IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

FLEET CONNECT SOLUTIONS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

POWERFLEET, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:25-cv-00167

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Fleet Connect Solutions LLC ("<u>Fleet Connect</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") files this Complaint against PowerFleet, Inc. ("<u>PowerFleet</u>" or "<u>Defendant</u>") alleging, based on its own knowledge as to itself and its own actions, and based on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop Defendant's infringement of the following United States Patents (collectively, the "<u>Asserted Patents</u>") issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"):

	U.S. Patent No.	Title	Available At
1	6,549,583	Optimum Phase Error Metric For OFDM Pilot Tone Tracking In Wireless LAN	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6549583
2	6,633,616	OFDM Pilot Tone Tracking For Wireless LAN	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6633616
3	6,647,270	Vehicle Talk	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6647270
4	6,941,223	Method And System For Dynamic Destination Routing	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6941223
5	6,961,586	Field Assessments Using Handheld Data Management Devices	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/6961586

	U.S. Patent No.	Title	Available At
6	7,092,723	System And Method For Communicating Between Mobile Units	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7092723
7	7,206,837	Intelligent Trip Status Notification	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7206837
8	7,450,955	System And Method For Tracking Vehicle Maintenance Information	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7450955
9	7,536,189	System And Method For Sending Broadcasts In A Social Network	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7536189
10	7,741,968	System And Method For Navigation Tracking Of Individuals In A Group	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/7741968/
11	8,862,184	System And Methods For Management Of Mobile Field Assets Via Wireless Handheld Devices	<u>https://image-</u> ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch- public/print/downloadPdf/8862184
12	10,671,949	System And Methods For Management Of Mobile Field Assets Via Wireless Handheld Devices	<u>https://image-</u> <u>ppubs.uspto.gov/dirsearch-</u> <u>public/print/downloadPdf/10671949</u>

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is a limited liability company formed under the laws of Texas with its registered office address located in Austin, Texas.

4. Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business located at 123 Tice Boulevard, Suite 101, Woodcliff Lake, NJ 07677.

5. Defendant's registered agent for service is National Registered Agents, Inc., located at 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

7. This is an action for infringement of a United States patent arising under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284–85, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of the action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1338(a).

8. Venue is proper against Defendant in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) and 1391(c) because it has maintained established and regular places of business in this District and has committed acts of patent infringement in the District from those regular and established places of business. *See In re: Cray Inc.*, 871 F.3d 1355, 1362-1363 (Fed. Cir. 2017).

9. Defendant has also not contested the propriety of venue in this District in previous litigation. *See Fleet Connect Solutions LLC v. PowerFleet, Inc.*, 2:24-cv-00718-JRG-RSP, Dkt. Nos. 19, 34 (filing motions to dismiss for reasons unrelated to venue).

10. Defendant offers products and services, including through the use of Accused Products, and conducts business in this District.

11. Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction under due process due at least to Defendant's substantial business in this judicial district, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; (ii) regularly transacting, doing, and/or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this District; (iii) having an interest in, using or possessing real property in Texas and this District; (iv) and having and keeping personal property in Texas and in this District.

12. Specifically, Defendant intends to do and does business in, has committed acts of infringement in, and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District directly, through

intermediaries, by contributing to and through inducement of third parties, and offers its products or services, including those accused of infringement here, to customers and potential customers located in this state, including in this District.

