

1 NIHAT DENIZ BAYRAMOGLU, ESQ. (Nevada. Bar No. 14030)  
deniz@bayramoglu-legal.com

2 GOKALP BAYRAMOGLU, ESQ. (Nevada Bar No. 15500)  
gokalp@bayramoglu-legal.com

3 **BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC**  
4 1540 West Warm Springs Road, Suite 100  
Henderson, Nevada 89014  
5 Telephone: (702) 462-5973  
6 Facsimile: (702) 553-3404

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
8 *Iron Bird, LLC*

9 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 Iron Bird, LLC,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 UAV Systems International Incorporated  
(3DR),

15 Defendant.

Case No. 1:25-cv-00312

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND  
FOR JURY TRIAL**

17  
18 Plaintiff, Iron Bird, LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its counsel the Bayramoglu Law Offices,  
19 LLC, hereby complains and alleges against Defendant, UAV Systems International Incorporated  
20 (3DR) (“Defendant”) as follows:

21 **I. NATURE OF THE ACTION**

22 1. This is an action for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*,  
23 specifically, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 283, 284, and 285. Defendant has made, used, offered for sale,  
24 sold, and/or imported, at least the products that has infringed and continues to infringe Plaintiff’s  
25 rights in U.S. Patent No. 7,400,950 (the ‘950 Patent) entitled, “Optical sensing system for  
26 stabilizing machine-controllable vehicles.”

1 **II. THE PARTIES**

2 2. Plaintiff, Iron Bird, LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws  
3 of Delaware that maintains its principal place of business at 261 West 35<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1003,  
4 New York, New York 10001.

5 3. Defendant, UAV Systems International Incorporated (3DR) is a corporation  
6 organized and existing under the laws of Nevada that maintains an established place of business  
7 at 1421 North Jones Boulevard, PMB 232, Las Vegas, Nevada 89108.

8 **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9 4. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28  
10 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) as they arise under the Patent Statute at 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, 28  
11 U.S.C. § 1338(a)-(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

12 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it is a Nevada  
13 corporation with its principal place of business located at 1421 North Jones Boulevard, PMB  
14 232, Las Vegas, Nevada 89108.

15 6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and 1400(b)  
16 because Defendant resides in this judicial district.

17 **IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

18 7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title, and interest in United States Patent No.  
19 7,400,950 (the “Patent-in-Suit”); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for  
20 infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patent-in-Suit.  
21 Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action  
22 for infringement of the Patent-in-Suit by Defendant.

23 8. The ‘950 Patent is entitled, “Optical sensing system and system for stabilizing  
24 machine-controllable vehicles,” and issued 2008-07-15. The application leading to the ‘950  
25 Patent was filed on 2005-03-21. A true and correct copy of the ‘950 Patent is attached hereto as  
26 Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

27 9. Plaintiff is the assignee of the entire right, title, and interest in the '950 Patent.

1 10. Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘950 Patent by making, sell,  
2 and offering for sale in the United States, including within this judicial district.

3 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been, and is, inducing infringement  
4 of the ‘950 Patent by actively and knowingly inducing others to make, use, sell, offer for sale,  
5 or import, at least, the Accused Products that embody or use one or more of the inventions  
6 claimed in the ‘950 Patent.

7 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant markets and sells, at least, the infringed  
8 products through e-commerce channels, brick-and-mortar stores, and through direct sales that  
9 infringe on one or more of the inventions claimed in the ‘950 Patent.

10 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been contributorily infringing, and  
11 continues to contributorily infringe, the ‘950 Patent by selling or offering for sale, knowing them  
12 to be especially made or especially adapted for practicing one or more of the inventions claimed  
13 in the ‘950 Patent and which are not a staple article or commodity of commerce suitable for non-  
14 infringing use.

15 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew or should have known of the ‘950  
16 Patent, including the inventions claimed therein, prior to designing, manufacturing, and selling,  
17 of the infringed products in the United States market, and that there is no substantial non-  
18 infringing use of said products.

