

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

1 Matthew L. Rollin (SBN 332631)  
2 **SRIPLAW, P.A.**  
3 8730 Wilshire Boulevard  
4 Suite 350  
5 Beverly Hills, California 90211  
6 323.452.5600 – Telephone  
7 561.404.4353 – Facsimile  
8 [matthew.rollin@sriplaw.com](mailto:matthew.rollin@sriplaw.com)

9 *Counsel for Plaintiff*  
10 *Voltstar Technologies, Inc.*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 VOLTSTAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Case No.: 2:25-cv-01036

14 Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT**

15 v.

16 GC TECHNOLOGY, LLC DBA  
17 PHONESUIT,

18 Defendant.

19  
20 Plaintiff VOLTSTAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. by and through its undersigned  
21 counsel, brings this Complaint against Defendant GC TECHNOLOGY, LLC DBA  
22 PHONESUIT for Patent Infringement, and in support, alleges as follows:

23 **NATURE OF THE LAWSUIT**

24 1. This is an action for patent infringement of United States Patent Number  
25 9,024,581, and its Reissue Patent Number RE48,794 E (hereinafter, the “794 Patent”)  
26 arising under the patent laws of the United States Title 35, United States Code §§ 1 *et*  
27 *seq.* to enjoin further infringement and obtain damages resulting from Defendant GC  
28 TECHNOLOGY, LLC’s unauthorized manufacture, use, offer to sell and sale in the

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

1 United States of a product identified and described herein in violation of Plaintiff  
2 Voltstar’s rights under the ‘794 Patent.

3 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4 2. This Court has original and exclusive subject matter jurisdiction pursuant  
5 to 28 U.S.C. § 1331; 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a); and 35 U.S.C. § 271.

6 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant.

7 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) and 28  
8 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3) because Phonesuit has committed acts of infringement and has a  
9 regular and established place of business within this judicial district and division.

10 **THE PLAINTIFF**

11 5. Plaintiff, VOLTSTAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (“Voltstar”), is an  
12 Illinois Corporation with a principal place of business located at P.O. Box 3727,  
13 Barrington, IL 60010.

14 **THE DEFENDANT**

15 6. GC Technology, LLC dba Phonesuit (“Phonesuit”) is a Nevada limited  
16 liability company with its principal place of business at 1431 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 201,  
17 Santa Monica, CA 90401 and can be served by serving its Registered Agent, Sumeet  
18 Gupta at the same address.

19 **THE PLAINTIFF'S PATENT**

20 7. Voltstar owns all right, title and interests in, and/or has standing to sue  
21 for infringement of United States Patent Number 9,024,581, and its Reissue Patent  
22 Number RE48,794 E (the “‘794 patent”), entitled "Charger Plug With Improved  
23 Packaging", issued October 26, 2021. A copy of the ‘794 Patent is attached hereto as  
24 **Exhibit 1.**

25 8. Prior to May 21, 2008, James W. McGinley, Donald Rindzius, and  
26 David P. Marcusen, invented a novel and non-obvious Charger Plug with Improved  
27 Package.  
28

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

1 9. McGinley, Rimdzius, and Marcusen applied for and obtained United  
2 States Patent No. 9,024,581 (the “‘581 patent”) entitled “Charger Plug with Improved  
3 Package Electrical Charger” which was duly and legally issued on May 5, 2015.

4 10. The patent application for the ‘581 patent (application serial number  
5 12/124,515) was first published on November 26, 2009.

6 11. McGinley, Rimdzius and Marcusen assigned all right, title and interest in  
7 and to the ‘581 Patent to Horizon Technologies, Inc. in May 2008, which was  
8 recorded at the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 21, 2008, at Reel  
9 20979, Frame 56. Horizon Technologies, Inc. changed its name to Voltstar  
10 Technologies, Inc. and recorded such change of name at the United States Patent and  
11 Trademark Office on March 1, 2010, and corrected on November 22, 2010, at Reel  
12 25411, Frame 783.