13. On information and belief, Defendant owns, operates, manages, conducts business, and directs and controls the operations and employees of facilities at several locations in this District, including, but not limited to, facilities at the following addresses: 2601 Network Boulevard, Suite 680, Frisco, Texas 75034 USA (https://www.powerfleet.com/contact/) and/or 5700 Granite Parkway, Suite 550, Plano, Texas 75024.¹

14. In addition, to conduct this business, Defendant employs a number of individuals within this District. These individuals' employment with Defendant is conditioned upon and based on their residence and continued residence within the District to further the specific infringing business activities of Defendant within the District. See. e.g., https://www.powerfleet.com/?job listing region=Powerfleet-for-logistics ("Candidates must be local to one of the IDSY offices (Woodcliff Lake, NJ, Plano, TX, or Tampa, FL").²

15. Defendant's business specifically depends on employees, exclusive and nonexclusive contractors, agents, and affiliates, etc., being physically present at places in the District, and Defendant affirmatively acted to make permanent operations within this District. *See In re Cray Inc.*, 871 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2017); *In re Cordis Corp.*, 769 F.2d 733, 736 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

16. Defendant commits acts of infringement from this District, including, but not limited to, using, installing, testing of the Accused Products, selling and offering to sell the Accused Products, and inducement of third parties to use the Accused Products in an infringing

¹ See (<u>https://www.linkedin.com/company/powerfleetaiot/about/</u>)

² In 2019, I.D. Systems, Inc. rebranded to "PowerFleet, Inc." <u>https://ir.powerfleet.com/press-releases/detail/341/i-d-systems-closes-pointer-telocation-acquisition</u>.

manner.

17. Through at least its website, www.powerfleet.com/, Defendant instructs its customers on how to install and use the Accused Products.

THE ACCUSED PRODUCTS

18. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

19. Defendant uses, causes to be used, sells, offers for sale, imports, provides, supplies, or distributes one or more fleet management tracking solutions, including, but not limited to, the Powerfleet Fleet Management Solution, Unity Platform, ELD in-cab tablets/displays such as LV9000 (formerly CM9000), MiX Rovi, MiX Rovi II, MiX Rovi IV, etc., Powerfleet Driver App, Compliance and Workflow Management, Pointer AI, Pointer Fleet Management, Pointer iFleet App, Powerfleet FleetView, MiX Fleet Manager, MiX Fleet Manager Mobile DS, MiX Fleet Manager Premium, MyMix app, MyMix Classic app, MiX Go app, Mix ELD solution, Mix ELD app, Powerfleet Manager, Track Point Manager, FleetSDS Mobile, Vehicle Gateway, DashCam, LV9000, Keyless Gateway, LV400, LV500, LV300, LV100, LV600, Asset Gateway, Logistics Gateway, Logistics Gateway- Solar, Cold Chain Gateway, LV-550, CelloTrack Solar, CelloTrack 10Y, CelloTrack, CelloTrack Nano, CR400, Cello- Caniq LTE, Cello- Caniq M, Vista, LV550, MiX 4000, Mix 6000, MIX WIRELESS ASSET TRACKER, Digital Video recorder, Pedestrian Proximity Detection, Infomatics App, WatchM App, Reefer App, Powerfleet Vehicle Telematics devices such as Micromobility Gateway, etc., Powerfleet trailer tracking tags such as the LV-400 and LV-450, Dashcam, etc., Track and Trace System, including, Forklift Gateway (VAC), VAI-100, OC-53, OC-55, OC-59, OBDII, Vision AI, Mount and Load Sensor, Asset Tracking, Cello-CANiQ, iPointer, CelloTrack 1oY, MultiSense, CelloSense, LV-9000, LV-500, LV-550, LV-300, LV-100, LV-750, VAC4S, LCD601, and other substantially similar products and services offered

in the past or the future, and all of the prior models, iterations, releases, versions, generations, and prototypes of the foregoing, along with any associated hardware, software, applications, and functionality associated with those products and solutions (collectively, the "Accused Products").

20. On information and belief, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performs wireless communications and methods associated with performing and/or implementing wireless communications including, but not limited to, wireless communications and methods pursuant to various protocols and implementations, including, but not limited to, Bluetooth, IEEE 802.11, and LTE protocols and various subsections thereof, including, but not limited to, 802.11b and 802.11n.