19 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant has known the existence of the ‘950  
20 Patent, and its acts of infringement have been in willful disregard of the rights granted therein  
21 without any reasonable basis to believe it had the right to engage in the infringing conduct alleged  
22 herein.

23 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

24 **INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘950 PATENT**

25 16. Plaintiff hereby repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in  
26 Paragraphs 1 through 15, above, as if the same were more fully set forth herein.

27 17. Defendant has been and continued to directly infringe one or more claims of the

1 '950 Patent in the United States, including within this judicial district in violation of 35 U.S.C.  
2 § 271(a) by making, using, offering to sell, selling, and/or importing, without limitation, at least  
3 the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Claim below (among the  
4 "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '950 Patent  
5 also identified in the charts incorporated into this Claim below (the "Exemplary '950 Patent  
6 Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other  
7 devices that infringe the claims of the '950 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and  
8 offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

9 18. Defendant has been, and is, inducing infringement of the '950 Patent by actively  
10 and knowingly inducing others to make, use, sell, offer for sale, import, or use one or more of  
11 the inventions claimed in the '950 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

12 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been and is inducing infringement of  
13 the '950 Patent by actively and knowingly inducing others to make, use, sell, offer for sale, import,  
14 or use the one or more of the inventions claimed in the '950 Patent, which are not a staple article  
15 or commodity of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, in violation of 35 U.S.C.  
16 § 271(c).

17 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant has been, and is, infringing, contributing  
18 the infringement of, and inducing the infringement of the '950 Patent by making, using, selling,  
19 offering for sale in the United States, importing into the United States, or use one or more of the  
20 inventions claimed in the '950 Patent.

21 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant has known of the existence of the '950  
22 Patent, and its acts of infringement have been willful and in disregard for the '950 Patent, without  
23 any reasonable basis for believing that it had a right to engage in the infringing conduct.

24 22. Defendant's infringement has been, and continues to be, knowing, intentional,  
25 and willful.

1 23. Defendant’s acts of infringement of the ‘950 Patent have caused, and continue to  
2 cause, Plaintiff damages for which it entitled to compensation pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284,  
3 subject to proof at trial.

4 24. Defendant’s acts of infringement of the ‘950 Patent have caused, and continue to  
5 cause, Plaintiff immediate and irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law,  
6 unless such acts are enjoined by this Court pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

7 25. This case is exceptional, and therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of  
8 attorneys’ fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court enter judgment in its favor and  
11 against Defendant as follows:

12 26. Entry of an Order adjudging that Defendant has directly infringed, actively  
13 induced infringement of, and/or contributorily infringed the ‘950 Patent in violation of one or  
14 more provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a)-(c);

15 27. Entry of an Order requiring Defendant to pay Plaintiff damages for infringement  
16 of the ‘950 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 274;

17 28. Entry of an Order requiring Defendant to pay enhanced damages up to three times  
18 the amount of actual damages awarded to Plaintiff pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

19 29. Entry of an Order awarding Plaintiff its reasonable costs and attorneys’ fees  
20 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, or as otherwise permitted by code, statute or rule.;

21 30. Entry of an Order temporarily, preliminarily, and/or permanently enjoining  
22 Defendant, its employees, agents, officers, directors, attorneys, successors, affiliates,  
23 subsidiaries, and assigns, and all others acting in active concert and participation with any of the  
24 foregoing persons or entities, from infringing, contributing to the infringement of, or inducing  
25 the infringement of the ‘950 Patent; and

26 31. Entry of an Order awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just  
27 and proper.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

**BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC**

Dated: February 17, 2025

By: /s/ Nihat Deniz Bayramoglu

Nihat Deniz Bayramoglu, Esq. (NV Bar No. 14030)

Gokalp Bayramoglu, Esq. (NV Bar No. 15500)

**BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC**

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,*

*Iron Bird, LLC*