13 12. In general, non-legal terms, the ’581 Patent relates to a Charger that is to  
14 be connected between a source of AC power, such as a wall outlet, and a device such  
15 as a mobile phone that includes a battery with the battery being rechargeable through  
16 the use of DC power. The size and shape of the Charger are such that upon plugging  
17 the Charger into a source of AC power such as a wall outlet, (a) the Charger does not  
18 block or interfere with the use of adjacent outlets and (b) the Charger does not  
19 interfere with objects or furniture that may be placed adjacent to or in front of the  
20 outlet. In addition, the size and shape of the Charger are such that (a) a power cord for  
21 the device to be charged may be easily inserted into and removed from the Charger  
22 while the Charger is plugged into the source of AC power and (b) removal of the  
23 power cord from the Charger can be accomplished without removal of the Charger  
24 from the source of AC power. An example of the “Charger Plug with Improved  
25 Package Electrical Charger” is shown below:  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



13. On October 26, 2021, the U.S. Patent No. 9,024,581 was reissued as Patent Number US RE48,794 E (“the ‘794 Patent”). The reissued ‘794 Patent, in part, specifically amended Claim 1(i) to now state: “being sized so that the charger plug housing comprises a longitudinal length extending between the front wall and the rear end and the longitudinal length is less than 2.0 inches, a width of the housing outer profile being less than 1.75 inches ...”<sup>1</sup>

14. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 252, Claim 1 of the reissued ‘794 Patent is substantially identical with the original U.S. Patent No. 9,024,581 in that it has only limited the sizing dimensions of the claimed invention. Therefore, the reissued ‘794 Patent is a continuation of the original U.S. Patent No. 9,024,581 and has effect continuously from the date of the original patent as May 5, 2015.

---

<sup>1</sup> The previous language of the U.S. Patent No. 9,024,581 Claim 1(i) stated: “being sized so that the charger plug housing comprises a longitudinal length extending between the front wall and the rear end and the longitudinal length is equal to or less than 2.0 inches ...”

On reissue, the USPTO amended the claim language. The bolded language was omitted and the italicized portion was included, shown as follows: “being sized so that the charger plug housing comprises a longitudinal length extending between the front wall and the rear end and the longitudinal length is [equal to or] less than 2.0 inches, *a width of the housing outer profile being less than 1.75 inches ...*”

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

1 15. Claim 1 of the reissued ‘794 Patent is substantially identical with the  
2 claims submitted during the ‘581 patent prosecution at least as early as 2011, in the  
3 published patent application serial number 12/124,515. Claim 1 of the reissued ‘794  
4 Patent was substantially known publicly at least as early as 2011.

5 16. At all relevant times, Voltstar and its predecessors in interest in the ‘794  
6 Patent and original U.S. Patent No. 9,024,581, complied with the federal patent  
7 marking statute, 35 U.S.C. § 287(a).

8 17. As of May 5, 2015, the issue date of the original U.S. Patent No.  
9 9,024,581 Voltstar and its predecessors in interest had ceased making, offering for  
10 sale, or selling within the United States any patented article covered under the ‘794  
11 Patent or the original U.S. Patent No. 9,024,581.

12 **DEFENDANT’S PRODUCTS**

13 **Accused Product – Novo 35 USB Wall Charger**

14 18. Phonesuit makes, uses, offers for sale and sells the Novo 35 USB Wall  
15 Charger. An example of the Novo 35 USB Wall Charger is shown below.



16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 19. Phonesuit advertises the use of and sells its Novo 35 USB Wall Charger  
25 to be connected between a source of AC power, such as a wall outlet, and a device  
26  
27  
28

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

1 such as a mobile phone that includes a battery with the battery being rechargeable  
2 through the use of DC power.

3 20. In particular, the Novo 35 USB Wall Charger distributed by Phonesuit  
4 employ a reduced plug-size charger plug, that upon plugging the Novo 35 USB Wall  
5 Charger into a source of AC power such as a wall outlet, the Novo 35 USB Wall  
6 Charger does not block or interfere with the use of adjacent outlets.