21. On information and belief, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performs singular value decomposition of estimated channel matrices, transmit data over various media, compute time slot channels, generate packets for network transmissions, perform or cause to be performed error estimation in orthogonal frequency division multiplexed ("OFDM") receivers, and various methods of processing OFDM symbols.

22. Defendant, using the Accused Products, also tracks, analyzes, and reports vehicle maintenance needs and driver warnings associated with a vehicle, tracks or causes to be tracked vehicle locations, and allows for communication between a system administrator and a remote unit to communicate, e.g., advisory notifications.

23. For these reasons and the additional reasons detailed below, the Accused Products practice at least one claim of each of the Asserted Patents.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,549,583

24. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

25. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 6,549,583 (the "'583 patent") on April 15,
2003, after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/790,429 which was filed February 21,
2001. The '583 patent is entitled "Optimum Phase Error Metric for OFDM Pilot Tone Tracking in Wireless LAN."

26. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '583 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '583 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

27. The claims of the '583 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting error estimation methods.

28. The written description of the '583 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

29. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '583 patent.

30. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '583 patent by manufacturing, providing, supplying, using, distributing, selling, or offering to sell the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '583 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant, using the

Accused Products, performs a method of pilot phase error estimation in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexed (OFDM) receiver. The method includes determining pilot reference points corresponding to a plurality of pilots of an OFDM preamble waveform; and estimating an aggregate phase error of a subsequent OFDM data symbol relative to the pilot reference points using complex signal measurements corresponding to each of the plurality of pilots of the subsequent OFDM data symbol and the pilot reference points; wherein the estimating step comprises performing a maximum likelihood-based estimation using the complex signal measurements corresponding to filots of the subsequent OFDM data symbol and the pilot pilots of the pilot pilots of the subsequent OFDM data symbol and the pilot pilots of the subsequent OFDM data symbol and the pilot pilots of the pilot pilots pilots of the pilot pilots of the pilot pilots of

A, Exhibit B, and Exhibit C.

31. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT II: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,633,616

32. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

33. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 6,633,616 (the "'616 patent") on October
14, 2003, after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/935,081 which was filed August
21, 2001. The '616 patent is entitled "OFDM Pilot Tone Tracking for Wireless LAN."

34. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '616 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '616 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

35. The claims of the '616 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting error estimation methods.

36. The written description of the '616 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

37. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '616 patent.

38. Defendant has directly infringed the claims of the '616 patent by manufacturing, providing, supplying, using, distributing, selling, or offering to sell the Accused Products. For instance, Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 12 of the '616 patent. As just one example of infringement, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performs a method of pilot phase error estimation in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexed (OFDM) receiver. The method includes determining pilot reference points corresponding to a plurality of pilots of an OFDM preamble waveform; processing, in a parallel path to the determining step, the OFDM preamble waveform with a fast Fourier transform; determining a phase error estimate of a subsequent OFDM symbol relative to the pilot reference points; and processing, in the parallel path to the determining step, the ofference points provement ofference points and processing, in the parallel path to the determining step, the ofference points with the fast Fourier transform; wherein the determining the phase error estimate step is completed

prior to the completion of the processing of the subsequent OFDM symbol with the fast Fourier transform in the parallel path. *See* '616 Evidence of Use Charts attached hereto as **Exhibit D**, **Exhibit E**, and **Exhibit F**.

39. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,647,270

40. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

41. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to U.S. Patent No. 6,647,270 (the "270 patent"), including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '270 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

42. The USPTO duly issued the '270 patent on November 11, 2003, after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/659,074 which was filed September 11, 2000. *See* '270 patent at 1.

43. The claims of the '270 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of mobile communications and tracking systems.

44. The written description of the '270 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and

improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

45. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '270 patent.

46. Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the '270 patent by manufacturing, selling, offering for sell, importing, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products.

47. Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '270 patent, as detailed in **Exhibit G** (Evidence of Use Regarding Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,647,270).

