendant's customers, employees, partners, or contractors, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '388 patent by providing or requiring use of the Accused Products. Defendant has taken active steps, directly or through contractual relationships with others, with the specific intent to cause them to use the Accused Products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '388 patent, including, for example, claim 1. Such steps by Defendant has included, among other things, advising or directing customers, personnel, contractors, or end-users to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner; advertising and promoting the use of the Accused Products in an infringing manner; or distributing instructions that guide users to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner. Defendant has been performing these steps, which constitute induced infringement with the knowledge of the '388 patent and with the knowledge that the induced acts constitute infringement. Defendant has been aware that the normal and customary use of the Accused Products by others would infringe the '388 patent. Defendant's inducement is ongoing.

Case 2:24-cv-00964-JRG-RSP Document 1 Filed 11/21/24 Page 18 of 23 PageID #: 18

66. Defendant has indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe by contributing to the infringement of the '388 patent. Defendant has contributed and continue to contribute to the direct infringement of the '388 patent by its customers, personnel, and contractors. The Accused Products have special features that are specially designed to be used in an infringing way and that have no substantial uses other than ones that infringe one or more claims of the '388 patent, including, for example, claim 1. The special features constitute a material part of the invention of one or more of the claims of the '388 patent and are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. Defendant's contributory infringement is ongoing.

67. Defendant had knowledge of its infringement of the '388 patent at least the service of the original complaint here.

68. Furthermore, on information and belief, Defendant has a policy or practice of not reviewing the patents of others, including instructing its employees to not review the patents of others, and thus have been willfully blind of Fleet Connect's patent rights.

69. Defendant's actions are at least objectively reckless as to the risk of infringing a valid patent and this objective risk was either known or should have been known by Defendant.

70. Defendant's infringement of the '388 patent is, has been, and continues to be willful, intentional, deliberate, or in conscious disregard of Fleet Connect's rights under the patent.

71. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

72. Fleet Connect has suffered irreparable harm, through its loss of market share and goodwill, for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Fleet Connect has and will continue to

suffer this harm by virtue of Defendant's infringement of the '388 patent. Defendant's actions have interfered with and will interfere with Fleet Connect's ability to license technology. The balance of hardships favors Fleet Connect's ability to commercialize its own ideas and technology. The public interest in allowing Fleet Connect to enforce its right to exclude outweighs other public interests, which supports injunctive relief in this case.

COUNT VII: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,005,053

73. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety. For purposes of this Count VII, the "Accused Products" include Murata's computing devices, including, but not limited to, those identified by Murata as follows: PN: LBEE5XV1XZ-892, PN: PN: LBEE5XV1XA-540; LBEE5XV2BA-SMP; PN: LBEE5XV2BZ-883; PN: LBEE59B1LV-278; PN: LBEE5PK2BC-771; PN: LBEE5PK2AE-564; PN: LBEE5KL1YN-814; PN: LBEE5PA1LD-005; PN: LBEE5ZZ1MD-011; PN: LBEE5PA1LD-222(Ayla); LBEE5ZZ1PJ; LBEP5CLWTC-631; LBEP5CLWMC-633; LBEE5XV1VA; LBEE5ZZ1CK; LBEE5CJ2XK; LBEE5KL1DX-626; LBEH5DU1BW; LBEE5XV2BZ-883; LBEH5HMZPC; LBEE5CJ1XK; LBEE0ZZ2HV-001; LBEE5XV2EA-802; LBES5PL2EL; LBEE5PL2DL; LBEE5ZZ2XS; LBEE5PK2AE; LBEE5PK2BC; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NXP i.MX; LBEE5ZZ1PJ-TEMP; Wi-Fi®/Bluetooth® Modules for NVIDIA® JETSON™; LBEE5U91CQ; LBEE5WV2GF; LBES5PL2DL-921; LBES5PL2EL-923, and any other devices and hardware, software, and functionality that comprise substantially similar functionality.

74. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 8,005,053 (the "'053 patent") on August 23,
2011, after full and fair examination of Application No. 12/696,760, which was filed on January
29, 2010. The '053 patent is entitled "Channel Interference Reduction."

75. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '053 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '053 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

76. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '053 patent.

77. The claims of the '053 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of voice and data communications systems.

78. The written description of the '053 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

79. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '053 patent by importing, selling, manufacturing, offering to sell, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 10 of the '053 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant performs a method comprising a communication device storing data encoded for a plurality of different wireless protocols, the communication device including a plurality of wireless transceivers, each of which is configured to transmit data according to a corresponding one of the plurality of different wireless protocols where the communication device selects one of the plurality of

different wireless protocols and encodes data of an unselected one of the plurality of different wireless protocols into the selected wireless protocol, and transmits the encoded data using the one of the plurality of wireless transceivers corresponding to the selected wireless protocol. *See* '053 Evidence of Use Charts attached hereto as **Exhibit G**.

80. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

JURY DEMAND

81. Fleet Connect hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable by right.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

82. Fleet Connect requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court grant Fleet Connect the following relief:

- Judgment that one or more claims of each of the Asserted Patents has been infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant or others acting in concert therewith;
- A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringement of the '388 patent; or, in the alternative, an award of a reasonable ongoing royalty for future infringement of the Asserted Patents by such entities;
- c. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Fleet Connect all damages to and costs incurred by Fleet Connect because of Defendant's infringing activities and other

conduct complained of herein;

- Judgment that Defendant's infringements of the '388 patent be found willful, and that the Court award treble damages for the period of such willful infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- e. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- f. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Fleet Connect its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- g. All other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Case 2:24-cv-00964-JRG-RSP

23

Dated: November 21, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ C. Matthew Rozier

Jonathan L. Hardt (TX 24039906)* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 712 W. 14th Street, Suite A Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (210) 289-7541 Email: hardt@rhmtrial.com

C. Matthew Rozier (CO 46854)* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 1500 K Street, 2nd Floor Washington, District of Columbia 20005 Telephone: (404) 779-5305; (202) 316-1591 Email: matt@rhmtrial.com

James F. McDonough, III (GA 117088)* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 659 Auburn Avenue NE, Unit 254 Atlanta, Georgia 30312 Telephone: (404) 564-1866 Email: jim@rhmtrial.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff FLEET CONNECT SOLUTIONS LLC

* Admitted to the Eastern District of Texas

Exhibits

- A. Evidence of Use Chart US 6,549,583
- B. Evidence of Use Chart US 6,633,616
- C. Evidence of Use Chart US 7,058,040
- D. Evidence of Use Chart US 7,260,153
- E. Evidence of Use Chart US 7,656,845
- F. Evidence of Use Chart US 7,742,388
- G. Evidence of Use Chart US 8,005,053

Attachments

- Civil Cover Sheet
- Proposed Summons: (1) Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
- Proposed Summons: (2) Murata Electronics North America, Inc.