7 21. Moreover, the size and shape of the Novo 35 USB Wall Charger are such  
8 that a power cord for the device to be charged may be easily inserted into and  
9 removed from the Novo 35 USB Wall Charger while the charger is plugged into the  
10 source of AC power and removal of the power cord from the Novo 35 USB Wall  
11 Charger can be accomplished without removal of the charger from the source of AC  
12 power.

13 22. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a Claim Chart that illustrates each  
14 element of the infringing Novo 35 USB Wall Charger as compared to Claim 1 in the  
15 ‘794 Patent.

16 23. Defendant Phonesuit infringed at least one of the claims of the ‘794  
17 Patent by offering to sell and by selling a charger plug identified as Novo 35 USB  
18 Wall Charger.

19 24. The Novo 35 USB Wall Charger has a longitudinal length less than 2  
20 inches, approximately 1.333 inches, and a width of less than 1.75 inches,  
21 approximately 1.265 inches.

22 **COUNT I**

23 **DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE48,794 E**

24 25. Voltstar repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference, as if fully set  
25 forth herein paragraphs 1 through 24, as set forth above.

26 26. Within the six years preceding the filing of this Complaint, Phonesuit has  
27 directly infringed at least one claim of U.S. Patent No. by the activities referred to in  
28 this Complaint in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

1 27. Without limiting the foregoing, Defendant has infringed at least Claim 1  
2 of the ‘794 Patent as described in the Claim Chart attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

3 28. Phonesuit’s acts of making, using, importing, selling, and/or offering for  
4 sale infringing products and services have been without license, permission, or  
5 authorization from Voltstar.

6 29. Phonesuit’s infringement includes, but is not limited to, the manufacture,  
7 use, sale, importation and/or offer for sale of Defendant’s products including the Novo  
8 35 USB Wall Charger that incorporates Voltstar’s patent.

9 30. Phonesuit’s infringement includes, but is not limited to, the manufacture,  
10 use, sale, importation and/or offer for sale of Defendant’s products including the Novo  
11 35 USB Wall Charger that incorporates Voltstar’s patent.

12 31. Defendant’s infringement of the ‘794 Patent has injured and continues to  
13 injure Voltstar in an amount to be proven at trial, but not less than a reasonable  
14 royalty.

15 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

16 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Voltstar Technologies, Inc. demands judgment and  
17 relief against Defendant GC Technology, LLC dba Phonesuit and respectfully  
18 requests that the Court:

19 A. An entry of judgment holding that Defendant has infringed and is  
20 infringing the ‘794 Patent;

21 B. For an accounting and an award of damages sufficient to compensate  
22 Voltstar for the infringement in no event less than a reasonable royalty pursuant to 35  
23 U.S.C. § 284;

24 C. A determination that Defendant’s infringement has been willful, wanton,  
25 and deliberate and that the damages against it be increased up to treble on this basis or  
26 for any other basis in accordance with the law;

27  
28

1 D. A finding that this case is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and  
2 an award to Voltstar of its costs and reasonable attorneys’ fees as provided by 35  
3 U.S.C. § 285;

4 E. An accounting of all infringing sales and revenues, together with post  
5 judgment interest and prejudgment interest from the first date of infringement of the  
6 ‘794 Patent; and

7 F. That Voltstar is entitled to such other and further relief as to the Court  
8 appears just and proper.

9 **JURY DEMAND**

10 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

11  
12 DATED: February 6, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

13  
14 */s/ Matthew L. Rollin*

15 **MATTHEW L. ROLLIN**

16 **SRIPLAW, P.A.**

17 *Counsel for Plaintiff Voltstar Technologies,*  
18 *Inc.*

SRIPLAW  
CALIFORNIA ♦ GEORGIA ♦ FLORIDA ♦ INDIANA ♦ TENNESSEE ♦ NEW YORK

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28