48. For example, as detailed in Exhibit G, the Accused Products include a system for transmitting voice or data communications comprising a plurality of data packets between a plurality of remote units, each remote unit having a unique identifier; whereby each remote unit includes: a memory for storing a unique identifier; a transceiver for receiving a wireless communication and downconverting said received communication from RF to baseband, and for upconverting a baseband communication to RF for transmission as a transmit wireless communication; a GPS receiver, for outputting a position signal; a microprocessor, for receiving said position signal and said downconverted communication, and for generating said baseband communication by constructing said data packets from a plurality of data fields, including sender information and receiver information, whereby said sender information includes: the unique identifier of the sender, and information derived from said position signal; and whereby said receiver information includes:

the address of the desired remote unit.

49. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IV: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,941,223

50. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

51. U.S. Patent No. 6,941,223 (the "223 patent") was issued on September 6, 2005 after full and fair examination by the USPTO of Application No. 10/339,663 which was filed on January 10. 2003. *See* 223 patent at 1.

52. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '223 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce it against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

53. The claims of the '223 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting routing and navigation systems.

54. The written description of the '223 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

55. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '223 patent.

56. Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the '223 patent by manufacturing, selling, offering for sell, importing, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products.

57. Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 19 of the '223 patent, as detailed in **Exhibit H** (Evidence of Use Regarding Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,941,223).

58. For example, as detailed in <u>Exhibit H</u>, Defendant, through the use and provision of the Accused Products, performs a method for destination routing of a vehicle. The method includes the acts of: determining, based on static information, an optimal route, receiving additional information, determining, based on a comparison of real travel parameters of the vehicle with travel parameters associated with the optimal route, whether the optimal route remains optimal, and determining a new optimal route when the optimal route does not remain optimal, wherein the new optimal route is determined using the additional information, wherein the travel parameters include at least one of travel time and traveled distance.

59. Fleet Connect is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,961,586

60. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as

though fully set forth in their entirety.

61. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 6,961,586 (the "'586 patent") on November 1, 2005 after full and fair examination of Application No. 09/955,543 which was filed on September 17, 2001. *See* '586 patent at 1.

62. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '586 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '586 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

63. The claims of the '586 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting communication systems and methods for executing field operations using handheld devices.

64. The written description of the '586 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

65. Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the '586 patent by manufacturing, selling, offering for sale, importing, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products.

66. Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 9 of the '586 patent, as detailed in **Exhibit I** (Evidence of Use Regarding Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,586).

67. For example, as detailed in Exhibit I, Defendant, through the use and provision of the Accused Products, performs a method of conducting a field assessment using a handheld data management device, comprising: providing a hand held data management device user performing as a field assessor access to an industry-specific field assessment program module for enabling the field assessor to execute at least one of the following field assessments: construction industry project analysis, HVAC system analysis; project management, equipment readiness, system and equipment troubleshooting, remote inventory tracking and ordering, conducting legal investigations in the field assessment; providing field-specific information required by said program module for said program module to render data in support of said field assessment; and retrieving data through said handheld data management device in support of said field assessment.

68. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of one or more claims of the '586 patent.

69. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VI: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,092,723

70. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

71. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,092,723 (the "'723 patent") on August 15, 2006, after full and fair examination of Application No. 10/679,784 which was filed October

6, 2003.

72. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '723 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '723 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

73. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '388 patent.

74. The claims of the '723 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting systems and methods of generating packets in a digital communications system.

75. The written description of the '723 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

76. PowerFleet has directly infringed, and continues to directly infringe, one or more claims of the '723 patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing, and/or internal and external testing of the Accused Products.

77. PowerFleet has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 19 of the '723 patent, as detailed in the claim chart attached hereto as **Exhibit J**.

78. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by PowerFleet

alleged above. Thus, PowerFleet is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VII: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,206,837

79. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

80. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,206,837 (the "'837 patent") on April 17,
2007, after full and fair examination of Application No. 10/287,151 which was filed November 4,
2002.

81. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to, the '837 patent including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '837 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

82. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '837 patent.

83. The claims of the '837 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting methods and systems for mobile vehicle-based communications systems utilizing short-range communication links.

84. The written description of the '837 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from

and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

85. PowerFleet has directly infringed one or more claims of the '837 patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing, and/or internal and external testing of the Accused Products.

86. PowerFleet has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '837 patent, as detailed in the claim chart attached hereto as **Exhibit K**.

87. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by PowerFleet alleged above. Thus, PowerFleet is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VIII: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,450,955

88. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

89. U.S. Patent No. 7,450,955 (the "'955 patent") was issued on November 11, 2008 after full and fair examination by the USPTO of Application No. 11/524,858 which was filed on September 20, 2006. *See* '955 patent at 1. A Certificate of Correction was issued on September 24, 2013. *See id.* at 19. A second Certificate of Correction was issued on May 1, 2018. *See id.* at 20.

90. The claims of the '955 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting methods and

systems for tracking vehicle maintenance information.

91. The written description of the '955 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

92. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '955 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce it against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

93. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '955 patent.

94. Based upon public information, Fleet Connect is informed and believes that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of the '955 Patent because it ships, distributes, makes, uses, imports, offers for sale, sells, and/or advertises the Accused Products.

95. Upon information and belief, the Accused Products meet each and every step of at least Claim 1 of the '955 Patent, either literally or equivalently, as detailed in **Exhibit L** (Evidence of Use Regarding Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,450,955).

96. For example, as detailed in <u>Exhibit L</u>, Defendant performs a method for tracking vehicle maintenance information by a system administrator. The method includes identifying by the system administrator a vehicle associated with a vehicle identifier of a receiving mobile unit; determining a warning associated with the vehicle; generating baseband message data indicating the warning by constructing at least one data packet from a plurality of data fields, the data fields

including a unique identifier of a transmitting mobile unit, and the vehicle identifier of the receiving mobile unit; upconverting the baseband message data to radio frequency for transmission to the receiving mobile unit; transmitting the upconverted baseband message data from the transmitting mobile unit to the receiving mobile unit, thereby indicating the warning; and receiving a confirmation of receipt from the receiving mobile unit including parsing the confirmation of receipt to confirm the warning was received.

97. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '955 patent.

98. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IX: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,536,189

99. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

100. The United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,536,189 (the "'189 patent") on May 19, 2009, after full and fair examination of Application No. 12/018,588, which was filed on January 23, 2008. *See* '189 patent at 1. A Certificate of Correction was issued on June 25, 2013. *See id.* at 22.

101. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '189 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '189 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

102. The claims of the '189 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting systems and methods for broadcasting advisory communications to remote units.

103. The written description of the '189 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

104. Defendant has directly infringed the '189 patent by importing, selling, manufacturing, offering to sell, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products.

105. Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '189 patent, as detailed in **Exhibit M** (Evidence of Use Regarding Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,536,189).

106. For example, as detailed in <u>Exhibit M</u>, Defendant, using the Accused Products, performs a method for a system administrator to broadcast an advisory communication to at least one remote unit. The method includes accessing a website by a system administrator to send an advisory communication, the website comprising an audio-visual interface for inputting the advisory communication; filtering a plurality of remote units by the system administrator operating the website, the filtering being based upon at least one information field to determine from the plurality of remote units at least one of a first remote unit and a second remote unit to receive a broadcast of the advisory communication; assembling at least one packet of the advisory communication, the at least one packet comprising at least one of: a first packet comprising a data

message for the first remote unit, and a second packet comprising a voice message for the second remote unit; forwarding the at least one packet to a router for transmission transmitting the at least one packet to alert at least one of the first remote unit and the second remote unit of the advisory communication; and storing, by the website, a log associated with the advisory communication.

107. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '189 patent.

108. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT X: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,741,968

109. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

110. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 7,741,968 (hereinafter, the "'968 patent") on June 22, 2010 after full and fair examination of Application No. 12/143,707 which was filed on June 20, 2008.

111. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '968 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '968 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

112. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of one or more claims of the '968 patent.

113. The claims of the '968 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting methods and systems of collecting and communicating field data based on geographical location.

114. The written description of the '968 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

115. PowerFleet has directly infringed one or more claims of the '968 patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing, and/or internal and external testing of the Accused Products.

116. PowerFleet has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 4 of the '968 patent, as detailed in the claim chart attached hereto as **Exhibit N**.

117. PowerFleet also indirectly infringes by inducing others to directly infringe the '968 patent. PowerFleet has induced distributors and end-users, including, but not limited to, PowerFleet's employees, partners, contractors, or customers, to directly infringe, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '968 patent by providing or requiring use of the Accused Products. PowerFleet takes active steps, directly or through contractual relationships with others, with the specific intent to cause them to use the Accused Products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '968 patent, including, for example, claim 4 of the '968 patent.

118. Such steps by PowerFleet include, among other things, advising or directing

personnel, contractors, or end-users to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner; advertising and promoting the use of the Accused Products in an infringing manner; distributing instructions that guide users to use the Accused Products in an infringing manner; and/or instructional and technical support on its website. PowerFleet performs these steps, which constitute induced infringement with the knowledge of the '968 patent and with the knowledge that the induced acts constitute infringement. PowerFleet was aware that the normal and customary use of the Accused Products by others would infringe the '968 patent.

119. PowerFleet has also indirectly infringed by contributing to the infringement of the '968 patent. PowerFleet has contributed to the direct infringement of the '968 patent by its personnel, contractors, distributors, and customers. The Accused Products have special features that are specially designed to be used in an infringing way and that have no substantial uses other than ones that infringe one or more claims of the '968 patent, including, for example, claim 4 of the '968 patent. The special features constitute a material part of the invention of one or more of the claims of the '968 patent and are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

120. PowerFleet's actions were at least objectively reckless as to the risk of infringing a valid patent and this objective risk was either known or should have been known by PowerFleet.

121. PowerFleet's direct infringement of the '968 patent is willful, intentional, deliberate, or in conscious disregard of Fleet Connect's rights under the patent.

122. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by PowerFleet alleged above. Thus, PowerFleet is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT XI: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,862,184

123. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

124. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to U.S. Patent No. 8,862,184 (the "184 patent"), including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce the '184 patent against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

125. The USPTO duly issued the '184 patent on October 14, 2014, after full and fair examination of Application No. 13/925,692, which was filed on June 24, 2013. *See* '184 patent at 1.

126. The claims of the '184 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the function and operation of preexisting methods and systems of collecting and communicating field data based on geographical location.

127. The written description of the '184 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

128. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '184 patent.

129. Defendant has directly infringed one or more claims of the '184 patent by manufacturing, selling, offering for sale, importing, using, providing, supplying, or distributing the Accused Products.

130. Defendant has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '184 patent, as detailed in **Exhibit O** (Evidence of Use Regarding Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,862,184).

131. For example, as detailed in <u>Exhibit O</u>, Defendant, through the use and provision of the Accused Products, performs a method, comprising downloading a field assessment program to a handheld device from a server geographically distant from the handheld device; executing the field assessment program on the handheld device, the field assessment program configured to enable assessment of a job; collecting data associated with the job in response to prompts from the field assessment program; obtaining information associated with a location of the job based at least part on determining the location of the job; rendering the collected data using the handheld device based at least in part on the obtained information; and providing the assessment of the job in response to the rendering.

132. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by Defendant alleged above. Thus, Defendant is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT XII: INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,671,949

133. Fleet Connect repeats and re-alleges the allegations in the Paragraphs above as though fully set forth in their entirety.

134. The USPTO duly issued U.S. Patent No. 10,671,949 ("'949 patent") on June 2,

2020 after full and fair examination of Application No. 15/660,685 which was filed on July 26, 2017.

135. Fleet Connect owns all substantial rights, interest, and title in and to the '949 patent, including the sole and exclusive right to prosecute this action and enforce it against infringers and to collect damages for all relevant times.

136. Fleet Connect or its predecessors-in-interest have satisfied all statutory obligations required to collect pre-filing damages for the full period allowed by law for infringement of the '949 patent.

137. The claims of the '949 patent are not directed to an abstract idea and are not limited to well-understood, routine, or conventional activity. Rather, the claimed inventions include inventive components that improve upon the systems and methods of managing mobile assets in the field such as personnel, equipment and inventory using handheld data management devices in the field.

138. The written description of the '949 patent describes in technical detail each limitation of the claims, allowing a skilled artisan to understand the scope of the claims and how the non-conventional and non-generic combination of claim limitations is patently distinct from and improved upon what may have been considered conventional or generic in the art at the time of the invention.

139. PowerFleet has directly infringed one or more claims of the '949 patent by making, using, selling, offering to sell, importing, and/or internal and external testing of the Accused Products.

140. PowerFleet has directly infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '949 patent, as detailed in the claim chart attached hereto as

Exhibit P.

141. Fleet Connect has been damaged as a result of the infringing conduct by PowerFleet alleged above. Thus, PowerFleet is liable to Fleet Connect in an amount that compensates it for such infringements, which by law cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

JURY DEMAND

142. Fleet Connect hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable by right.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

143. Fleet Connect requests that the Court find in its favor and against PowerFleet, and that the Court grant Fleet Connect the following relief:

- Judgment that one or more claims of each of the Asserted Patents has been infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by PowerFleet or others acting in concert therewith;
- A permanent injunction enjoining PowerFleet and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringement of the '968 patent; or, in the alternative, an award of a reasonable ongoing royalty for future infringement of the '968 patent by such entities;
- c. Judgment that PowerFleet account for and pay to Fleet Connect all damages to and costs incurred by Fleet Connect because of PowerFleet's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. Judgment that PowerFleet's infringement of the '968 patent be found willful, and that the Court award treble damages for the period of such willful infringement pursuant

to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- e. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by PowerFleet's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- f. That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award Fleet Connect its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- g. All other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

30

Dated: February 11, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ James F. McDonough, III

James F. McDonough, III (GA 117088)* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 659 Auburn Avenue NE, Unit 254 Atlanta, Georgia 30312 Telephone: (404) 564-1866 Email: jim@rhmtrial.com

C. Matthew Rozier (CO 46854)* Kenneth A. Matuszewski (IL 6324308)* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 1500 K Street, 2nd Floor Washington, District of Columbia 20005 Telephone: (404) 779-5305; (202) 316-1591 Telephone: (708) 870-5803 Email: matt@rhmtrial.com Email: kenneth@rhmtrial.com

Jonathan Hardt (TX 24039906)* **ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC** 712 W. 14th Street, Suite A Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (737) 295-0876 Email: hardt@rhmtrial.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff FLEET CONNECT SOLUTIONS LLC

*Admitted to the Eastern District of Texas

Exhibits

- A. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,549,583 (WiFi)
- B. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,549,583 (LTE)
- C. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,549,583 (WiFi and LTE)
- D. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,633,616 (LTE)
- E. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,633,616 (WiFi)
- F. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,633,616 (WiFi and LTE)
- G. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,647,270
- H. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,941,223
- I. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 6,961,586
- J. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 7,092,723
- K. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 7,206,837
- L. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 7,450,955
- M. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 7,536,189
- N. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 7,741,968
- O. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 8,862,184
- P. Claim Chart for U.S. Patent 10,671,949

Attachments

- Civil Cover Sheet
- Proposed Summons